BEFORE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE PUBLIC
HEARING REGARDING AGENDA
ITEMS:
96-5-1, 96-5-2, 96-5-3, 96-5-4
AND 96-5-6.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, TAKEN AT

1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, SUPERVISORS CHAMBERS,

ROOM 310, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, COMMENCING

AT 8:30 A.M., ON FRIDAY, JUNE 14, 1996, HEARD

BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD,

REPORTED BY MICHELLE HANNAH, CSR NO. 9985,

A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

APPEARANCES:

THE BOARD:

JOHN D. DUNLAP, III CHAIRMAN PUBLIC MEMBER

EUGENE A. BOSTON, M.D. PHYSICIAN AND SURGEON MEMBER

LYNNE T. EDGERTON LAW MEMBER

JOHN S. LAGARIAS, P.E. SCIENCE MEMBER

BARBARA RIORDAN SUPERVISOR, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OTHER DISTRICT MEMBER

JOSEPH C. CALHOUN, P.E. AUTOMOTIVE ENGINEERING MEMBER

M. PATRICIA HILLIGOSS MAYOR, CITY OF PETALUMA BAAQMD MEMBER

RON ROBERTS SUPERVISOR, SAN DIEGO COUNTY SAN DIEGO APCD MEMBER

DOUG VAGIM SUPERVISOR, FRESNO COUNTY SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED APCD MEMBER

PAT HUTCHENS BOARD SECRETARY

INDEX

AGENDA ITEM:	PAGE
96-5-1	26
96-5-2	57
96-5-3	69
96-5-4	82
96-5-6	98

1	WHAT'S GOING TO BE OUT THERE FOR THE NEXT FIVE AND TEN
2	YEARS.
3	MR. VAGIM: DO YOU THROW IN CONVERTING THEIR
4	EIGHT-TRACK TO CASSETTE?
5	MR. FRANKENBINER: I DON'T THINK YOU GET ANY
6	EMISSION GAIN FROM THAT, JUST MAYBE THE NOISE.
7	MR. VAGIM: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
8	MR. DUNLAP: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
9	ALL RIGHT. MR. SOMMERVILLE, THANK YOU FOR
10	THAT PRESENTATION.
11	KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK HERE IN SAN DIEGO.
12	MR. SOMMERVILLE: THANK YOU.
13	AND I HOPE YOU ALL ENJOY YOUR DAY HERE.
14	MR. DUNLAP: ALL RIGHT. I'D LIKE TO REMIND THOSE
15	IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT TESTIMONY TO THE
16	BOARD ON ANY OF TODAY'S ITEMS TO PLEASE SEE THE BOARD
17	SECRETARY OVER HERE.
18	PAT, IF YOU CAN WAVE YOUR HAND?
19	WE ARE IN NEW TERRAIN HERE. IF YOU HAVE A
20	WRITTEN STATEMENT, PLEASE PROVIDE HER WITH 20 COPIES SO
21	EACH OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD MIGHT HAVE A COPY OF YOUR
22	REMARKS.
23	THE FIRST AGENDA ITEM TODAY IS 96-5-1, PUBLIC
24	HEARING TO CONSIDER THE ADOPTION OF A REGULATION AND
25	CRITERIA FOR EQUIPMENT AND PROCESS PRECERTIFICATION.

1	EQUIPMENT AND THE CERTIFICATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE FOLLS AND
2	FUEL ADDED PACKAGES.
3	AND FROM THE LAST DISCUSSION, YOU SAW A PIECE
4	OF EQUIPMENT THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN AND WAS A CERTIFIED
5	PROGRAM, I GUESS, BY THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD.
6	TODAY WE WILL BE PRESENTING A NEW
7.	CERTIFICATION PROGRAM, WHOSE GENESIS IS REALLY IN
8	PROVIDING ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL DISTRICTS TO GAIN GREATER
9	EFFICIENCY IN THE ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AT THE LOCAL LEVEL.
10	THE EQUIPMENT AND PROCESS PRECERTIFICATION
11	PROGRAM IS A VOLUNTARY PERMIT STREAMLINING PROGRAM FOR
12	MANUFACTURES OR DISTRIBUTORS OF EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES
13	COMMONLY ASSOCIATED WITH STATIONARY SOURCES IN
14	CALIFORNIA.
15	THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF THE FIRST FOR US.
16	AS YOU ARE AWARE, STATIONARY SOURCES ARE, OF COURSE,
17	HISTORICALLY REGULATED BY LOCAL DISTRICTS.
18	DISTRICTS ADOPTED THE RULES AND REGULATIONS,
19	WHICH THE REQUIREMENTS SET PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR MANY
20	TYPES OF EQUIPMENT AND PROCESS CATEGORIES.
21	THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD HAS BEEN CHARGED BY
22	THE LEGISLATURE TO DEVELOP CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES IN
23	COORDINATION WITH LOCAL AIR DISTRICTS TO PRECERTIFIED
24	EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES AS BEING IN COMPLIANCE WITH
25	APPLICABLE AIR QUALITY RULES AND REGULATIONS.

1.4	
1	WE BELIEVE THAT OUR PROPOSED CRITERIA FIRST
2	PROVIDE FOR AN INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION BY THE AIR
3	RESOURCES BOARD OF THE PERFORMANCE OF EQUIPMENT AND
4	PROCESSES; SECONDLY, PROVIDE FOR A DETERMINATION OF
5	COMPLIANCE WITH RULES AND REGULATIONS WITHOUT AFFECTING
6.	THE EXISTING PERMITTING AND COMPLIANCE AUTHORITY OF LOCAL
7	DISTRICTS; THIRDLY, RESULT IN A REDUCTION IN COST TO
8	BUSINESSES BY REDUCING THE NEED FOR DUPLICATIVE TESTING.
9	ALL OF THIS SHOULD RESULT IN REDUCTION IN THE
10	TIME NEEDED TO ISSUE PERMITS AT EACH DISTRICT IN THE
11.	STATE.
12	I'D LIKE ALSO TO NOTE THAT THE A.R.B.'S
13	EFFORTS ARE INDEED ONE PART OF A LARGER EFFORT UNDER WAY
14	WITHIN CAL/E.P.A. TO IMPLEMENT ENVIRONMENTAL TECH
15	CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS THROUGHOUT THE VARIOUS BOARDS AND
16	DEPARTMENTS OF CAL/E.P.A.
17	AN OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY WAS
18	RECENTLY ESTABLISHED AT THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD TO
19	COORDINATE THESE VARIOUS PROGRAMS, INCLUDING THE HAZARDOUS
20	WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM AT
21	THE DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCE. SO WE TURNED OVER A
22	NEW LEAF AND HAVE LAUNCHED A NEW AREA AND NEW EFFORT.
23	WITH THAT INTRODUCTION, AT THIS POINT I'LL
24	TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO MR. BRADLEY BRANSEN, WHO
25	WILL GIVE YOU THE DETAILS OF THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD

