Note: Set forth below are the proposed amendments to title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. Amendments to existing section proposed and subject to comment in this rulemaking are shown in underline to indicate additions and strikeout to indicate deletions. Subsections for which no changes are proposed in this rulemaking are indicated with [No change] or “*    *    *    *”.

Note: The entire text of section 1961.2 and 1961.3 set forth below is new language and is shown in “normal type” proposed to be added to the California Code of Regulations.


§ 1900. Definitions.

*    *    *    *

(b) In addition to the definitions incorporated under subdivision (a), the following definitions shall govern the provisions of this chapter.

*    *    *    *

(9) “Intermediate volume manufacturer” means any pre-2001 model year manufacturer with California sales between 3,001 and 60,000 new light- and medium-duty vehicles per model year based on the average number of vehicles sold by the manufacturer each model year from 1989 to 1993; any 2001 through 2002 model year manufacturer with California sales between 4,501 and 60,000 new light- and medium-duty vehicles per model year based on the average number of vehicles sold by the manufacturer each model year from 1989 to 1993; and any 2003 and subsequent through 2017 model year manufacturer with California sales between 4,501 and 60,000 new light- and medium-duty vehicles
based on the average number of vehicles sold for the three previous consecutive model years for which a manufacturer seeks certification; and any 2018 and subsequent model year manufacturer with California sales between 4,501 and 20,000 new light- and medium-duty vehicles based on the average number of vehicles sold for the three previous consecutive model years for which a manufacturer seeks certification. For a manufacturer certifying for the first time in California, model year sales shall be based on projected California sales. A manufacturer’s California sales shall consist of all vehicles or engines produced by the manufacturer and delivered for sale in California, except that vehicles or engines produced by the manufacturer and marketed in California by another manufacturer under the other manufacturer’s nameplate shall be treated as California sales of the marketing manufacturer.

For purposes of applying the 2005 and subsequent through 2017 model year zero-emission vehicle requirements for intermediate-volume manufacturers under section 1962(b) or 1962.1(b), as applicable, the annual sales from different firms shall be aggregated in the case of (1) vehicles produced by two or more firms, each one of which either has a greater than 50% equity ownership in another or is more than 50% owned by another; or (2) vehicles produced by any two or more firms if a third party has equity ownership of greater than 50% in each firm.

For purposes of applying the 2009 and subsequent through 2016 model year Greenhouse Gas requirements for intermediate volume manufacturers under section 1961.1, the annual sales from different firms shall be aggregated in the following situations: (1) vehicles produced by two or more firms, each one of which either has a greater than 10% equity ownership in another or is more than 10% owned by another; or (2) vehicles produced by any two or more firms if a third party has equity ownership of greater than 10% in each firm.

For the 2018 and subsequent model years, the annual sales from different firms shall be aggregated in the following situations: (1) vehicles produced by two or more firms, one of which is 33.4% or greater part owned by another; or (2) vehicles produced by any two or more firms if a third party has equity ownership of 33.4% or more in each of the firms; or (3) vehicles produced by two or more firms having a common corporate officer(s) who is (are) responsible for the overall direction of the companies; or (4) vehicles imported or distributed by any firms where the vehicles are manufactured by the same entity and the importer or distributor is an authorized agent of the entity.

* * * *

(22) “Small volume manufacturer” means, with respect to the 2001 and subsequent model-years, a manufacturer with California sales less than 4,500 new passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty vehicles, heavy-duty vehicles and heavy-duty engines based on the average number of vehicles sold...
for the three previous consecutive model years for which a manufacturer seeks certification as a small volume manufacturer; however, for manufacturers certifying for the first time in California model-year sales shall be based on projected California sales. A manufacturer’s California sales shall consist of all vehicles or engines produced by the manufacturer and delivered for sale in California, except that vehicles or engines produced by the manufacturer and marketed in California by another manufacturer under the other manufacturer’s nameplate shall be treated as California sales of the marketing manufacturer. Except as provided in the next paragraph, beginning with for the 2009 through 2017 model years, the annual sales from different firms shall be aggregated in the following situations: (1) vehicles produced by two or more firms, one of which is 10% or greater part owned by another; or (2) vehicles produced by any two or more firms if a third party has equity ownership of 10% or more in each of the firms; or (3) vehicles produced by two or more firms having a common corporate officer(s) who is (are) responsible for the overall direction of the companies; or (4) vehicles imported or distributed by all any firms where the vehicles are manufactured by the same entity and the importer or distributor is an authorized agent of the entity. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, upon application to the Executive Officer, a manufacturer may be classified as a “small volume manufacturer” for the 2015 through 2017 model years if the Executive Officer determines that it is operationally independent of the firm that owns 10% or more of the applicant or has a greater than 10% equity ownership in the applicant based on the criteria provided in the last paragraph of this subsection (b)(22).

For purposes of compliance with the zero-emission vehicle requirements, heavy-duty vehicles and engines shall not be counted as part of a manufacturer’s sales. For purposes of applying the 2005 and subsequent through 2017 model year zero-emission vehicle requirements for small-volume manufacturers under sections 1962(b) and 1962.1(b), the annual sales from different firms shall be aggregated in the case of (1) vehicles produced by two or more firms, each one of which either has a greater than 50% equity ownership in another or is more than 50% owned by another; or (2) vehicles produced by any two or more firms if a third party has equity ownership of greater than 50% in each firm. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, upon application to the Executive Officer, a manufacturer may be classified as a “small volume manufacturer” for the 2015 through 2017 model years if the Executive Officer determines that it is operationally independent of the firm that owns 50% or more of the applicant or has a greater than 50% equity ownership in the applicant based on the criteria provided in the last paragraph of this subsection (b)(22).

Except as provided in the next paragraph, for the 2018 and subsequent model years, the annual sales from different firms shall be aggregated in the following situations: (1) vehicles produced by two or more firms, one of which is 33.4% or greater part owned by another; or (2) vehicles produced by any two or more firms if a third party has equity ownership of 33.4% or more in each of the firms; or (3)
vehicles produced by two or more firms having a common corporate officer(s) who is (are) responsible for the overall direction of the companies; or (4) vehicles imported or distributed by any firms where the vehicles are manufactured by the same entity and the importer or distributor is an authorized agent of the entity. Notwithstanding the provisions of this paragraph, upon application to the Executive Officer, a manufacturer may be classified as a “small volume manufacturer” for the 2018 and subsequent model years if the Executive Officer determines that it is operationally independent of the firm that owns 33.4% or more of the applicant or has a greater than 33.4% equity ownership in the applicant based on the criteria provided in the last paragraph of this subsection (b)(22).

For the purposes of this paragraph, all manufacturers whose annual sales are aggregated together under the provisions of this subsection (b)(22) shall be defined as “related manufacturers.” Notwithstanding such aggregation, the Executive Officer may make a determination of operational independence if all of the following criteria are met for at least 24 months preceding the application submittal: (1) for the three years preceding the year in which the initial application is submitted, the average California sales for the applicant does not exceed 4,500 vehicles per year; (2) no financial or other support of economic value is provided by related manufacturers for purposes of design, parts procurement, R&D and production facilities and operation, and any other transactions between related manufacturers are conducted under normal commercial arrangements like those conducted with other parties, at competitive pricing rates to the manufacturer; (3) related manufacturers maintain separate and independent research and development, testing, and production facilities; (4) related manufacturers do not use any vehicle powertrains or platforms developed or produced by related manufacturers; (5) patents are not held jointly with related manufacturers; (6) related manufacturers maintain separate business administration, legal, purchasing, sales, and marketing departments, as well as autonomous decision-making on commercial matters; (7) the overlap of the Board of Directors between related manufacturers is limited to 25% with no sharing of top operational management, including president, chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and chief operating officer, and provided that no individual overlapping director or combination of overlapping directors exercises exclusive management control over either or both companies; and (8) parts or components supply between related companies must be established through open market process, and to the extent that the manufacturer sells parts/components to non-related manufacturers, it does so through the open market a competitive pricing. Any manufacturer applying for operational independence must submit to ARB an Attestation Engagement from an independent certified public accountant or firm of such accountants verifying the accuracy of the information contained in the application, as defined by and in accordance with the procedures established in 40 C.F.R. §80.125, as last amended January 19, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference. The applicant must submit information to update any of the above eight criteria as
material changes to any of the criteria occur. If there are no material changes to
any of the criteria, the applicant must certify that to the Executive Officer
annually. With respect to any such changes, the Executive Officer may consider
extraordinary conditions (e.g., changes to economic conditions, unanticipated
market changes, etc.) and may continue to find the applicant to be operationally
independent. In the event that a manufacturer loses eligibility as a “small volume
manufacturer” after a material change occurs, the manufacturer must begin
compliance with the primary emissions program in the third model year after the
model year in which the manufacturer loses its eligibility. The Executive Officer
may, in his or her discretion, re-establish lost “small volume manufacturer” status
if the manufacturer shows that it has met the operational independence criteria
for three consecutive years.

* * * *

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 39600, 39601, 43013, 43018, 43101 and 43104, Health and
Safety Code. Reference: Sections 39002, 39003, 39010, 39500, 40000, 43000, 43013, 43018.5,
43100, 43101, 43101.5, 43102, 43103, 43104, 43106 and 43204, Health and Safety Code; and
Section 27156, Vehicle Code.