1	PROPOSAL.
2	MR. BRANSEN?
3	MR. BRANSEN: THANK YOU, MR. BOYD.
4	GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN DUNLAP AND MEMBERS OF
5	THE BOARD.
6	MY NAME IS BRADLEY BRANSEN, AND I WORK IN
7	THE STATIONARY SOURCE DIVISION OF THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD.
8	AND TODAY WE WILL DESCRIBE OUR EFFORTS IN DEVELOPING THE
9	AIR RESOURCES BOARD'S EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM.
10	AND WE'D LIKE TO PRESENT OUR PROPOSED REGULATION OF
11	CRITERIA FOR YOUR ADOPTION.
12	IN TODAY'S PRESENTATION, I WILL DISCUSS WHAT
13	EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION IS, WHY EQUIPMENT SHOULD BE
14	PRECERTIFIED, WHO PARTICIPATED IN DEVELOPING THE EQUIPMENT
15	PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM, WHAT IS IN THE PROPOSED
16	REGULATION AND CRITERIA, AND HOW EQUIPMENT WILL BE
17	PRECERTIFIED.
18	THE A.R.B. EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM
19	IS A VOLUNTARY STATE-WIDE PROGRAM WHICH CONSISTS OF TWO
20	STEPS: A PERFORMANCE PRECERTIFICATION AND A REGULATORY
21	PRECERTIFICATION.
22	THE PERFORMANCE PRECERTIFICATION IS AN
23	INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE CLAIMS AND A
24	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING EVALUATION FOR EQUIPMENT AND

PROCESSES.

25

ALL APPLICANTS ARE REQUIRED TO GO THROUGH THIS

PERFORMANCE VERIFICATION PROCESS. AND A.R.B. STAFF WILL
VERIFY THE PERFORMANCE OF EQUIPMENT, BASED ON APPLICANTS'
CLAIMS AND WILL CONFIRM THAT THE CLAIMS ARE ACCURATE AND
TRUE WHEN EQUIPMENT IS OPERATED UNDER SPECIFIED
CONDITIONS.

IN ADDITION TO THE PERFORMANCE

1.0

1:3

IN ADDITION TO THE PERFORMANCE

PRECERTIFICATION, APPLICANTS MAY REQUEST A REGULATORY

PRECERTIFICATION. THIS IS A REGULATORY REVIEW FROM STATE

AND LOCAL REGULATORS TO DETERMINE COMPLIANCE WITH NONSITE

SPECIFIC AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS.

FOR PRECERTIFICATION TO BE USEFUL AS A PERMIT STREAMLINING TOOL, THE APPLICANTS WILL BE ENCOURAGED TO GO THROUGH THIS STANDARD REVIEW PROCESS. COMPLIANCE DETERMINATIONS TO APPLICABLE LOCAL AIR POLLUTION LAWS WILL BE CONDUCTED BY LOCAL AIR DISTRICT STAFF, AND THE A.R.B. STAFF WILL DETERMINE APPLICABLE FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATIONS. AND WE WILL CONSULT WITH U.S. E.P.A. FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

WHEN APPLICANTS REQUEST A PRECERTIFICATION

FOR EQUIPMENT THAT AFFECTS MORE THAN ONE ENVIRONMENTAL

MEDIA, THEY WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN

ANY OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS

OFFERED BY CAL/E.P.A., SUCH AS THE DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC

SUBSTANCES CONTROL'S HAZARDOUS WASTE CERTIFICATION

PROGRAM. CROSS-MEDIA CERTIFICATIONS WILL BE COORDINATED

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

BY THE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY LOCATED WITHIN THE COMPLIANCE DIVISION OF THE A.R.B.

THERE ARE MANY REASONS WHY EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION WILL BENEFIT LOCAL AIR DISTRICTS, INDUSTRY, AND THE STATE. FIRST IT WILL ASSIST IN STREAMLINING THE PERMITTING PROCESS. THE EVALUATION REPORT PRODUCED IN A PRECERTIFICATION PROCESS WILL ACT AS A PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING EVALUATION TO ASSIST DISTRICTS IN REDUCING THE TIME REQUIRED TO EVALUATE EQUIPMENT AND RESULT IN ISSUING AIR POLLUTION PERMITS MORE QUICKLY.

SECOND, IT WILL AID IN PROMOTING INNOVATIVE PRECERTIFICATION WILL ASSIST IN REDUCING THE DIFFICULTY AND REDUNDANCY IN STATE, LOCAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL APPROVED PROCEDURES AND ASSIST IN BREAKING DOWN BARRIERS TO THE QUICK ACCEPTANCE OF NEW PRODUCTS AND TECHNOLOGIES.

THIRDLY, IT CAN ENCOURAGE UNIFORM PERMIT STATEWIDE PRECERTIFICATION WILL ASSIST IN CONDITIONS. ESTABLISHING SOME COMMON OPERATING CONDITIONS FOR EOUIPMENT THAT'S USED AND OPERATED IN THE SAME MANNER THROUGHOUT THE STATE.

AND FINALLY, THERE ARE SOME LEGAL REQUIREMENTS TO ESTABLISH PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAMS. AIR POLLUTION PERMIT STREAMLINING ACT ESTABLISHED IN '92 REQUIRES THE TEN MOST POPULATED DISTRICTS TO DEVELOP AN

2.4

EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM AS PART OF AN EXPEDITED PERMITTING PROCESS. THROUGH THE C.A.P.C.O.A. PERMIT STREAMLING SUBCOMMITTEE, DISTRICTS AGREED THAT PRECERTIFICATION WILL BEST BE ACCOMPLISHED AS A COOPERATIVE EFFORT AND AS A STATEWIDE PROGRAM.

ASSEMBLY BILL 32515 SIGNED BY GOVERNOR WILSON IN SEPTEMBER 1994 AMENDED CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 39620. THIS AMENDMENT REQUIRES THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD TO DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENT A STATEWIDE EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM IN COORDINATION WITH THE LOCAL AIR DISTRICTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF PRECERTIFYING SIMPLE COMMONLY USED EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES.

DEVELOPING AND OPERATING AS A STATEWIDE

CERTIFICATION PROGRAM WILL PROVIDE STANDARDIZED

EVALUATIONS AND PERMITTING CONDITIONS. A STATEWIDE

PROGRAM WILL PROVIDE CONSISTENCY THROUGHOUT THE STATE AND

WILL ASSIST MANUFACTURERS DURING THE EVALUATION OF

EQUIPMENT. MANUFACTURERS WOULD HAVE EQUIPMENT EVALUATED

ONE TIME AS OPPOSED TO A NUMBER OF TIMES THROUGH THE

VARIOUS LOCAL DISTRICTS.

IN ADDITION, PURCHASERS OF PRECERTIFIED EQUIPMENT WOULD HAVE A GENERAL IDEA REGARDING THE CONDITIONS THAT WOULD BE PLACED ON THE PERMIT TO OPERATE.

ALSO, THE PROGRAM WILL ASSIST IN EXPEDITING LOCAL PERMITTING PROGRAMS. SOME AIR DISTRICTS HAVE OR ARE

IN THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING EXPEDITED PERMITTING

SYSTEMS. THE EXPEDITED SYSTEMS ARE TAILORED FOR EACH

DISTRICT'S RULES AND REGULATIONS AND ALSO ASSIST TO

FULFILL THE AIR POLLUTION PERMIT STREAMLINING ACT. THE

EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION WILL PROVIDE STATEWIDE

CONSISTENCY IN THE CERTIFICATION PROCESS.

THE PROGRAM WILL ALSO ESTABLISH A CENTRAL

THE PROGRAM WILL ALSO ESTABLISH A CENTRAL LOCATION FOR INFORMATION ACCESS. FINAL EVALUATION REPORTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH DETERMINATIONS WILL BE COMPILED AND MAINTAINED IN ONE CENTRAL LOCATION. INFORMATION WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ON THE A.R.B.'S INFORMATION SYSTEM WHICH IS ACCESSIBLE THROUGH THE INTERNET OR BY COMPUTER MODEM. HARD COPIES OF THE EVALUATION REPORTS WILL BE SUPPLIED TO THE DISTRICTS AND MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC UPON REQUEST.

WHILE DEVELOPING THIS PROGRAM, IT IS OUR
DESIRE TO GAIN INPUT FROM THOSE WHO WOULD BE AFFECTED BY
THE PROGRAM. WE WORKED WITH LOCAL AIR POLLUTION CONTROL
DISTRICTS INDIVIDUALLY AND THROUGH THE C.A.P.C.O.A. PERMIT
STREAMLINING SUBCOMMITTEE. IN ADDITION, WE HAVE SURVEYED
THE DEPARTMENTS TO IDENTIFY THE TYPES OF EQUIPMENT THAT
WOULD BE MOST BENEFICIAL TO HAVE CERTIFIED.

WE HELP FOUR PUBLISH WORKSHOPS TO GAIN INPUT FROM OUR INDUSTRY AND MET INDIVIDUALLY WITH INDUSTRY GROUPS AND CONSULTANTS SUCH AS THE CALIFORNIA

ENVIRONMENTAL BUSINESS COUNCIL.

2.2

WE ALSO WORKED WITH THE OTHER BOARDS AND DEPARTMENTS FROM CAL/E.P.A. AND VARIOUS WORK GROUPS, THE INTERAGENCY TASK FORCE AND THE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY.

THE PROPOSED REGULATION IS A SHORT PARAGRAPH WHICH ADOPTS CRITERIA FOR THE PROGRAM BY REFERENCE. YOU SHOULD HAVE IN YOUR PACKAGE A COPY OF THE MODIFIED REGULATION. IT HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO INCLUDE THE LOCATIONS OF WHERE THE CRITERIA CAN BE OBTAINED. THIS INCLUDES THE MAILING ADDRESS OF THE A.R.B. FOR A HARD COPY AS WELL AS THE INTERNET ADDRESS WHERE THE CRITERIA CAN BE DOWNLOADED BY COMPUTER. THIS IS CONSIDERED A NONSUBSTANTIVE CHANGE AND IS AVAILABLE ON THE BACK TABLE FOR ANY INTERESTED PARTIES.

THIS CRITERIA OUTLINES THE PROGRAM ELEMENTS WHICH INCLUDE THE PROCESS WHICH THE A.R.B. AND THE APPLICANT WILL FOLLOW TO CERTIFY EQUIPMENT AND THE REQUIREMENTS AS TO THE INFORMATION AS REQUIRED FOR A COMPLETE APPLICATION PACKAGE AND VERIFICATION TESTING REPORTS.

THE CRITERIA ALSO IDENTIFIES THE

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES, WHICH INCLUDES AN APPEALS

PROCESS FOR ANY APPLICANT WHO HAS PRECERTIFICATION DENIED

OR REVOKED.

1	IN ADDITION, THE CRITERIA OUTLINES A FEE
2	STRUCTURE. WE ARE REQUIRED TO CHARGE A FEE FOR THE
3	PROGRAM, AND WE HAVE ESTABLISHED THIS AS A FEE FOR SERVICE
•	PROGRAM. APPLICANTS WILL BE GIVEN AN ESTIMATE OF THE
4	
5	AMOUNT OF HOURS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO CERTIFY THEIR
6	EQUIPMENT. THE ESTIMATED HOURS WILL BE MULTIPLIED BY AN
7	HOURLY RATE ESTABLISHED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
8	DIVISION. AND THIS WILL RESULT IN THE ESTIMATED COST FOR
9	A CERTIFICATION.
.0	I SHOULD ALSO MENTION THAT THE CRITERIA ALSO
.1	ALLOWS A.R.B. STAFF TO DEVELOP GUIDELINES FOR THE PROGRAM
.2	TO ASSIST APPLICANTS IN UNDERSTANDING THE PROGRAM
.3	REQUIREMENTS AND PROCESS. THESE GUIDELINES ARE THE PLAIN
.4	ENGLISH VERSION OF OUR REGULATION, AND CRITERIA AND WILL
.5	BE PROVIDED TO ALL PRECERTIFICATION APPLICANTS AND
6	INTERESTED PARTIES.
.7	AT THIS POINT, I'D LIKE TO SHARE THE BASIC
L8	PROCESS ON HOW EQUIPMENT WILL BE PRECERTIFIED, AND FOR
L9	TODAY'S PRESENTATION, I'VE BROKEN IT UP INTO FOUR AREAS:
20	PREAPPLICATION PROCEDURES, APPLICATION AND TESTING
21	REQUIREMENTS, EVALUATION OF TEST REPORTS AND ISSUANCE OF
22	DOCUMENTATION.
23	DURING THE PREAPPLICATION PROCEDURES, WE WILL
24	DETERMINE THE ELIGIBILITY OF THE EQUIPMENT OR PROCESS,

CONDUCT A PREAPPLICATION MEETING THE APPLICANT AND

ESTIMATE THE APPLICANT'S COST OF PRECERTIFICATION. WE

WILL ALSO IDENTIFY THE INFORMATION REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A

COMPLETE APPLICATION PACKAGE.

AS FOR THE APPLICATION AND TESTING

REQUIREMENTS, A WRITTEN AND SIGNED APPLICATION WILL BE

REQUIRED FROM EACH APPLICANT, ALONG WITH THE APPLICANT'S

PERFORMANCE CLAIM. THE APPLICATION PACKAGE SHOULD ALSO

INCLUDE ANY EXISTING TEST REPORTS, WHICH SUPPORT THEIR

1.7

ESTIMATED FEE.

UPON RECEIVING THE APPLICATION PACKAGE,

A.R.B. STAFF WILL EVALUATE THE TESTING REPORTS PROVIDED BY

THE APPLICANT TO ENSURE THAT THE INFORMATION AND DATA

SUPPORT THEIR CLAIMS.