(c)(1)(B) The exhaust emissions from new 2005 and subsequent model heavy-duty Otto-cycle engines, except for Otto-cycle medium- and heavy-duty engines subject to the alternative standards in 40 CFR §86.005-10(f), shall not exceed:
California Emission Standards for 2005 and Subsequent Model
Heavy-Duty Otto-Cycle Engines\textsuperscript{A}

(in g/bhp-hr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Emission Category</th>
<th>(\text{NMHC + NOx})</th>
<th>(\text{NMHC})</th>
<th>(\text{NOx})</th>
<th>(\text{CO}^{\text{E}})</th>
<th>(\text{HCHO})</th>
<th>(\text{PM})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2005) through</td>
<td>ULEV (1.0^{\text{C,E}})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2007)</td>
<td>SULEV 0.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 and</td>
<td>ULEV n/a</td>
<td>0.14\textsuperscript{E}</td>
<td>0.20\textsuperscript{E}</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsequent</td>
<td>SULEV n/a</td>
<td>0.07\textsuperscript{E}</td>
<td>0.10\textsuperscript{E}</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Standards for Heavy-Duty Otto-Cycle Engines Used In Heavy-Duty Vehicles Over 14,000 pounds GVW}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>(\text{NMHC + NOx})</th>
<th>(\text{NMHC})</th>
<th>(\text{NOx})</th>
<th>(\text{CO}^{\text{E}})</th>
<th>(\text{HCHO})</th>
<th>(\text{PM})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2005) through</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>0.05\textsuperscript{DE}</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 and</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.14\textsuperscript{E}</td>
<td>0.20\textsuperscript{E}</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsequent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These standards apply to petroleum-fueled, alcohol-fueled, liquefied petroleum gas-fueled and natural gas-fueled Otto-cycle engines.

\textsuperscript{B} For the 2020 and subsequent model years, medium-duty vehicles 8,501 to 10,000 pounds GVW must certify to the primary emission standards and test procedures for complete vehicles specified in section 1961.2, title 13, CCR.

\textsuperscript{CE} A manufacturer of engines used in incomplete medium-duty vehicles may choose to comply with these standards as an alternative to the primary emission standards and test procedures for complete vehicles specified in section 1961 or 1961.2, title 13, CCR. A manufacturer that chooses to comply with these optional heavy-duty engine standards and test procedures shall specify, in the Part I application for certification, an in-use compliance test procedure, as provided in section 2139(c), title 13 CCR.

\textsuperscript{DE} A manufacturer may request to certify to the Option 1 or Option 2 federal NMHC + NOx standards as set forth in 40 CFR §86.005-10(f). However, for engines used in medium-duty vehicles, the formaldehyde level must meet the standard specified above.

\textsuperscript{EE} This standard only applies to methanol-fueled Otto-cycle engines.

\textsuperscript{E} A manufacturer may elect to include any or all of its medium- and heavy-duty Otto-cycle engine families in any or all of the emissions ABT programs for HDEs, within the restrictions described in section I.15 of the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2004 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Otto-Cycle Engines,” incorporated by reference in section 1956.8(d). For engine families certified to the Option 1 or 2 federal standards, the FEL must not exceed 1.5 g/bhp-hr. If a manufacturer elects to include engine families certified to the 2005 and subsequent model year standards, the NOx plus NMHC FEL must not exceed 1.0 g/bhp-hr. For engine families certified to the 2008 and subsequent model year standards, the FEL is the same as set forth in 40 CFR 86.008-10(a)(1).

\textsuperscript{F} Idle carbon monoxide: For all Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines utilizing aftertreatment technology, and not certified to the on-board diagnostics requirements of section 1968, et seq, as applicable, the CO emissions shall not exceed 0.50 percent of exhaust gas flow at curb idle.

\* \* \* \* \*
Optional Standards for Complete Heavy-Duty Vehicles that Use Heavy-Duty Otto-Cycle Engines. Manufacturers may request to group complete heavy-duty Otto-cycle vehicles into the same test group as Otto-cycle vehicles certifying to the LEV III exhaust emission standards and test procedures specified in title 13, CCR, §1961.2, so long as those complete heavy-duty Otto-cycle vehicles meet the most stringent LEV III standards to which any vehicle within that test group certifies.


(h) The exhaust emissions from new:

1992 and subsequent model diesel engines used in medium-duty low-emission vehicles, ultra-low-emission vehicles and super-ultra-low-emission vehicles shall not exceed:
(grams per brake horsepower-hour)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Vehicle Emissions Category(^B)</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide</th>
<th>NMHC + NO(_X)(^C)</th>
<th>Non-Methane Hydrocarbons</th>
<th>Oxides of Nitrogen</th>
<th>Formaldehyde</th>
<th>Particulates(^D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992(^E) - 2001</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.5 (^\text{K})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.10 (^\text{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002 - 2003(^E)</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.0 (^\text{K})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.10 (^\text{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992 - 2003(^E,\text{Opt A})</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.5 (^\text{L,JK})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.10 (^\text{JK})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2006 and subsequent (^L)</td>
<td>ULEV - Opt A</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.5 (^\text{L,JK})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.10 (^\text{JK})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2006 and subsequent (^L)</td>
<td>ULEV - Opt. B</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.4 (^\text{L,JK})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.10 (^\text{JK})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 and subsequent (^D) (diesel only)</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992-2006 and subsequent (^L)</td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.0 (^\text{K})</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.05 (^\text{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 and subsequent (^D) (diesel only)</td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^A\) This set of standards is optional. For the 1992 through 2019 model years, manufacturers of engines used in incomplete medium-duty vehicles or diesel engines used in medium-duty vehicles from 8501-10,000 14,000 pounds gross vehicle weight rating may choose to comply with these standards as an alternative to the primary emission standards and test procedures specified in section 1960.1, or section 1961, or section 1961.2, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. For the 1992 and subsequent model years, manufacturers of engines used in incomplete medium-duty vehicles or diesel engines used in medium-duty vehicles from 10,001-14,000 pounds gross vehicle weight rating may choose to comply with these standards as an alternative to the primary emission standards and test procedures specified in section 1960.1, section 1961, or section 1961.2, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. For the 2020 and subsequent model years, both incomplete medium-duty vehicles and...
medium-duty vehicles that use a diesel engine 8,501 to 10,000 pounds GVW must certify to
the primary emission standards and test procedures for complete vehicles specified in
section 1961.2, title 13, CCR. Manufacturers that choose to comply with these optional
heavy-duty standards and test procedures shall specify, in the application for certification, an
in-use compliance test procedure, as provided in section 2139(c), Title 13, California Code of
Regulations.

B
“LEV” means low-emission vehicle.
“ULEV” means ultra-low-emission vehicle.
“SULEV” means super ultra-low-emission vehicle.

C
This standard is the sum of the individual non-methane hydrocarbon emissions and oxides of
nitrogen emissions. For methanol-fueled engines, non-methane hydrocarbons shall mean
organic material hydrocarbon equivalent (“OMHCE”).

D
These standards apply only to diesel engines and vehicles.

E
Manufacturers may certify engines used in incomplete medium-duty vehicles or diesel
engines used in medium-duty vehicles to these standards to meet the requirements of
section 1956.8(g), Title 13, California Code of Regulations.

F
In-use compliance testing shall be limited to vehicles or engines with fewer than 90,000
miles.

G
[Reserved]

H
For engines certified to the 3.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/bhp-hr) LEV standards,
the in-use compliance standard shall be 3.7 g/bhp-hr for the first two model years of
introduction. For engines certified to the 2002 and 2003 model year LEV standards, the in-
use compliance standard shall be 3.2 g/bhp-hr. For engines certified to the 1992 through
2003 model year ULEV standards, the in-use compliance standard shall be 2.7 g/bhp-hr for
the first two model years of introduction. For engines certified to the 1992 and subsequent
SULEV standards, the in-use compliance standard shall be 2.2 g/bhp-hr for the first two
model years of introduction.

I
Manufacturers have the option of certifying to either option A or B. Manufacturers electing to
certify to Option A must demonstrate that the NMHC emissions do not exceed 0.5 g/bhp-hr.

J
Emissions averaging may be used to meet these standards for diesel engines, using the
requirements for participation in averaging, banking and trading programs, as set forth in the
“California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2004 and Subsequent
Model Heavy-Duty Diesel Engines and Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section 1956.8
(b), above.

K
Engines of 1998 and subsequent model years may be eligible to generate averaging, banking
and trading credits based on these standards according to the requirements of the averaging,
banking and trading programs described in the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and
Test Procedures for 1985 through 2003 Model Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles” and the
“California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2004 and Subsequent
Model Heavy-Duty Diesel Engines and Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section
1956.8(b), above.

L
For the 2005 and 2006 model years, these emission standards only apply to diesel engines
and vehicles. For 2007 and subsequent model year diesel engines used in medium-duty
vehicles, these emission standards are not applicable.

* * * *

(5) Optional Standards for Complete Heavy-Duty Vehicles that Use
Heavy-Duty Diesel Engines. Manufacturers may request to group complete
heavy-duty diesel vehicles into the same test group as medium-duty diesel
vehicles certifying to the LEV III exhaust emission standards and test procedures
specified in title 13, CCR, §1961.2, so long as those complete heavy-duty diesel
vehicles meet the most stringent LEV III standards to which any vehicle within that test group certifies.


* * * *

### SFTP EXHAUST EMISSION STANDARDS
FOR LOW-EMISSION VEHICLES, ULTRA-LOW-EMISSION VEHICLES, AND SUPER-ULTRA-LOW-EMISSION VEHICLES IN THE PASSENGER CAR, LIGHT-DUTY TRUCK, AND MEDIUM-DUTY VEHICLE CLASSES
(grams per mile)$^{5,6,7,9,10,11}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type$^1$</th>
<th>Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (lbs.)</th>
<th>Loaded Vehicle Test Weight (lbs.)$^2$</th>
<th>US06 Test$^1$</th>
<th>A/C Test$^{1,3,5}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NMHC$^{34}$ + NOx$^1$</td>
<td>CO$^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All Vehicles in this category are tested at their loaded vehicle weight (curb weight plus 300 lbs.)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT LDT</td>
<td>&lt; 6,000 lbs.</td>
<td>0-3750 Vehicules in this category are tested at their loaded vehicle weight (curb weight plus 300 lbs.)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3751-5750 Vehicules in this category are tested at their loaded vehicle weight (curb weight plus 300 lbs.)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDV MDV</td>
<td>6,001-8,500 lbs.$^2$</td>
<td>3751-5750 Vehicules in this category are tested at their adjusted loaded vehicle weight (average of curb weight and GVWR)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5751-8500$^3$ Vehicules in this category are tested at their adjusted loaded vehicle weight (average of curb weight and GVWR)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

$^1$ Abbreviations and Definitions. For the purposes of this SFTP standards table only, the following abbreviations and definitions apply:

“PC” means passenger car.

“LDT” means light-duty truck, defined as any motor vehicle rated at 6,000 pounds gross vehicle weight or less, which is designed primarily for purposes of transportation of property.
or is a derivative of such a vehicle, or is available with special features enabling off-street or off-highway operation and use.

“MDV” means medium-duty truck, defined as any motor vehicle having a manufacturer’s gross vehicle weight rating of greater than 6,000 pounds and less than 14,001 pounds, except passenger cars.

“NMHC+NOx” means non-methane hydrocarbon plus oxides of nitrogen emissions.

“CO” means carbon monoxide emissions.

“US06” means the test cycle designed to evaluate emissions during aggressive and microtransient driving.

“A/C” means air-conditioning.

2 For MDVs, “Loaded Vehicle Weight” shall mean “Test Weight,” which is the average of the vehicle’s curb weight and gross vehicle weight.

Vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating over 8,500 pounds are exempted from the requirements of this subsection.


45 **A/C-on Specific Calibrations.** A/C-on specific calibrations (e.g. air to fuel ratio, spark timing, and exhaust gas recirculation), may be used which differ from A/C-off calibrations for given engine operating conditions (e.g., engine speed, manifold pressure, coolant temperature, air charge temperature, and any other parameters). Such calibrations must not unnecessarily reduce the NMHC+NOx emission control effectiveness during A/C-on operation when the vehicle is operated under conditions which may reasonably be expected to be encountered during normal operation and use. If reductions in control system NMHC+NOx effectiveness do occur as a result of such calibrations, the manufacturer shall, in the Application for Certification, specify the circumstances under which such reductions do occur, and the reason for the use of such calibrations resulting in such reductions in control system effectiveness.

A/C-on specific “open-loop” or “commanded enrichment” air-fuel enrichment strategies (as defined below), which differ from A/C-off “open-loop” or “commanded enrichment” air-fuel enrichment strategies, may not be used, with the following exceptions: cold-start and warm-up conditions, or, subject to Executive Officer approval, conditions requiring the protection of the vehicle, occupants, engine, or emission control hardware. Other than these exceptions, such strategies which are invoked based on manifold pressure, engine speed, throttle position, or other engine parameters shall use the same engine parameter criteria for the invoking of this air-fuel enrichment strategy and the same degree of enrichment regardless of whether the A/C is on or off.

“Open-loop” or “commanded” air-fuel enrichment strategy is defined as enrichment of the air to fuel ratio beyond stoichiometry for the purposes of increasing engine power output and the protection of engine or emissions control hardware. However, “closed-loop biasing,” defined as small changes in the air-fuel ratio for the purposes of optimizing vehicle emissions or driveability, shall not be considered an “open-loop” or “commanded” air-fuel enrichment strategy. In addition, “transient” air-fuel enrichment strategy (or “tip-in” and “tip-out” enrichment), defined as the temporary use of an air-fuel ratio rich of stoichiometry at the beginning or duration of rapid throttle motion, shall not be considered an “open-loop” or “commanded” air-fuel enrichment strategy.

56 **SFTP.** SFTP means the additional test procedure designed to measure emissions during aggressive and microtransient driving, as described in section 86.159-00, Title 40, Code of
Federal Regulations, as adopted October 22, 1996, over the US06 cycle, and also the test procedure designed to measure urban driving emissions while the vehicle’s air conditioning system is operating, as described in section 86.160-00, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, as adopted October 22, 1996, over the SC03 cycle, except the test weight shall be that specified in this subsection 1960.1(r), regardless of what may be specified in the Code of Federal Regulations. These sections of the Code of Federal Regulations are incorporated herein by reference.

Applicability to Alternative Fuel Vehicles. These SFTP standards do not apply to vehicles certified on fuels other than gasoline and diesel fuel, but the standards do apply to the gasoline and diesel fuel operation of flexible-fuel vehicles and dual-fuel vehicles.

Air to Fuel Ratio Requirement. With the exception of cold-start conditions, warm-up conditions and rapid-throttle motion conditions (“tip-in” or “tip-out” conditions), the air to fuel ratio shall not be richer at any time than, for a given engine operating condition (e.g., engine speed, manifold pressure, coolant temperature, air charge temperature, and any other parameters), the leanest air to fuel mixture required to obtain maximum torque (lean best torque), with a tolerance of six percent of the fuel consumption. The Executive Officer may approve a manufacturer’s request for approval to use additional enrichment in subsequent testing if the manufacturer demonstrates that additional enrichment is needed to protect the vehicle, occupants, engine, or emission control hardware.

“Lean-On-Cruise” Calibration Strategies. In the Application for Certification, the manufacturer shall state whether any “lean-on-cruise” strategies are incorporated into the vehicle design. A “lean-on-cruise” air-fuel calibration strategy is defined as the use of an air-fuel ratio significantly greater than stoichiometry, during non-deceleration conditions at speeds above 40 mph. “Lean-on-cruise” air-fuel calibration strategies shall not be employed during vehicle operation in normal driving conditions, including A/C-usage, unless at least one of the following conditions is met:

1. Such strategies are substantially employed during the FTP or SFTP, or
2. Such strategies are demonstrated not to significantly reduce vehicle NMHC+NOx emission control effectiveness over the operating conditions in which they are employed, or
3. Such strategies are demonstrated to be necessary to protect the vehicle, occupants, engine, or emission control hardware.

If the manufacturer proposes to use a “lean-on-cruise” calibration strategy, the manufacturer shall specify the circumstances under which such a calibration would be used, and the reason or reasons for the proposed use of such a calibration.

The above provisions shall not apply to vehicles powered by “lean-burn” engines or Diesel-cycle engines. A “lean-burn” engine is defined as an Otto-cycle engine designed to run at an air-fuel ratio significantly greater than stoichiometry during the large majority of its operation.

Phase-In Requirements. For the purposes of this 1960.1(r) section only, each manufacturer’s PC and LDT fleet shall be defined as the total projected number of low-emission and ultra-low-emission PCs and LDTs from 0-5750 pounds loaded vehicle weight sold in California. Each manufacturer’s MDV fleet shall be defined as the total projected number of low-emission, ultra-low-emission, and super-ultra-low-emission MDVs less than 8501 pounds gross vehicle weight rating sold in California.

a. For the 2001 through 2014 model years, manufacturers of PCs, LDTs, and MDVs, except small volume manufacturers, shall certify a minimum percentage of their PC and LDT fleet, and a minimum percentage of their MDV fleet, according to the following phase-in schedule.
b. Manufacturers may use an “Alternative or Equivalent Phase-in Schedule” to comply with the phase-in requirements. An “Alternative Phase-in” is one that achieves at least equivalent emission reductions by the end of the last model year of the scheduled phase-in. Model-year emission reductions shall be calculated by multiplying the percent of vehicles (based on the manufacturer’s projected California sales volume of the applicable vehicle fleet) meeting the new requirements per model year by the number of model years implemented prior to and including the last model year of the scheduled phase-in. The “cumulative total” is the summation of the model-year emission reductions (e.g., a four model-year 25/50/85/100 percent phase-in schedule would be calculated as: (25%*4 years) + (50%*3 years) + (85%*2 years) + (100%*1 year) = 520). Any alternative phase-in that results in an equal or larger cumulative total than the required cumulative total by the end of the last model year of the scheduled phase-in shall be considered acceptable by the Executive Officer under the following conditions: 1) all vehicles subject to the phase-in shall comply with the respective requirements in the last model year of the required phase-in schedule and 2) if a manufacturer uses the optional phase-in percentage determination in section 1960.1(q) note (9), the cumulative total of model-year emission reductions as determined only for PCs and LDTs certified to this section 1960.1(r) must also be equal to or larger than the required cumulative total by end of the 2004 model year. Manufacturers shall be allowed to include vehicles introduced before the first model year of the scheduled phase-in (e.g., in the previous example, 10 percent introduced one year before the scheduled phase-in begins would be calculated as: (10%*5 years) and added to the cumulative total).

c. Small volume manufacturers of PCs, LDTs, and MDVs shall certify 100% of their PC and LDT fleet in the 2004 through 2014 and subsequent model years, and 100% of their MDV fleet in the 2005 through 2014 and subsequent model years.

Single-Roll Electric Dynamometer Requirement. For all vehicles certified to the SFTP standards, a single-roll electric dynamometer or a dynamometer which produces equivalent results, as set forth in the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 Through 2000 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles” as incorporated by reference in section 1960.1(k) or the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2009 through 2016 Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” as incorporated by reference in section 1961(d), as applicable, must be used for all types of emission testing to determine compliance with the associated emission standards.


As Amended: March 22, 2012
Date of Hearing: January 26-27, 2012

Introduction. This section 1961 contains the California “LEV II” exhaust emission standards for 2004 through 2019 and subsequent model passenger cars, light-duty trucks and medium-duty vehicles. A manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with the exhaust standards in section 1961(a) applicable to specific test groups, and with the composite phase-in requirements in section 1961(b) applicable to the manufacturer’s entire fleet. Section 1961(b) also includes the manufacturer’s fleet-wide composite phase-in requirements for the 2001 - 2003 model years.

* * * *

(a) Exhaust Emission Standards.