CLAIMS, PROTOCOLS FOR PROPOSED TESTING AND ONE-HALF OF THE

IF THE INFORMATION AND TEST DATA SUPPORT THE APPLICANT'S CLAIMS, THE A.R.B. WILL ISSUE DOCUMENTATION CONSISTING OF A FINAL EVALUATION REPORT AND AN EXECUTIVE ORDER TO THE APPLICANT. THE GUIDELINES PROVIDE A FLOW CHART AND TIME LINE WHICH ILLUSTRATE HOW EQUIPMENT WILL BE PRECERTIFIED.

WE ARE CURRENTLY OPERATING AND CERTIFYING
EQUIPMENT AS PART OF A PILOT PROGRAM. THIS HAS ENABLED US
TO EVALUATE THE PROPOSED REGULATION AND CRITERIA, TO
ESTIMATE RESOURCES NEEDED AND TO IDENTIFY ANY OBSTACLES TO
THE PROGRAM'S SUCCESS. PRECERTIFICATIONS WILL BE ISSUED

ON PROVISIONAL BASIS UNTIL A REGULATION HAS BEEN ADOPTED. 1 WE ESTIMATE THE ISSUANCE OF 16 PRECERTIFICATIONS BY THE 2 END OF THIS JUNE. 3 THESE PRECERTIFICATIONS WERE ISSUED TO 4 DISTRICT IDENTIFIED PRIORITY EQUIPMENT CATEGORIES. 5 THROUGH THE DISTRICT SURVEY, DISTRICTS IDENTIFIED SMALL-6 TO MEDIUM-SIZED BOILERS, DRY CLEANING EQUIPMENT AND SOIL 7 REMEDIATION EQUIPMENT AS THE MOST BENEFICIAL FOR PERMIT 8 9 STREAMLINING. IN ADDITION TO THESE EFFORTS, WE HAVE BEEN 10 WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY 11 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT TO PROVIDE RECIPROCITY TO 1.2 MANUFACTURERS OF EQUIPMENT THAT HAVE BEEN PRECERTIFIED. 13 IN CONCLUSION, I'D LIKE TO STATE THAT THE 14 DEVELOPMENT OF THE EQUIPMENT PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM IS 15 MANDATED BY LAW THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY 16 CODE AND THAT OUR PROPOSED PROCESS HAS BEEN DEVELOPED IN 17 AN OPEN PUBLIC FORUM WITH THE PARTICIPATION FROM INDUSTRY, 18 LOCAL AIR DISTRICTS AND THE BOARDS AND DEPARTMENTS OF 1.9 CAL/E.P.A. 20 THE PROPOSED STATEWIDE PROGRAM WILL ASSIST IN 21 STREAMLINING AIR POLLUTION PERMITTING PROCESS, PROMOTING 22 THE ACCEPTANCE OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES AND ENCOURAGE 23 UNIFORM PERMITTING CONDITIONS. 24

THROUGH OUR EXPERIENCE WITH THE PILOT

PROGRAM, WE BELIEVE WE ARE PREPARED FOR FULL
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EQUIPMENT PRELIMINARY. AND IT IS
OUR RECOMMENDATION THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE PROPOSED
REGULATION AND CRITERIA TODAY.
I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND
CONSIDERATION.
AND WE'D LIKE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT
YOU MAY HAVE AT THIS TIME.
MR. DUNLAP: THANK YOU FOR THE OVERVIEW. I
APPRECIATE THAT COMPREHENSIVE LOOK AT THAT INNOVATIVE NEW
PROGRAM.
ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF?
MR. CALHOUN: WHO IS IT THAT OPERATE IT, THE LOCAL
DISTRICTS OR THE STATES?
MR. BRANSEN: THE LOCAL DISTRICTS ISSUE THE PERMIT
TO OPERATE.
MR. CALHOUN: DOES THAT INCLUDE AN ON-SITE VISIT TO
THE PLANT OR WHEREVER THIS PROCESS IS TAKING PLACE?
MR. BRANSEN: BASICALLY, THE PERMITTING PROCEDURES
OF THE DISTRICTS WON'T CHANGE IN ANY WAY. OUR DESIRE IS
· ·
THE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING EVALUATION WILL BE USED TO
THE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING EVALUATION WILL BE USED TO REDUCE THE TIME REQUIRED AT THE DISTRICT TO EVALUATE THE

BECAUSE THE CERTIFICATIONS ARE BASED ON SOURCE TEST

1 INFORMATION.

SO IT WOULD SAVE COST TO THE APPLICANT AND TIME AT THE DISTRICT. BUT IT DOESN'T CHANGE DISTRICT AUTHORITY FOR PERMITTING.

DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

MR. CALHOUN: I GUESS.

MR. DUNLAP: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OF STAFF?

MR. LAGARIAS?

MR. LAGARIAS: PRECERTIFICATION, I THINK, IS A GREAT IDEA, THAT THIS TYPE OF EQUIPMENT MAY BE APPLIED FOR THIS PROCESS. THE APPLICANT WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO HAVE AN OPERATING PERMIT, BECAUSE AN OPERATING PERMIT SPECIFIES THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THIS EQUIPMENT WOULD OPERATE. AND WITHOUT THAT, IT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING.

MR. BRANSEN: YES. OKAY. AGAIN, THE REQUIREMENTS FOR SOMEBODY THAT PURCHASES PRECERTIFIED EQUIPMENT DON'T CHANGE. THEY HAVE TO APPLY AT THE LOCAL DISTRICT FOR AN OPERATING PERMIT. AND WHAT THE STATEWIDE PROGRAM WILL DO WILL TEST THE EQUIPMENT, VERIFY THE PERFORMANCE CLAIMS, AND THE FINAL EVALUATION REPORT WILL HAVE SOME PROPOSED PERMIT CONDITIONS. AND THE LOCAL DISTRICTS WOULD THEN LOOK AT THE SITE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND ADD SOME ADDITIONAL ONES AND MODIFY THE ONES THAT WERE THERE, BUT THE DISTRICT WOULD ISSUE THE PERMIT.