(1) “LEV II” Exhaust Standards. The following standards are represent the maximum exhaust emissions for the intermediate and full useful life from new 2004 through 2019 and subsequent model-year “LEV II” LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs, including fuel-flexible, bi-fuel and dual fuel vehicles when operating on the gaseous or alcohol fuel they are designed to use.: 2015 – 2019 model-year LEV II LEV vehicles may be certified to the NMOG+NOx numerical values for LEV160, LEV395, or LEV630, as applicable, in subsection 1961.2(a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection 1961.2(a)(4), in lieu of the separate NMOG and NOx exhaust emission standards in this subsection (a)(1) and subsection (a)(4); LEV II ULEV vehicles may be certified to the NMOG+NOx numerical values for ULEV125, ULEV340, or ULEV570, as applicable, in subsection 1961.2(a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection 1961.2(a)(4), in lieu of the separate NMOG and NOx exhaust emission standards in this subsection (a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection (a)(4); and LEV II SULEV vehicles may be certified to the NMOG+NOx numerical values for SULEV30, SULEV170, or SULEV230, as applicable, in subsection 1961.2(a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection 1961.2(a)(4), in lieu of the separate NMOG and NOx exhaust emission standards in this subsection (a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection (a)(4).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Durability Vehicle Basis (mi)</th>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category</th>
<th>NMOG (g/mi)</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide (g/mi)</th>
<th>Oxides of Nitrogen (g/mi)</th>
<th>Formaldehyde (mg/mi)</th>
<th>Particulates (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PCs: LDTs 8500 lbs. GVWR or less</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEV, Option 1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles in this category are tested at their loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEV, Option 1</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150,000 (Optional)</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEV, Option 1</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 8501 - 10,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles in this category are tested at their adjusted loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150,000 (Optional)</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles in this category are tested at their adjusted loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150,000 (Optional)</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) **LEV II NMOG Standards for Bi-Fuel, Fuel-Flexible and Dual-Fuel Vehicles Operating on Gasoline.** For fuel-flexible, bi-fuel, and dual-fuel PCs, LDTs and MDVs, compliance with the NMOG exhaust mass emission standards shall be based on exhaust emission tests both when the vehicle is operated on the gaseous or alcohol fuel it is designed to use, and when the vehicle is operated on gasoline. A manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with the applicable exhaust mass emission standards for NMOG, CO, NOx and formaldehyde set forth in the table in section 1961(a)(1) when certifying the vehicle for operation on the gaseous or alcohol fuel.

The following standards are represent the maximum NMOG emissions when the vehicle is operating on gasoline. A manufacturer shall not apply a reactivity adjustment factor to the exhaust NMOG mass emission result when operating on gasoline. A manufacturer may measure NMHC in lieu of NMOG when fuel-flexible, bi-fuel, and dual-fuel vehicles are operated on gasoline, in accordance with the test procedures incorporated by reference in section 1961(d). Testing at 50°F is not required for fuel-flexible, bi-fuel, and dual-fuel vehicles when operating on gasoline. The applicable CO, NOx and formaldehyde standards are set forth in section 1961(a)(1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category</th>
<th>Durability Vehicle Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50,000 mi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All PCs; LDTs, 0-8500 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs, 8501-10,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs, 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(4) **LEV II 50°F Exhaust Emission Standards.** All LEV II light- and medium-duty LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs must demonstrate compliance with the following exhaust emission standards for NMOG and formaldehyde (HCHO) measured on the FTP (40 CFR, Part 86, Subpart B) conducted at a nominal test temperature of 50°F, as modified by Part II, Section C of the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2001 2009 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles” incorporated by reference in section 1961(d). The NMOG mass emission result shall be multiplied by the applicable reactivity adjustment factor, if any, prior to comparing to the applicable adjusted 50,000 mile certification standards set forth below. A manufacturer may demonstrate compliance with the NMOG and HCHO certification standards contained in this subparagraph by measuring NMHC exhaust emissions or issuing a statement of compliance for HCHO in accordance with Section D.1, subparagraph (p) and Section G.3.1.2, respectively, of the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2001 2009 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles” incorporated by reference in section 1961(d). Emissions of CO and NOx measured at 50°F shall not exceed the standards set forth in §1961(a)(1) applicable to vehicles of the same emission category and vehicle type subject to a cold soak and emission test at 68° to 86°F. Natural gas and diesel-fueled vehicles are exempt from the 50°F test requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Weight Class</th>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NMOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCs; LDTs 0-8500 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 8501-10,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>0.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(5) **LEV II Cold CO Standard.** The following standards are represent the 50,000 mile cold temperature exhaust carbon monoxide emission levels from new 2001 through 2019 and subsequent model-year LEV II passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles:

### 2001 THROUGH 2019 AND SUBSEQUENT MODEL-YEAR COLD TEMPERATURE CARBON MONOXIDE EXHAUST EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR LEV II PASSENGER CARS, LIGHT-DUTY TRUCKS, AND MEDIUM-DUTY VEHICLES (grams per mile)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PCs, LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW; LDTs, 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVWR; LEV I and Tier 1 MDVs 8500 lbs. GVWR and less</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These standards are applicable to vehicles tested at a nominal temperature of 20°F (-7°C) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 86 Subpart C, as amended by the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2001 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles” incorporated by reference in section 1961(d). Natural gas, diesel-fueled, and zero-emission vehicles are exempt from these standards.

(7) **Supplemental Federal Test Procedure (SFTP) Off-Cycle Emission Standards.** The SFTP exhaust emission levels from new 2004 through 2019 and subsequent model LEV II LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs shall not exceed the standards set forth in section 1960.1(r).

(8) **Requirements for Vehicles Certified to the Optional 150,000 Mile Standards.**

(B) **Requirement to Generate a Partial ZEV Allowance.** A vehicle that is certified to the 150,000 mile SULEV standards shall also generate a partial ZEV allocation according to the criteria set forth in section C.3 of the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2005 through 2008 and

* * * *

(14) When a Federally-Certified Vehicle Model is Required in California.

(A) General Requirement. Whenever a manufacturer federally-certifies a 2004 through 2014 or subsequent model-year passenger car, light-duty truck or medium-duty vehicle model to the standards for a particular emissions bin that are more stringent than the standards for an applicable California emission category, the equivalent California model may only be certified to (i) the California standards for a vehicle emissions category that are at least as stringent as the standards for the corresponding federal emissions bin, or (ii) the exhaust emission standards to which the federal model is certified. However, where the federal exhaust emission standards for the particular emissions bin and the California standards for a vehicle emissions category are equally stringent, the California model may only be certified to either the California standards for that vehicle emissions category or more stringent California standards. The federal emission bins are those contained in Tables S04-1 and S04-2 of 40 CFR §86.1811-04(c) as adopted February 10, 2000. The criteria for applying this requirement are set forth in Part I. Section H.1 of the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2001 2009 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” as incorporated by reference in section 1961(d).

* * * *

(b) Emission Standards Phase-In Requirements for Manufacturers.
(1) Fleet Average NMOG Requirements for Passenger Cars and Light-Duty Trucks.

(A) The fleet average non-methane organic gas exhaust mass emission values from the passenger cars and light-duty trucks certified to the Tier 1, LEV I, and LEV II standards that are produced and delivered for sale in California each model year from 2001 through 2014 by a manufacturer other than a small volume manufacturer or an independent low volume manufacturer shall not exceed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Fleet Average NMOG (grams per mile)</th>
<th>All PCs; LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW</th>
<th>LDTs 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 through 2014</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 For the 2014 model year only, a manufacturer may comply with the fleet average NMOG+NOx values in subsection 1961.2(b)(1)(A) in lieu of complying with the NMOG fleet average values in this table. A manufacturer must either comply with the NMOG+NOx fleet average requirements for both its PC/LDT1 fleet and its LDT2/MDPV fleet or comply with the NMOG fleet average requirements for both its PC/LDT1 fleet and its LDT2/MDPV fleet. A manufacturer must calculate its fleet average NMOG+NOx values using the applicable full useful life standards.

(B) Calculation of Fleet Average NMOG Value.

1. Basic Calculation.
c. The applicable emission standards to be used in the above equations are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Emission Category</th>
<th>Emission Standard Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001 through 2014 and subsequent (§1960.5 “AB 965” vehicles only)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Federal Emission Standard to which Vehicle is Certified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 - 2003 (§1960.1(f)(2))</td>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 - 2006 model year vehicles certified to the “LEV I” standards in §1960.1(g)(1) (For TLEVs, 2001 - 2003 model years only)</td>
<td>TLEVs</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEVs</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEVs</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Year</td>
<td>Emission Category</td>
<td>All PCs; LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 through 2014 and subsequent model year vehicles certified to the “LEV II” standards in §1961(a)(1)</td>
<td>LEVs</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEVs</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEVs</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 through 2014 and subsequent model year vehicles certified to the optional 150,000 mile “LEV II” standards for PCs and LDTs in 1961(a)(1)</td>
<td>LEVs</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEVs</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEVs</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * * * *

3. **Federally-Certified Vehicles.** A vehicle certified to the federal standards for a federal exhaust emissions bin in accordance with Section H.1 of the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2001 2009 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” as incorporated by reference in section 1961(d), shall use the corresponding
intermediate useful life NMOG standard to which the vehicle is deemed certified in the fleet average calculation.

(C) Requirements for Small Volume Manufacturers.

1. In 2001 through 2006 model years, a small volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG value of 0.075 g/mi for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.100 g/mi for LDTs from 3751-5750 lbs. LVW calculated in accordance with section 1961(b)(1)(B). In 2007 through 2014 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG value of 0.075 for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.075 for LDTs from 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVW calculated in accordance with section 1961(b)(1)(B).

* * * *

(D) Phase-in Requirements for Independent Low Volume Manufacturers. In 2001 through 2006 model years, an independent low volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG value of 0.075 g/mi for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.100 g/mi for LDTs from 3751-5750 lbs. LVW calculated in accordance with section 1961(b)(1)(B). In 2007 through 2014 and subsequent model years, an independent low volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG value of 0.060 for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.065 g/mi for LDTs from 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVW calculated in accordance with section 1961(b)(1)(B).

* * * *

(3) Medium-Duty Vehicle Phase-In Requirements.

(A) A manufacturer of MDVs, other than a small volume manufacturer, shall certify an equivalent percentage of its MDV fleet according to the following phase-in schedule:
(B) Phase-In Requirements for LEV II MDVs. For the 2004 through 2006 model years, a manufacturer, other than a small volume manufacturer must phase-in at least one test group per model year to the MDV LEV II standards. All 2007 through 2014 and subsequent model year MDVs, including those produced by a small volume manufacturer, are subject to the LEV II MDV standards. Beginning in the 2005 model year, all medium-duty engines certified to the optional medium-duty engine standards in title 13, CCR §1956.8(c) or (h), including those produced by a small volume manufacturer, must meet the standards set forth in title 13, CCR §1956.8(c) or (h), as applicable. A manufacturer that elects to certify to the Option 1 or Option 2 federal standards as set forth in 40 CFR §86.005-10(f) is not subject to these phase-in requirements.

(C) Identifying a Manufacturer’s MDV Fleet. For the 2001 through 2014 and subsequent model years, each manufacturer’s MDV fleet shall be defined as the total number of California-certified MDVs produced and delivered for sale in California. The percentages shall be applied to the manufacturers’ total production of California-certified medium-duty vehicles delivered for sale in California. For the 2005 through 2014 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that elects to certify to the optional medium-duty engine standards in title 13, CCR, §1956.8(c) or (h) shall not count those engines in the manufacturer’s total production of California-certified medium-duty vehicles for purposes of this subsection.

* * * * *

(E) For a manufacturer that elects to certify to the optional medium-duty engine standards in title 13, CCR §1956.8(c) or (h), all such 2005 through 2014 and subsequent model year MDVs, including those produced by a small volume manufacturer, shall be subject to the emissions averaging provisions applicable to heavy-duty diesel or Otto-cycle engines as set forth in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1960.1(h)(1), (h)(2), and §1961(a)(1) (%)</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1956.8(g) or (h) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 through 2014</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2004 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Otto-Cycle Engines,” or the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2004 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Diesel Engines, incorporated by reference in §1956.8(b) or (d), as applicable.

(c) Calculation of NMOG Credits/Debits

(1) Calculation of NMOG Credits for Passenger Cars and Light-Duty Trucks. In 2001 through 2014 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that achieves fleet average NMOG values lower than the fleet average NMOG requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive credits in units of g/mi NMOG determined as:

\[ \text{Credits} = (\text{Fleet Average NMOG Requirement} - \text{Manufacturer's Fleet Average NMOG Value}) \times \text{(Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs)}. \]

A manufacturer with 2001 through 2014 and subsequent model year fleet average NMOG values greater than the fleet average requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive debits in units of g/mi NMOG equal to the amount of negative credits determined by the aforementioned equation. For the 2001 through 2014 and subsequent model years, the total g/mi NMOG credits or debits earned for PCs and LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW, for LDTs 3751-5750 lbs. LVW and for LDTs 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVW shall be summed together. The resulting amount shall constitute the g/mi NMOG credits or debits accrued by the manufacturer for the model year.

(2) Calculation of Vehicle Equivalent NMOG Credits for Medium-Duty Vehicles.

(A) In 2001 through 2014 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California MDVs in excess of the equivalent requirements for LEVs, ULEVs and/or SULEVs certified to the exhaust emission standards set forth in section 1961(a)(1) or to the exhaust emission standards set forth in Title 13, CCR, Section 1956.8(h) shall receive “Vehicle-Equivalent Credits” (or “VECs”) calculated in accordance with the following equation, where the term “produced” means produced and delivered for sale in California:

\[ \text{VECs} = \text{(Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs)}. \]

(3) Procedure for Offsetting Debits.

(A) A manufacturer shall equalize emission debits by earning g/mi NMOG emission credits or VECs in an amount equal to the g/mi NMOG debits or
VEDs, or by submitting a commensurate amount of g/mi NMOG credits or VECs to the Executive Officer that were earned previously or acquired from another manufacturer. For 2001 through 2003 and for 2007 through 2014 and subsequent model years, manufacturers shall equalize emission debits by the end of the following model year. For 2004 through 2006 model years, a manufacturer shall equalize NMOG debits for PCs and LDTs and LEV II MDVs within three model years and prior to the end of the 2007 model year. If emission debits are not equalized within the specified time period, the manufacturer shall be subject to the Health and Safety Code section 43211 civil penalty applicable to a manufacturer which sells a new motor vehicle that does not meet the applicable emission standards adopted by the state board. The cause of action shall be deemed to accrue when the emission debits are not equalized by the end of the specified time period. For the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 43211, the number of passenger cars and light-duty trucks not meeting the state board’s emission standards shall be determined by dividing the total amount of g/mi NMOG emission debits for the model year by the g/mi NMOG fleet average requirement for PCs and LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW applicable for the model year in which the debits were first incurred and the number of medium-duty vehicles not meeting the state board’s emission standards shall be equal to the amount of VEDs incurred.

* * * *

* * * * *


(a) Greenhouse Gas Emission Requirements. The greenhouse gas emission levels from new 2009 through 2016 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles shall not exceed the following requirements. Light-duty trucks from 3751 lbs. LVW – 8500 lbs. GVW that are certified to the Option 1 LEV II NOx Standard in section 1961(a)(1) are exempt from these greenhouse gas emission requirements, however, passenger cars, light-duty trucks 0-3750 lbs. LVW, and medium-duty passenger vehicles are not eligible for this exemption.

(1) Fleet Average Greenhouse Gas Requirements for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.

(A)(i) The fleet average greenhouse gas exhaust mass emission values from passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are produced and delivered for sale in California each model year by a large volume manufacturer shall not exceed:
## FLEET AVERAGE GREENHOUSE GAS EXHAUST MASS EMISSION REQUIREMENTS FOR PASSENGER CAR, LIGHT-DUTY TRUCK, AND MEDIUM-DUTY PASSENGER VEHICLE WEIGHT CLASSES

(4,000 mile Durability Vehicle Basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Fleet Average Greenhouse Gas Emissions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(grams per mile CO₂-equivalent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All PCs;</td>
<td>LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW</td>
<td>LDTs 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVW; MDPVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016+</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Each manufacturer shall demonstrate compliance with these values in accordance with section 1961.1(a)(1)(B).

1. For each model year, a manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with the fleet average requirements in this section 1961.1(a)(1)(A) based on one of two options applicable throughout the model year, either:
   - Option 1: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are certified to the California exhaust emission standards in this section 1961.1, and are produced and delivered for sale in California; or
   - Option 2: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are certified to the California exhaust emission standards in this section 1961.1, and are produced and delivered for sale in California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California’s greenhouse gas emission standards for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

   a. For the 2009 and 2010 model years, a manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must notify the Executive Officer of that selection, in writing, within 30 days of the effective date of the amendments to this section (a)(1)(A)1 or must comply with Option 1.
b. For the 2011 through 2016 and later model years, a manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must notify the Executive Officer of that selection, in writing, prior to the start of the applicable model year or must comply with Option 1.

c. When a manufacturer is demonstrating compliance using Option 2 for a given model year, the term "in California" as used in subsections 1961.1(a)(1)(B)3. and 1961.1 (b) means California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California's greenhouse gas emission standards for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

d. A manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must provide to the Executive Officer separate values for the number of vehicles produced and delivered for sale in the District of Columbia and for each individual state within the average.

   (A)(ii) For the 2012 through 2016 model years, a manufacturer may elect to demonstrate compliance with this section 1961.1 by demonstrating compliance with the 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program as follows:

      1. A manufacturer that selects compliance with this option 1961.1(a)(1)(A)(ii) must notify the Executive Officer of that selection, in writing, prior to the start of the applicable model year or must comply with 1961.1(a)(1)(A)(i);;

      2. The manufacturer must submit to ARB a copy of the Model Year CAFE report that it submitted to EPA as required under 40 CFR §86.1865-12 (May 7, 2010) (as proposed at 74 Fed. Reg. 49454, 49760 (September 28, 2009) and adopted by EPA on April 1, 2010, 75 Fed. Reg. [insert page] (April [insert date], 2010), for demonstrating compliance with the 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program and the EPA determination of compliance. These must be submitted within 30 days of receipt of the EPA determination of compliance, for each model year that a manufacturer selects compliance with this option 1961.1(a)(1)(A)(ii); and

      3. The manufacturer must provide to the Executive Officer separate values for the number of vehicles produced and delivered for sale in California, the District of Columbia, and each individual state that has adopted California's greenhouse gas emission standards for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507); and
34. If a manufacturer has outstanding greenhouse gas debits at the end of the 2011 model year, as calculated in accordance with 1961.1(b), the manufacturer must submit to the Executive Officer a plan for offsetting all outstanding greenhouse gas debits by using greenhouse gas credits earned under the 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program before applying those credits to offset any 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program debits. Upon approval of the plan by the Executive Officer, the manufacturer may demonstrate compliance with this section 1961.1 by demonstrating compliance with the 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program. Any California debits not offset by the end of the 2016 model year National greenhouse gas program reporting period are subject to penalties as provided in this Section 1961.1.

* * * *

(C) Requirements for Intermediate Volume Manufacturers.

* * * *

3. In the 2016 and subsequent model years, an intermediate volume manufacturer shall either:
   a. not exceed a fleet average greenhouse gas emissions value of 233 g/mi for PCs and LDT1s and 361 g/mi for LDT2s and MDPVs, or
   b. not exceed a fleet average greenhouse gas value of 0.75 times the baseline fleet average greenhouse gas value for PCs and LDT1s and 0.82 times the baseline fleet average greenhouse gas value for LDT2s and MDPVs, as calculated in section 1961.1(a)(1)(C)2.

* * * *

(D) Requirements for Small Volume Manufacturers and Independent Low Volume Manufacturers.