MR. AMES: ALSO A COMMENT IS THAT THIS PROGRAM IS

1	FOCUSED ON COMMONLY USED EQUIPMENT AND SIMPLER TYPE OF
2	EQUIPMENT.
3	SO IT IS TRUE THERE WOULD BE SITE SPECIFIC
4	CONDITIONS FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF EQUIPMENT WE WILL BE
5	EVALUATING UNDER THIS PROGRAM, BUT WE DON'T ANTICIPATE
6	LOOKING AT MAJOR SOURCES THAT ARE VERY COMPLEX.
1 1 - 7 - 1	MR. DUNLAP: MR. AMES, ARE THEY COUPLED, THOUGH?
8	IS IT COUPLED WITH THE PRECERTIFICATION OR NOT?
9	MR. AMES: YES, THERE'S A DIRECT LINK.
10	MR. DUNLAP: OKAY. MS. EDGERTON?
11	MS. EDGERTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
12	THIS IS ONE OF THE PROGRAMS I'M MOST ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT.
13	IT'S SUBTLE, BUT IT'S TREMENDOUSLY IMPORTANT, BECAUSE IN
14	ORDER TO HAVE NEW, CLEANER TECHNOLOGIES, THEY HAVE GOT TO
15	BE ABLE TO PERSUADE THE POTENTIAL BUYER THAT IT REALLY
16	DOES WHAT IT DOES, WHAT THEY SAY IT DOES, AND ALSO THAT
17	IT'S VERY LIKELY, IF NOT ALMOST ASSURED, TO QUALIFY FOR
18	THE ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY PURPOSES.
19	SO I THINK THIS IS TREMENDOUS. IT'S MY
20	UNDERSTANDING THAT FOUR ARE ALREADY CERTIFIED AND 16 ARE
21	COMING ALONG BY THE END OF THE MONTH.
22	MR. AMES: THAT IS CORRECT.
23	MS. EDGERTON: I JUST CONGRATULATE YOU. I'M
24	EXCITED ABOUT THIS. MAYBE ONLY A LAWYER CAN LOVE A
25	REGULATORY STREAMLINING, BUT I JUST THINK THIS IS TERRIFIC

1	IN TERMS OF TAKING US IN THE DIRECTION OF BEING ABLE TO DO
2	GOOD AND DO WELL AT THE SAME TIME.
3	SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
4	MR. DUNLAP: MR. CALHOUN?
5	MR. CALHOUN: I AGREE WITH WHAT YOU SAID,
6	MS. EDGERTON.
7	BUT I THINK MR. LAGARIAS' QUESTION IS POINTED
8	IN THE SAME DIRECTION AS MY QUESTION. AND THAT'S WHAT
9	COMES OUT THE STACK OF THE FUNCTION OF THE PROCESS, AND
10	YOU CAN GET PRECERTIFIED, BUT THERE ARE CONDITIONS, AND I
11	GUESS THAT IS WHAT WE WERE KIND OF FOCUSING ON.
12	MR. AMES: OKAY. THERE'S TWO TYPES OF
13	CERTIFICATIONS. ONE IS PERFORMANCE AND ONE IS
14	REGULATORY.
15	FOR EXAMPLE, OXYGEN BOILERS, SOME OF THE
16	APPLICANTS COME TO US AND WANT TO CERTIFY THE USING OF
17	NATURAL GAS AT THE RATED CAPACITY OF THAT BOILER, IT WILL
18	EMIT SO MANY GRAMS OF NOX PER UNIT OF ENERGY. AND SO
19	THAT'S ONE TYPE OF CERTIFICATION.
20	AND THEN THERE'S A REGULATORY CERTIFICATION
21	THAT RELATES DIRECTLY TO INDIVIDUAL DISTRICT'S
22.	REGULATIONS. AND THERE WE WORK WITH THE LOCAL DISTRICTS
23	TO ENSURE THAT ALL OF THEIR CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THAT
24	UNIT WOULD BE INSTALLED WOULD BE SATISFIED.
25	AND SO IT'S A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THE STATE

	loga aktrica of Magna or or a complete a grown artical of the complete and final of gravitation and a second of
1	AND THE LOCALS IN ORDER TO STREAMLINE THAT LOCAL PROCESS.
2	MR. DUNLAP: OKAY.
3.	MR. BOYD: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD JUST ADD TO
4	MR. AMES, TO PERSUADE ANY CONCERN ANY BOARD MEMBER MIGHT
5	HAVE, NOTHING IN THIS PROCESS IMPEDES THE REQUIREMENTS
6	THAT THE EMISSIONS FROM THE STACK OR EMISSIONS FROM THE
. 7.	FACILITY IN QUESTION MEET EXISTING REQUIREMENTS.
8	WHAT THIS DOES, AS THE STAFF INDICATED, IS
9	PROVIDE A SHORTCUT AND A SPEEDING UP OF THE ENGINEERING
10	PROCESSES THAT HISTORICALLY TAKES PLACE WHEN YOU DO A
11	SITE-BY-SITE REVIEW, AND IT IS PART OF STREAMLINING.
12	AND BOARD MEMBER EDGERTON STOLE MY CLOSING
13	LINES. WITH REGARDS TO THIS, I THINKS IT IS VERY
14	SIGNIFICANT, AND IT IS A BIG FIRST. AND I THINK IT WILL
15	GO A LONG WAY TO SETTING THE STAGE FOR DOING OTHER THINGS
16	LIKE THIS THAT WILL, INDEED, SPEED UP THE PROCESS FOR
17	CALIFORNIA BUSINESSES WHO WANT TO LOCATE, MODIFY AND BUILD
18	NEW FACILITIES HERE.
19	MR. DUNLAP: THANK YOU, MR. BOYD.
20	WE HAVE ONE WITNESS. MR. LACY. WE'D LIKE
21	YOU TO COME FORWARD.
22	GARY LACY FROM FULTON BOILERWORKS IS OUR SOLE
23	WITNESS.
24	AND GOOD MORNING.
25	MP LACY, GOOD MORNING GOOD MORNING BOARD

A A TOTAL ATTO TO TO	
MEMBERS	

MY NAME IS GARY LACY WITH FULTON BOILERWORKS
OUT OF NEW YORK, UPSTATE NEW YORK. I'M A RESIDENT OF
CALIFORNIA FOR 15 YEARS. I LIVE IN ALTA LOMA,
CALIFORNIA.

I HAVE AN ASTHMATIC SON, SO I AM VERY
INTERESTED IN THE AIR QUALITY ISSUES THAT PRESENT TO US IN
CALIFORNIA, BUT NOT ONLY IN CALIFORNIA, ACROSS THE
COUNTRY.

AS A MANUFACTURER OF BOILERS, WE HAVE A
MANUFACTURING PLANT IN NEW YORK, ENGLAND, ALSO IN CHINA,
AND WE'RE ASSEMBLING BOILERS HERE IN CALIFORNIA PRESENTLY
BECAUSE OUR MARKET HAS EXPANDED.

WE LOOK AT THIS PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM AS A BASIS PROBABLY FOR A NATIONAL STANDARD. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAVE NOTICED IN THE BOILER INDUSTRY IS THERE'S ALWAYS BEEN A CONCENTRATION FROM AN AIR QUALITY DISTRICT STANDPOINT ON LARGE BOILERS. AND THE REASON THEY CONCENTRATED ON THAT WAS FOR GOOD REASON, BECAUSE THOSE BOILERS ARE PRIMARILY REGISTERED WITH THE STATE THROUGH LOCAL AREAS, SO THEY KNOW THEY EXIST.

BUT THE PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM,

SPECIFICALLY FROM OUR STANDPOINT, ADDRESSES BOILERS THAT

WERE NOT LOOKED AT BEFORE. BOILERS FROM THE SIZE RANGE OF

250 B.T.U.'S TO TWO MILLION B.T.U.'S.

(<u>-</u>)

THE REASON THEY WEREN'T LOOKED AT IS THEY
REALLY DIDN'T KNOW THEY EXISTED, BECAUSE THERE WAS NO
EQUIPMENT TO REGISTER THOSE PARTICULAR BOILERS. JUST IN
ORANGE COUNTY ALONE, THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 3,000 OF
THOSE BOILERS JUST IN THE HOTEL INDUSTRY.