* * * *

3. In the 2016 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer and an independent low volume manufacturer shall either:
   a. not exceed the fleet average greenhouse gas emissions value calculated for each GHG vehicle test group for which a comparable vehicle is sold by a large volume manufacturer, in accordance with section 1961.1(a)(1)(D)2; or
   b. not exceed a fleet average greenhouse gas emissions value of 233 g/mi for PCs and LDT1s and 361 g/mi for LDT2s and MDPVs; or
c. upon approval of the Executive Officer, if a small volume manufacturer demonstrates a vehicle model uses an engine, transmission, and emission control system that is identical to a configuration certified for sale in California by a large volume manufacturer, those small volume manufacturer vehicle models are exempt from meeting the requirements in paragraphs 3.a. and b. of this section.

* * * *

(b) Calculation of Greenhouse Gas Credits/Debits.


* * * *

(B) In 2009 through 2016 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that achieves fleet average Greenhouse Gas values lower than the fleet average Greenhouse Gas requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive credits in units of g/mi Greenhouse Gas determined as:

\[
\left[\left(\text{Fleet Average Greenhouse Gas Requirement}\right) - \left(\text{Manufacturer's Fleet Average Greenhouse Gas Value}\right)\right] \times \left(\text{Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs}\right).
\]

(2) A manufacturer with 2009 through 2016 and subsequent model year fleet average Greenhouse Gas values greater than the fleet average requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive debits in units of g/mi Greenhouse Gas equal to the amount of negative credits determined by the aforementioned equation. For the 2009 through 2016 and subsequent model years, the total g/mi Greenhouse Gas credits or debits earned for PCs and LDT1s and for LDT2s and MDPVs shall be summed together. The resulting amount shall constitute the g/mi Greenhouse Gas credits or debits accrued by the manufacturer for the model year.

(3) Procedure for Offsetting Greenhouse Gas Debits.

* * * *

(B) Greenhouse Gas emission credits earned in the 2000 through 2008 model years shall be treated as if they were earned in the 2011 model year and shall retain full value through the 2012 model year. Greenhouse Gas emission credits earned in the 2009 through 2016 and subsequent model years shall retain full value through the fifth model year after they are earned. The value of any credits earned in the 2000 through 2008 model years that are not
used to equalize debits accrued in the 2009 through 2012 model years shall be discounted by 50% at the beginning of the 2013 model year, shall be discounted to 25% of its original value if not used by the beginning of the 2014 model year, and will have no value if not used by the beginning of the 2015 model year. Any credits earned in the 2009 through 2016 and subsequent model years that are not used by the end of the fifth model year after they are accrued shall be discounted by 50% at the beginning of the sixth model year after being earned, shall be discounted to 25% of its original value if not used by the beginning of the seventh model year after being earned, and will have no value if not used by the beginning of the eighth model year after being earned.


* * * *

(e) Definitions Specific to this Section. The following definitions apply to this section 1961.1:

* * * *

(9) “Optional GHG Test Vehicle Configuration” means any GHG vehicle configuration that is selected for testing by the manufacturer as allowed by section G.2.3 of the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2009 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” other than the worst-case configuration.

Note: The entire text of section 1961.2 set forth below is new language and is shown in “normal type” proposed to be added to the California Code of Regulations.

Adopt new Sections 1961.2, Title 13, California Code of Regulations to read as follows:


Introduction. This section 1961.2 contains the California “LEV III” exhaust emission standards for 2015 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles. A manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with the exhaust standards in subsection (a) applicable to specific test groups, and with the composite phase-in requirements in subsection (b) applicable to the manufacturer’s entire fleet.

Before the 2015 model year, a manufacturer that produces vehicles that meet the standards in subsection (a) has the option of certifying the vehicles to those standards, in which case the vehicles will be treated as LEV III vehicles for purposes of the fleet-wide phase-in requirements. Similarly, 2015 - 2019 model-year vehicles may be certified to the “LEV II” exhaust emission standards in subsection 1961(a)(1), in which case the vehicles will be treated as LEV II vehicles for purposes of the fleet-wide phase-in requirements.

A manufacturer has the option of certifying engines used in incomplete and diesel medium-duty vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating of greater than 10,000 lbs. GVW to the heavy-duty engine standards and test procedures set forth in title 13, CCR, subsections 1956.8(c) and (h). All medium-duty vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating of less than or equal to 10,000 lbs. GVW, including incomplete otto-cycle medium-duty vehicles and medium-duty vehicles that use diesel cycle engines, must be certified to the LEV III chassis standards and test procedures set forth in this section 1961.2.

Pooling Provision.

For each model year, a manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with this section 1961.2 based on one of two options applicable throughout the model year, either:

Option 1: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles that are certified to the California exhaust emission standards in subsection (a) and subsection 1961(a)(1), and are produced and delivered for sale in California; or
Option 2: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles that are certified to the California exhaust emission standards in subsection (a) and subsection 1961(a)(1), and are produced and delivered for sale in California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California's criteria pollutant emission standards set forth in this section 1961.2 for that model year pursuant to section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

A manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must notify the Executive Officer of that selection in writing prior to the start of the applicable model year or must comply with Option 1. Once a manufacturer has selected compliance Option 2, that selection applies unless the manufacturer selects Option 1 and notifies the Executive Officer of that selection in writing before the start of the applicable model year.

When a manufacturer is demonstrating compliance using Option 2 for a given model year, the term "in California" as used in this section 1961.2 means California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California's criteria pollutant emission standards set forth in this section 1961.2 for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

(a) Exhaust Emission Standards.

(1) "LEV III" Exhaust Standards. The following standards are the maximum exhaust emissions for the full useful life from new 2015 and subsequent model year “LEV III” passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles, including fuel-flexible, bi-fuel and dual-fuel vehicles when operating on the gaseous or alcohol fuel they are designed to use. 2015 – 2019 model-year LEV II LEV vehicles may be certified to the NMOG+NOx numerical values for LEV160, LEV395, or LEV630, as applicable, in this subsection (a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection (a)(4), in lieu of the separate NMOG and NOx exhaust emission standards in subsections 1961(a)(1) and 1961(a)(4); LEV II ULEV vehicles may be certified to the NMOG+NOx numerical values for ULEV125, ULEV340, or ULEV570, as applicable, in this subsection (a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection (a)(4), in lieu of the separate NMOG and NOx exhaust emission standards in subsections 1961(a)(1) and 1961(a)(4); and LEV II SULEV vehicles may be certified to the NMOG+NOx numerical values for SULEV30, SULEV170, or SULEV230, as applicable, in subsection (a)(1) and the corresponding NMOG+NOx numerical values in subsection (a)(4), in lieu of the separate NMOG and NOx exhaust emission standards in subsections 1961(a)(1) and 1961(a)(4). Such vehicles will be treated as LEV II vehicles for purposes of the fleet-wide phase-in requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Durability Vehicle Basis (mi)</th>
<th>NMOG + Oxides of Nitrogen (g/mi)</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide (g/mi)</th>
<th>Formaldehyde (mg/mi)</th>
<th>Particulates (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PCs; LDTs 8500 lbs. GVWR or less; MDPVs</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>LEV160 0.160</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV125 0.125</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV70 0.070</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV50 0.050</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV30 0.030</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV20 0.020</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 8501 - 10,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>LEV395 0.395</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV340 0.340</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV250 0.250</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV200 0.200</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV170 0.170</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV150 0.150</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 10,001 - 14,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>LEV630 0.630</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV570 0.570</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV400 0.400</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV270 0.270</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV230 0.230</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV200 0.200</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These standards shall apply only to vehicles not included in the phase-in of the particulate standards set forth in subsection (a)(2).

2 The numeric portion of the category name is the NMOG+NOx value in thousandths of grams per mile.

(2) “LEV III” Particulate Standards.

(A) Particulate Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. Beginning in the 2017 model year, a manufacturer, except a small volume manufacturer, shall certify a percentage of its passenger car, light-duty truck, and medium-duty vehicle fleet to the following particulate standards according to the following phase-in schedule. These standards are the maximum particulate emissions allowed at full useful life. All vehicles certifying to these particulate standards must certify to the LEV III exhaust emission standards set forth in subsection (a)(1).
LEV III Particulate Emission Standard Values and Phase-in for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>% of vehicles certified to a 3 mg/mi standard</th>
<th>% of vehicles certified to a 1 mg/mi standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028 and subsequent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Particulate Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles Other than Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.

1. Beginning in the 2017 model year, a manufacturer, except a small volume manufacturer, shall certify a percentage of its medium-duty vehicle fleet to the following particulate standards. These standards are the maximum particulate emissions allowed at full useful life. All vehicles certifying to these particulate standards must certify to the LEV III exhaust emission standards set forth in subsection (a)(1). This subsection (a)(2)(B)1 shall not apply to medium-duty passenger vehicles.

LEV III Particulate Emission Standard Values for Medium-Duty Vehicles, Other than Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Particulates (mg/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 8501 - 10,000 lbs. GVWR, excluding MDPVs</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 10,001 - 14,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Vehicles in these categories are tested at their adjusted loaded vehicle weight.*
2. A manufacturer of medium-duty vehicles, except a small volume manufacturer, shall certify at least the following percentage of its medium-duty vehicle fleet to the particulate standards in subsection (a)(2)(B)1 according to the following phase-in schedule. This subsection (a)(2)(B)2 shall not apply to medium-duty passenger vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Total % of MDVs certified to the 8 mg/mi PM Standard or to the 10 mg/mi PM Standard, as applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 and subsequent</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) **Particulate Standards for Small Volume Manufacturers.** In the 2021 through 2027 model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify 100 percent of its passenger car, light-duty truck, and medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet to the 3 mg/mi particulate standard. In the 2028 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify 100 percent of its passenger car, light-duty truck, and medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet to the 1 mg/mi particulate standard. In the 2021 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify 100 percent of its medium-duty vehicles 8501 - 10,000 lbs. GVWR, excluding MDPVs, to the 8 mg/mi particulate standard. In the 2021 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify 100 percent of its medium-duty vehicles 10,001 - 14,000 lbs. GVWR to the 10 mg/mi particulate standard. These standards are the maximum particulate emissions allowed at full useful life. All vehicles certifying to these particulate standards must certify to the LEV III exhaust emission standards set forth in subsection (a)(1).

(D) **Alternative Phase-in Schedule for Particulate Standards.**

1. **Alternative Phase-in Schedules for the 3 mg/mi Particulate Standard for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.** A manufacturer may use an alternative phase-in schedule to comply with the 3 mg/mi particulate standard phase-in requirements as long as equivalent PM emission reductions are achieved by the 2021 model year from passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles. Model year emission reductions shall be calculated by multiplying the percent of PC+LDT+MDPV vehicles meeting the 3 mg/mi particulate standard in a given model year (based on
a manufacturer’s projected sales volume of vehicles in each category) by 5 for the 2017 model year, 4 for the 2018 model year, 3 for the 2019 model year, 2 for the 2020 model year, and 1 for the 2021 model year. The yearly results for PC+LDT+MDPV vehicles shall be summed together to determine a cumulative total for PC+LDT+MDPV vehicles. The cumulative total must be equal to or greater than 490 in the 2021 model year to be considered equivalent. A manufacturer may add vehicles introduced before the 2017 model year (e.g., the percent of vehicles introduced in 2016 would be multiplied by 5) to the cumulative total.

2. **Alternative Phase-in Schedules for the 1 mg/mi Particulate Standard for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.** A manufacturer may use an alternative phase-in schedule to comply with the 1 mg/mi particulate standard phase-in requirements as long as equivalent PM emission reductions are achieved by the 2028 model year from passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles. Model year emission reductions shall be calculated by multiplying the percent of PC+LDT+MDPV vehicles meeting the 1 mg/mi particulate standard in a given model year (based on a manufacturer’s projected sales volume of vehicles in each category) by 4 for the 2025 model year, 3 for the 2026 model year, 2 for the 2027 model year, and 1 for the 2028 model year. The yearly results for PC+LDT+MDPV vehicles shall be summed together to determine a cumulative total for PC+LDT+MDPV vehicles. The cumulative total must be equal to or greater than 500 in the 2028 model year to be considered equivalent. A manufacturer may add vehicles introduced before the 2025 model year (e.g., the percent of vehicles introduced in 2024 would be multiplied by 4) to the cumulative total.

3. **Alternative Phase-in Schedules for the Particulate Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles Other than Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.** A manufacturer may use an alternative phase-in schedule to comply with the particulate standard phase-in requirements as long as equivalent PM emission reductions are achieved by the 2021 model year from medium-duty vehicles other than medium-duty passenger vehicles. Model year emission reductions shall be calculated by multiplying the total percent of MDVs certified to the 8 mg/mi PM standard or to the 10 mg/mi PM standard, as applicable, in a given model year (based on a manufacturer’s projected sales volume of vehicles in each category) by 5 for the 2017 model year, 4 for the 2018 model year, 3 for the 2019 model year, 2 for the 2020 model year, and 1 for the 2021 model year. The yearly results for MDVs shall be summed together to determine a cumulative total for MDVs. The cumulative total must be equal to or greater than 490 in the 2021 model year to be considered equivalent. A manufacturer may add vehicles introduced before the 2017 model year (e.g., the percent of
vehicles introduced in 2016 would be multiplied by 5) to the cumulative total.

(3) **NMOG+NOx Standards for Bi-Fuel, Fuel-Flexible, and Dual-Fuel Vehicles.** For fuel-flexible, bi-fuel, and dual-fuel PCs, LDTs and MDVs, compliance with the NMOG+NOx exhaust mass emission standards must be based on exhaust emission tests both when the vehicle is operated on the gaseous or alcohol fuel it is designed to use, and when the vehicle is operated on gasoline. A manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with the applicable exhaust mass emission standards for NMOG+NOx, CO, and formaldehyde set forth in the table in subsection (a)(1) when certifying the vehicle for operation on the gaseous or alcohol fuel, as applicable, and on gasoline or diesel, as applicable.

A manufacturer may measure NMHC in lieu of NMOG when fuel-flexible, bi-fuel and dual-fuel vehicles are operated on gasoline, in accordance with the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” Testing at 50°F is not required for fuel-flexible, bi-fuel, and dual-fuel vehicles when operating on gasoline.

(4) **50°F Exhaust Emission Standards.** All passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles, other than natural gas and diesel-fueled vehicles, must demonstrate compliance with the following exhaust emission standards for NMOG+NOx and formaldehyde (HCHO) measured on the FTP (40 CFR, Part 86, Subpart B) conducted at a nominal test temperature of 50°F, as modified by Part II, Section C of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” A manufacturer may demonstrate compliance with the NMOG+NOx and HCHO certification standards contained in this subparagraph by measuring NMHC exhaust emissions or issuing a statement of compliance for HCHO in accordance with Section D.1, subparagraph (p) and Section G.3.1.2, respectively, of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” Emissions of CO measured at 50°F shall not exceed the standards set forth in subsection (a)(1) applicable to vehicles of the same emission category and vehicle type subject to a cold soak and emission test at 68°F to 86°F.
Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles Certified to the LEV III Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category</th>
<th>NMOG + NOx (g/mi)</th>
<th>HCHO (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>Alcohol Fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV160</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV125</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV70</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV50</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV30</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV20</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles (Excluding MDPVs) Certified to the LEV III Standards.

### 50°F Exhaust Emission Standards for LEV III Medium-Duty Vehicles (Excluding MDPVs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category</th>
<th>NMOG + NOx (g/mi)</th>
<th>HCHO (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>Alcohol Fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV395</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV340</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV250</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV200</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV170</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV150</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV630</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>1.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV570</td>
<td>1.140</td>
<td>1.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV400</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV270</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV230</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV200</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5) **Cold CO Standard.** The following standards are the 50,000 mile cold temperature exhaust carbon monoxide emission levels from new 2015 and subsequent model-year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles:
2015 AND SUBSEQUENT MODEL-YEAR COLD TEMPERATURE CARBON MONOXIDE EXHAUST EMISSIONS STANDARDS FOR PASSENGER CARS, LIGHT-DUTY TRUCKS, AND MEDIUM-DUTY PASSENGER VEHICLES (grams per mile)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Carbon Monoxide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PCs, LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW;</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDTs, 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVWR; MDPVs 10000 lbs. GVWR and less</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These standards apply to vehicles tested at a nominal temperature of 20°F (-7°C) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 86 Subpart C, as amended by the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” Natural gas, diesel-fueled and zero-emission vehicles are exempt from these standards.

(6) **Highway NMOG + NOx Standard.** The maximum emissions of non-methane organic gas plus oxides of nitrogen measured on the federal Highway Fuel Economy Test (HWFET; 40 CFR 600 Subpart B), as modified by the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” must not be greater than the applicable LEV III NMOG+NOx standard set forth in subsection (a)(1). Both the sum of the NMOG+NOx emissions and the HWFET standard must be rounded in accordance with ASTM E29-67 to the nearest 0.001 g/mi before being compared.

(7) **Supplemental Federal Test Procedure (SFTP) Off-Cycle Emission Standards.**

(A) **SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Exhaust Emission Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.** Manufacturers shall certify 2015 and subsequent model year LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs in the PC, LDT, and MDPV classes to either the **SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Stand-Alone Exhaust Emission Standards** set forth in subsection (a)(7)(A)1, or in accordance with the **SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Composite Exhaust Emission Standards and Fleet-Average Requirements** set forth in subsection (a)(7)(A)2. A manufacturer may also certify 2014 model LEVs, ULEVs, or SULEVs in the PC, LDT, or MDPV classes to LEV III SFTP standards, in which
case, the manufacturer shall be subject to the LEV III SFTP emission standards and requirements, including the sales-weighted fleet-average NMOG+NOx composite emission standard applicable to 2015 model vehicles if choosing to comply with the *SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Composite Exhaust Emission Standards and Fleet-Average Requirements* set forth in subsection (a)(7)(A)2. The manufacturer shall notify the Executive Officer of its selected emission standard type in the Application for Certification of the first test group certifying to SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards on a 150,000 mile durability basis. Once an emission standard type for NMOG+NOx and CO is selected for a fleet, and the Executive Officer is notified of such selection, the selection must be kept through the 2025 model year for the entire fleet, which includes LEV II vehicles if selecting to comply with subsection (a)(7)(A)2. The manufacturer may not change its selection until the 2026 model year. Test groups not certifying to the 150,000-mile SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards pursuant to this subsection (a)(7)(A) shall be subject to the 4,000-mile SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards set forth in subsection 1960.1(r).

1. **SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Exhaust Stand-Alone Emission Standards.** The following standards are the maximum SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO exhaust emissions through full useful life from 2015 and subsequent model-year LEV III LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs when operating on the same gaseous or liquid fuel they use for FTP certification. In the case of fuel-flexible vehicles, SFTP compliance shall be demonstrated using the LEV III certification gasoline specified in Part II, Section A.100.3.1.2 of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.”
SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Stand-Alone Exhaust Emission Standards for 2015 and Subsequent Model LEV III Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Durability Vehicle Basis (mi)</th>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category¹</th>
<th>US06 Test (g/mi) NMOG + NOx</th>
<th>SC03 Test (g/mi) CO NMOG + NOx</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PCs; LDTs 0-8,500 lbs. GVWR; and MDPVs</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV (Option A)²</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vehicle Emission Category. Manufacturers must certify all vehicles, which are certifying to a LEV III FTP emission category on a 150,000-mile durability basis, to the emission standards of the equivalent, or a more stringent, SFTP emission category set forth on this table. That is, all LEV III LEVs certified to 150,000-mile FTP emission standards shall comply with the SFTP LEV emission standards in this table, all LEV III ULEVs certified to 150,000-mile FTP emission standards shall comply with the SFTP ULEV emission standards in this table, and all LEV III SULEVs certified to 150,000-mile FTP emission standards shall comply with the SFTP SULEV emission standards in this table.