TO GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, A BOILER THAT HAS AN INPUT OF 840,000 B.T.U. INPUT OPERATING 40 HOURS A WEEK WOULD PRODUCE ABOUT 283 POUNDS OF NOXIOUS OXIDES A YEAR.

WITH THE NEW TECHNOLOGY LIKE WE HAVE
DEVELOPED THAT'S UNDER THIS PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM, THAT
SAME BOILER, THE EMISSIONS WOULD BE REDUCED DOWN TO
34 POUNDS PER YEAR.

WHEN WE DEVELOPED OUR TECHNOLOGY, WE TALK ABOUT LOW EMISSIONS, NOT LOW NOX. WE TALK ABOUT LOW EMISSIONS. WE ATTACK NOT ONLY NOXIOUS OXIDES, BUT ALSO C.O. EMISSIONS.

IN THAT SAME SCENARIO, THAT AVERAGE BOILER
IN THAT CATEGORY WOULD HAVE C.O. EMISSIONS OF ABOUT
315 POUNDS PER YEAR, AND WITH THIS NEW TECHNOLOGY, WE CAN
REDUCE THOSE EMISSIONS TO 134 POUNDS PER YEAR.

IT'S ALWAYS SURPRISED ME, AND I HAVE WATCHED THIS AND BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE AIR QUALITY BOARD, ESPECIALLY IN SOUTH COAST, THAT THIS PARTICULAR TYPE OF BOILER, THE SMALLER BOILERS, WHICH THE PRECERTIFICATION WILL AFFECT, HAS REALLY NEVER BEEN LOOKED AT.

1	AND I'LL GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE. FULTON HAS
2	PRODUCED SINCE 1949 1,000,000, A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER. THE
3	AVERAGE SIZE OF THOSE BOILERS THAT THEY PRODUCED IS
4	30 HORSEPOWER, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY 1.2 MILLION
5	B.T.U.'S, WHICH MEANS IT'S A BOILER THAT NORMALLY ISN'T
6	REGISTERED WITH ANYWHERE IN THE COUNTRY.
7	FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, THE BULK OF THE AIR
8	POLLUTION HAS COME FROM BOILERS THAT ARE COMING FROM THE
9	SMALLER APPLICATIONS, HYDRONIC HEATING BOILERS LIKE IN
10	THIS BUILDING, OR A HOTEL, IN A DRY CLEANING PLANT.
11	AND IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, OVER
12	159.5 HORSEPOWER BOILERS, WHICH ARE 309,000 B.T.U.'S, ARE
13	REPLACED EVERY YEAR. THE AVERAGE LIFE EXPECTANCY OF THESE
14	BOILERS ARE BETWEEN FOUR AND SEVEN YEARS.
15	WITH A PRECERTIFICATION PROCESS, WHICH WE ARE
16	IN FAVOR OF, YOU WOULD IMMEDIATELY HAVE A BOILER THAT'S
17	DESIGN CERTIFIED.
18	AND TO ANSWER THIS GENTLEMAN'S QUESTION,
19	THESE BOILERS WHEN THEY ARE PREDESIGNED CERTIFIED, THEY
20	CAN ONLY BE OPERATED UNDER ONE CONDITION, AND THAT IS A
21	LOW EMISSION CONDITION. THEY CAN'T OPERATE ANY OTHER
22	WAY.
23	IT'S A VERY SIMPLE TYPE BOILER, AND THERE'S
24	BASICALLY NOTHING THAT YOU CAN DO TO CHANGE THE EFFECT OF
	THE DESCRIPTION OF THE THEORY ALONG TO

1	THERE WAS A PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM AND IF THE LOCAL
2	DISTRICT HAD A BASELINE, IMMEDIATELY WHEN THAT BOILER WAS
3	TURNED OVER OR WHEN IT WAS REPLACED, IT WOULD HAVE A NEW
4	LOW EMISSION DESIGN.
5	SO WE ARE IN FAVOR OF THIS. WE HAVE INVESTED
6	OVER TWO MILLION DOLLARS IN DEVELOPING LOW EMISSION
7	TECHNOLOGY, NOT ONLY JUST BECAUSE OF CALIFORNIA. WE
8	BELIEVE THAT IT'S GOING TO BE A NATIONAL ISSUE. AND WE
9	BELIEVE THAT THIS PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM IS SOMETHING
10	THAT WOULD MAYBE BE THE BASIS FOR THAT.
11	AND I THANK YOU FOR THE TIME.
12	IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL BE GLAD TO
13	ANSWER THEM FOR YOU.
14	MR. DUNLAP: OKAY. MS. EDGERTON.
15	MS. EDGERTON: I APOLOGIZE, BUT I DIDN'T CATCH
16	WHERE YOUR PLANT IS IN CALIFORNIA.
17	MR. LACY: WELL, RIGHT NOW WE'RE ASSEMBLING IN
18	SANTA ANA JOINTLY WITH ANOTHER BOILER MANUFACTURER. BUT
19	WE HAVE MADE A COMMITMENT THAT WE WILL HAVE A FACILITY
20	HERE THAT WE WILL BE DOING ALL OF OUR PRODUCTION FROM
21	DENVER WEST.
22	MS. EDGERTON: WHERE DO YOU THINK THAT WILL BE? IS
23	THAT IN SANTA ANA ALSO?
24	MR. LACY: PROBABLY. IT'S GOING TO BE SOMEWHERE IN
٥.	CALTEODATA THEY HAVE MADE THAT COMMITTMENT

1	MS. EDGERTON: IF THERE'S ANYTHING WE CAN DO TO
2	HELP YOU, LET US KNOW. WE APPRECIATE YOUR WORK.
3	THANK YOU.
4	MR. LACY: THANK YOU.
5	MR. DUNLAP: MR. LACY, IT'S NICE TO RECOGNIZE
6	SOMEONE FROM RANCHO CUCAMUNGA.
7	MR. LACY: THANK YOU.
8	MR. DUNLAP: STAFF, DO WE HAVE ANY COMMENTS WE NEED
9	TO SUMMARIZE BEFORE THE BOARD CONSIDERS THE RESOLUTION
10	HERE?
11	MR. BOYD: YES, WE DO.
12	MR. DUNLAP: MR. AMES?
13	MR. AMES: WE HAVE FOUR COMMENT LETTERS WE
14	RECEIVED.
15	THE FIRST LETTER IS A CALIFORNIA PRESIDENT OF
16	THE CALIFORNIA AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICERS
1,7	ASSOCIATION. AND IN THAT LETTER, MR. HESS STATES THAT
18	C.A.P.C.O.A. SUPPORTS THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED
19	PRECERTIFICATION REGULATION AND CRITERIA.
20	HE ALSO COMMENTS THAT THEIR EFFORT WILL
21	ASSIST APPLICANTS WITHOUT ADVERSELY AFFECTING AIR QUALITY,
22	AND THE AIR DISTRICTS LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THE
23	A.R.B. IN PARTNERSHIP ON THIS ISSUE.
24	THE SECOND LETTER IS A JUNE 11TH LETTER FROM
2.5	MR. BRIAN RUNKEL, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA

1	ENVIRONMENTAL BUSINESS COUNCIL. THE FIRST POINT
2	MR. RUNKEL MAKES IS THAT HE EXPRESSES STRONG SUPPORT FOR
3	ADOPTION OF THE REGULATIONS AND CRITERIA. SECONDLY, THAT
4	THIS EFFORT CAN HELP TECHNOLOGY, DEVELOPERS AND
5	MANUFACTURERS BREAK INTO NEW MARKETS, PARTICULARLY EXPORT
6	MARKETS. THIRDLY, MR. RUNKEL EXPRESSES APPRECIATION FOR
7	DEVELOPING A USER-FRIENDLY PROGRAM AND FOR ADDRESSING THE
8	CONCERNS OF SMALL BUSINESSES. FINALLY, MR. RUNKEL
9	ACKNOWLEDGES THE MANY STATEMENTS MADE BY CHAIRMAN DUNLAP
10	AND PERSONALLY ON BEHALF OF ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY AND
11	THE IMPORTANCE OF MAINTAINING CALIFORNIA'S LEADERSHIP IN
12	THIS AREA, AND THIS LEADERSHIP HAS MADE A DIFFERENCE IN
13	MOVING CALIFORNIA FORWARD TO A CLOSER HEALTHIER
14	PARTNERSHIP WITH CALIFORNIA'S ENVIRONMENTAL INDUSTRY AND
15	URGES SPEEDY ADOPTION.
16	THE THIRD LETTER IS A LETTER FROM
17	MR. WALTER BROWN, GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS DIRECTOR OF THE
18	ENGINE MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION.
19	THE FIRST COMMENT IS THAT E.M.A. SUPPORTS THE
20	GOALS OF THE STAFF PROPOSAL TO STREAMLINE PERMITS AND TO
21	PROMOTE UNIFORMITY BETWEEN DISTRICTS.
22	HOWEVER, E.M.A. HAS SEVERAL CONCERNS AND
23	SUGGESTIONS AS A PROPOSED REGULATION APPLIES TO STATIONARY
24	COMPRESSION IGNITION ENGINES. THE E.M.A. LETTER RAISES

FOUR ISSUES.

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

ON JUNE 12TH, THE STAFF CONTACTED MR. BROWN TO DISCUSS HIS CONCERNS AND TO CLARIFY SOME MISUNDERSTANDINGS THAT E.M.A. HAD CONCERNING THE SCOPE OF THE STAFF PROPOSAL. FIRST, E.M.A. RAISES THAT THE TESTING REQUIREMENTS ARE LIMITED TO INDEPENDENT TESTING, AND E.M.A. SUGGESTS ALLOWING SELF-TESTING BY MANUFACTURERS OF ENGINES.

IN RESPONSE, WE POINTED OUT TO MR. BROWN ON THE PHONE THAT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER MAY APPROVE ALTERNATIVE TESTING LIKE THAT REQUESTED BY E.M.A. THIS OPTION WAS ADDED TO OUR PROPOSED REGULATION IN RESPONSE TO COMMENTS BY CATERPILLAR, INCORPORATED, AT A PUBLIC WORKSHOP LAST JULY. MR. BROWN WAS PLEASED TO HEAR THAT THIS IS THE CASE.

SECONDLY, E.M.A. WANTS ABSOLUTE ASSURANCE THAT ALL AIR DISTRICTS WILL ACCEPT STATEWIDE PRECERTIFICATIONS. IN RESPONSE, THE STATE BILL THAT WAS THE BASIS FOR THIS PERMIT DID NOT CHANGE THE AUTHORITY OF THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD OR THE AIR DISTRICTS WITH RESPECT TO PERMITTING. HOWEVER, WE HAVE HAD A VERY GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH THE AIR DISTRICTS IN DEVELOPING THIS PROGRAM AND HAVE EVERY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THIS WILL CONTINUE TO BE THE CASE, AND I THINK THAT C.A.P.C.O.A.'S LETTER IS A GOOD DEMONSTRATION OF THAT.

MR. BROWN WAS SATISFIED AND PLEASED TO HEAR

THAT WE READ HER LETTER INTO THE RECORD. SO PER HER REQUEST, I'LL GO AHEAD AND DO THAT.

1

2

"I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THIS 3 OPPORTUNITY, CHAIRMAN DUNLAP AND BOARD MEMBERS, TO EXPRESS SUPPORT FOR YOUR 5 FAVORABLE CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED 6 REGULATION AND CERTIFICATION FOR THE CRITERIA FOR THE PRECERTIFICATION OF SIMPLE, COMMONLY USED AIR POLLUTION EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES. SINCE THE EARLY 10 1970'S, CALIFORNIA HAS LED NOT ONLY THE 11 NATION BUT ALSO MUCH OF THE WORLD IN 12 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION. ALONG WITH 13 THESE HIGH STANDARDS, A SIGNIFICANT 14 ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY STANDARD HAS GROWN; 15 TODAY, THIS 18 TO 20 BILLION DOLLAR INDUSTRY 16 EMPLOYS NEARLY 180,000 CALIFORNIANS. 17 COMPANIES PROVIDING AIR POLLUTION EQUIPMENT 18 AND PROCESSES REPRESENT AN IMPORTANT PART 19 OF THIS INDUSTRY, AND THE MEANS OF 2.0 ACHIEVING OUR ENVIRONMENTAL GOALS. THE 21 PROPOSED REGULATION, WHICH YOU WILL 22 CONSIDER AT THE JUNE 14TH HEARING, WILL 23 PROVIDE ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES 24 A NEW MEANS OF GAINING ACCEPTANCE IN THE 25

2.5

MARKETPLACE AND THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING
PROCESS. PRECERTIFICATION AFFORDS
OPPORTUNITIES TO ENCOURAGE NEW TECHNOLOGIES,
STREAMLINE THE PERMITTING PROCESS, AND
ESTABLISH UNIFORM PERMIT CONDITIONS
STATEWIDE.

"I ALSO COMMEND THE AIR RESOURCES
BOARD FOR IMPLEMENTING A PILOT
PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM AND DEVELOPING
THE PROPOSED REGULATION AND PROCESS
QUICKLY AND EFFECTIVELY. WORKING TOGETHER
WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF INDUSTRY, THE LOCAL
AIR DISTRICTS, VERIFICATION TESTING
ENTITIES AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES,
YOUR STAFF HAS MADE A NOTABLE EFFORT
SEEKING INPUT. THE STAFF REPORT REFLECTS
THOUGHTFUL CONSIDERATION OF THE NEEDS OF
PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS. THE PROPOSED RULE
AND PRECERTIFICATION PROGRAM WILL SERVES AS
A MODEL FOR OTHER CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS IN
CALIFORNIA.

"ALTHOUGH I AM UNABLE TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARING, I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSED REGULATION. MY SINCERE THANKS

1	ALSO FOR THE CONTINUED LEADERSHIP YOU, THE
2	BOARD MEMBERS, AND YOUR STAFF HAVE
3	DEMONSTRATED IN PROMOTING ENVIRONMENTAL
4	TECHNOLOGIES AS PART OF OUR ENVIRONMENTAL
5	PROGRAMS. YOUR WORK IS APPRECIATED BY THE
6	PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA.
7	"SINCERELY, ANN HEYWOOD, DEPUTY
8	SECRETARY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY."
9	THAT'S THE FOURTH AND FINAL LETTER.
10	MR. DUNLAP: THANK YOU, MR. AMES. I APPRECIATE
11	THOSE WORDS FROM DEPUTY SECRETARY HEYWOOD, BECAUSE IT
12	SHOWS CLEARLY, AS WE HAVE COME TO EXPECT AND APPRECIATE,
13	THAT WE HAVE CAL/E.P.A. BEHIND OUR INNOVATED WORK, THAT
14	THEY HAVE TAKEN A DEEP INTEREST IN THIS PROGRAM'S
15	IMPLEMENTATION. AND WE ARE POSITIONED WELL TO BE ABLE TO
16	GO OUT AND SET SOME STANDARDS THAT OTHERS WILL LIKELY
17	FOLLOW.
18	SO WITH THAT, ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES ON THE
, 19	BOARD HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?
20	MR. BOYD INDICATED ARE HERE THAT WE PUSHED
21	HIM TO HAVE HIS WRAP-UP EARLIER THAN HE NORMALLY WOULD
22	HAVE, BUT I THINK THERE'S CONSENSUS WE HAVE GOT A WINNER
23	HERE.
24	MS. EDGERTON?
25	MS. EDGERTON: I THINK I SHOULD TAKE THIS

ì	
1	OPPORTUNITY TO THANK THE CHAIRMAN FOR HIS WORK ON
2	ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY IN A FORMER LIGHT WHEN YOU WERE
3	OVER AT THE TOXICS DEPARTMENT, AND NO ONE HERE HAS POINTED
4	OUT THAT THIS IS IN PART A RESULT OF YOUR EXCELLENT WORK
5	AS WELL.
6	SO I THANK YOU PERSONALLY.
7	MR. DUNLAP: YOU'RE WELCOME.
8	WELL, THANK YOU.
9	MR. BOYD?
10	MR. BOYD: I ALWAYS DESIRE GETTING A LAST WORD IN,
11	MR. CHAIRMAN, IF YOU WOULD GIVE ME ONE LAST OPPORTUNITY.
12	I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT, AND I WISH I DID
13	WHEN MR. LACY WAS AT THE PLATFORM, EARLIER THIS WEEK,
14	E.L.A. ASSERTED FOUR PRECERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS FOR FULTON
15	BOILERWORKS, AND I WOULD JUST LIKE THE BOARD AND THE
16	AUDIENCE MR. LACY WAS PROBABLY AWARE OF THAT FACT
17	ALREADY TO KNOW THAT THIS WAS KIND OF A FIRST, AND THEY
18	ARE AMONG THE FIRST.
19	AND WE FEEL GOOD ABOUT THE PROGRAM AND GOOD
20	ABOUT THE EFFORT THEY HAVE MADE.
21	SO I COMMEND THAT AND COMMEND THE STAFF.
22	MR. DUNLAP: OKAY. THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL THE
23	TESTIMONY.
24	WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS AND STAFF COMMENTS FOR
25	THIS ITEM HAVE BEEN ENTERED INTO THE RECORD AND THE BOARD

1	HAS NOT GRANTED AN EXTENSION OF THE COMMENT PERIOD. I'M
2	OFFICIALLY CLOSING THE RECORD ON THIS PORTION OF AGENDA
3	ITEM NUMBER 9-5-1. WRITTEN OR ORAL COMMENTS RECEIVED
4	AFTER THE COMMENT PERIOD HAS BEEN CLOSED WILL NOT BE
5	ACCEPTED AS PART OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD ON THIS AGENDA
6	ITEM.
7	ARE THERE ANY EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS THE
8	BOARD MEMBERS NEED TO MENTION AT THIS POINT?
9	OKAY. WE HAVE BEFORE US RESOLUTION 96-35.
10	WE HAD IT FOR A WHILE. THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN THE
11	MOTION TO ADOPT THIS RESOLUTION.
12	MR. BOSTON: I MOVE RESOLUTION NUMBER 96-35'S
13	ADOPTION.
14	MR. DUNLAP: THANK YOU.
15	IS THERE A SECOND?
16	MR. HILLIGOSS: SECOND.
17	MR. DUNLAP: THANK YOU.
18	ANY DISCUSSION?
19	I THINK WE CAN GO FORWARD ON A VOICE VOTE.
20	ALL THOSE IN FAVORS SAY AYE.
21	(WHEREUPON EACH AND EVERY BOARD MEMBER
22	RESPONDED "AYE")
23	MR. DUNLAP: ANY OPPOSED?
24	NO OPPOSED.
25	THANK YOU.

1	ALL RIGHT. WE WILL ASK STAFF TO CHANGE
2	POSITIONS AND GIVE OUR COURT REPORTER A MOMENT.
3	(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS)
4	MR. DUNLAP: THE SECOND AGENDA ITEM IS 96-5-2.
5	AGAIN, I ENCOURAGE THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE WHO
6	HAVE WRITTEN TESTIMONY TO PLEASE SEE THE BOARD SECRETARY
7	TO PROVIDE THE 20 COPIES WE HAVE ASKED FOR.
8	96-5-2 IS A PUBLIC MEETING TO CONSIDER THE
9	ADOPTION OF A NONREGULATORY AMENDMENT TO REMOVE ACETONE
10	FROM CATEGORY III OF THE AB 1807 TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT
11	IDENTIFICATION LIST.
12	I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS THE FIRST OF TWO
13	ACTIONS THE BOARD WILL BE CONSIDERING REGARDING ACETONE,
14	ONE THIS MONTH, THE OTHER NEXT MONTH. TODAY'S ACTION
15	WOULD REMOVE ACETONE FROM THE AB 1807B TOXIC AIR
16	CONTAMINANT IDENTIFICATION LISTING.
17	AT THIS POINT, I'D LIKE TO ASK MR. BOYD TO
18	INTRODUCE THE ITEM.
19	JIM?
20	MR. BOYD: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
21	ON SEPTEMBER 21ST, 1995, THE CHEMICAL
22	MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION SENT A PETITION TO THE AIR
23	RESOURCES BOARD REQUESTING REMOVING OF ACETONE FROM BOTH
24	THE TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANT PROGRAM OF THE AIR RESOURCES
	TO BE THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE TRANSPOR