² Optional SFTP SULEV Standards. A manufacturer may certify light-duty truck test groups from 6,001 to 8,500 lbs. GVWR and MDPV test groups to the SULEV, option A, emission standards set forth in this table for the 2015 through 2020 model year, only if the vehicles in the test group are equipped with a particulate filter and the manufacturer extends the particulate filter emission warranty mileage to 200,000 miles. Passenger cars and light-duty trucks 0-6,000 lbs. GVWR are not eligible for this option.

2. SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Composite Exhaust Emission Standards. For the 2015 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer selecting this option must certify LEV II and LEV III LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs, such that the manufacturer’s sales-weighted fleet-average NMOG+NOx composite emission value does not exceed the applicable NMOG+NOx composite emission standard set forth in the following table. In addition, the CO composite emission value of any LEV III test group shall not exceed the CO composite emission standard set forth in the following table. SFTP compliance shall be demonstrated using the same gaseous or liquid fuel used for FTP certification. In the case of fuel-flexible vehicles, SFTP compliance shall be demonstrated using the LEV III certification gasoline specified in Part II, Section A.100.3.1.2 of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.”
For each test group subject to this subsection, manufacturers shall calculate a Composite Emission Value for NMOG+NOx and, for LEV III test groups, a separate Composite Emission Value for CO, using the following equation:

\[
\text{Composite Emission Value} = 0.28 \times \text{US06} + 0.37 \times \text{SC03} + 0.35 \times \text{FTP} \\
\text{[Eq. 1]}
\]

where “US06” = the test group’s NMOG+NOx or CO emission value, as applicable, determined through the US06 test;

“SC03” = the test group’s NMOG+NOx or CO emission value, as applicable, determined through the SC03 test; and

“FTP” = the test group’s NMOG+NOx or CO emission value, as applicable, determined through the FTP test.

If no vehicles in a test group have air conditioning units, the FTP cycle emission value can be used in place of the SC03 cycle emission value in Equation 1. To determine compliance with the SFTP NMOG+NOx composite emission standard applicable to the model year, manufacturers shall use a sales-weighted fleet average of the NMOG+NOx composite emission values of every applicable test group. The sales-weighted fleet average shall be calculated using a combination of carry-over and new certification SFTP composite emission values (converted to NMOG+NOx, as applicable). LEV II test groups will use their emission values in the fleet average calculation but will not be considered LEV III test groups. Compliance with the CO composite emission standard cannot be demonstrated through fleet averaging. The NMOG+NOx sales-weighted fleet-average composite emission value for the fleet and the CO composite emission value for each test group shall not exceed:

### SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Composite Emission Standards for 2015 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles (g/mi)

---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
All PCs; LDTs 8,500 lbs. GVWR or less; and MDPVs | Sales-Weighted Fleet Average NMOG+NOx Composite Exhaust Emission Standards<sup>2,4,5,6</sup> | 0.140 | 0.110 | 0.103 | 0.097 | 0.090 | 0.083 | 0.077 | 0.070 | 0.063 | 0.057 | 0.050

**CO Composite Exhaust Emission Standard<sup>7</sup>**

4.2

---

*Mileage for Compliance.* All test groups certifying to LEV III FTP emission standards on a 150,000-mile durability basis shall also certify to the SFTP on a 150,000-mile durability basis, as tested in accordance with specifications established by EPA.

2 Determining NMOG+NOx Composite Emission Values of LEV II Test Groups. For test groups certified to LEV II FTP emission standards, SFTP emission values shall be converted to NMOG+NOx and projected out to 120,000 miles or 150,000 miles (depending on LEV II FTP certification) using deterioration factors or aged components. NMHC emission values for the US06 and SC03 test cycles shall be converted to NMOG emission values by multiplying by a factor of 1.03. In lieu of deriving a deterioration factor specific to SFTP test cycles, carry-over test groups may use the applicable deterioration factor from the FTP cycle in order to determine the carry-over composite emission values for the purpose of the NMOG+NOx sales-weighted fleet-average calculation. If an SFTP full-useful life emission value is used to comply with SFTP 4k standards, that value may be used in the sales-weighted fleet-average without applying an additional deterioration factor.

3 MDPVs are excluded from SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards and the sales-weighted fleet average until they are certified to LEV III FTP 150,000-mile NMOG+NOx and CO requirements.

4 Test groups shall certify to bins in increments of 0.010 g/mi. Beginning with the 2018 model year, vehicles may not certify to bin values above a maximum of 0.180 g/mi.

5 Calculating the sales-weighted average for NMOG+NOx. For each model year, the manufacturer shall calculate its sales-weighted fleet-average NMOG+NOx composite emission value as follows:

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{number of vehicles in the test group})_i \times (\text{composite value of bin})_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{number of vehicles in the test group}_i}
\]

where "n" = a manufacturer’s total number of PC, LDT, and, if applicable, MDPV certification bins, in a given model year including carry-over certification bins, certifying to SFTP composite emission standards in that model year;

“number of vehicles in the test group" = the number of vehicles produced and delivered for sale in California in the certification test group; and

"Composite Value of Bin" = the numerical value selected by the manufacturer for the certification bin that serves as the emission standard for the vehicles in the test group with respect to all testing for test groups certifying to SFTP on a 150,000-mile durability basis, and the SFTP carry-over composite emission value, as described in footnote 7 of this table, for carry-over LEV II test groups.

6 Calculation of Fleet Average Total NMOG+NOx Credits or Debits. A manufacturer shall calculate the total NMOG+NOx credits or debits, as follows:

\[
[(\text{NMOG+NOx Composite Emission Standard}) - (\text{Manufacturer’s Sales-Weighted Fleet-Average Composite Emission Value})] \times (\text{Total Number of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California in the 0-8,500 lbs GVWR plus MDPVs classes, if applicable})
\]

A negative number constitutes total NMOG+NOx debits, and a positive number constitutes total NMOG+NOx credits accrued by the manufacturer for the given model year. Total NMOG+NOx credits earned in a given model year retain full value through the fifth model year after they are earned. At the beginning of the sixth model year, the total NMOG+NOx credits have no value. A manufacturer may trade credits with other manufacturers.

A manufacturer shall equalize total NMOG+NOx debits within three model years after they have been incurred by earning NMOG+NOx credits in an amount equal to the total NMOG+NOx debits. If total NMOG+NOx debits are not equalized within the three model-year period, the manufacturer is subject to the Health and Safety Code section 43211 civil penalty applicable to a manufacturer which sells a new motor vehicle that does not meet the applicable emission standards adopted by the state board. The cause of action shall be deemed to accrue when the total NMOG+NOx debits are not equalized by the end of the specified time period. For the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 43211, the number of vehicles not meeting the state board’s emission standards is determined by dividing the NMOG+NOx debits for the model year by the NMOG+NOx composite emission standard in effect during the model year in which the debits were incurred.
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Calculating the CO composite emission value. Composite emission values for CO shall be calculated in accordance with Equation 1 above. Unlike the NMOG+NOx composite emission standards, manufacturers would not be able to meet the proposed CO composite emission standard through fleet averaging; each individual test group must comply with the standard. Test groups certified to 4,000-mile SFTP emission standards are not subject to this CO emission standard.

(B) SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. The following standards are the maximum PM exhaust emissions through the full useful life from 2017 and subsequent model-year LEV III LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs in the PC, LDT, and MDPV classes when operating on the same gaseous or liquid fuel they use for FTP certification. In the case of fuel-flexible vehicles, SFTP compliance shall be demonstrated using the LEV III certification gasoline specified in Part II, Section A.100.3.1.2 of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” Manufacturers must certify LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs in the PC, LDT, and MDPV classes, which are certifying to LEV III FTP PM emission standards in subsection (a)(2) on a 150,000-mile durability basis, to the SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards set forth in this subsection (a)(7)(B).

### SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards for 2017 and Subsequent Model LEV III Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Mileage for Compliance</th>
<th>Test Cycle</th>
<th>PM (mg/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All PCs; LDTs 0-6,000 lbs GVWR</td>
<td>Loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>US06</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDTs 6,001-8,500 lbs GVWR; MDPVs</td>
<td>Loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>US06</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs certified to LEV III FTP PM emission standards in subsection (a)(2) on a 150,000-mile durability basis shall comply with the SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards in this table.

(C) SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO Exhaust Emission Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles. The following standards are the maximum NMOG+NOx and CO composite emission values for full useful life of 2016 and subsequent model-year medium-duty LEV III ULEVs and SULEVs from 8,501 through 14,000 pounds GVWR when operating on the same gaseous or liquid fuel they use for FTP certification. In the case of flex-fueled vehicles, SFTP compliance shall be demonstrated using the LEV III certification gasoline specified in Part II, Section A.100.3.1.2 of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.”
The following composite emission standards do not apply to MDPVs subject to the emission standards presented in subsections (a)(7)(A) and (a)(7)(B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Mileage for Compliance</th>
<th>HP/GVWR²</th>
<th>Test Cycle³,⁴,⁵</th>
<th>Vehicle Emission Category²</th>
<th>Composite Emission Standard¹ (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 8,501 - 10,000 lbs GVWR</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>≤ 0.024</td>
<td>US06 Bag 2, SC03, FTP</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>NMOG + NOx 0.550, Carbon Monoxide 22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 0.024</td>
<td>Full US06, SC03, FTP</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>SULEV 0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 10,001 - 14,000 lbs GVWR</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Hot 1435 UC (Hot 1435 LA92), SC03, FTP</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Manufacturers shall use Equation 1 in subsection (a)(7)(A)2 to calculate SFTP Composite Emission Values for each test group subject to the emission standards in this table. For MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR, the emission results from the UC test shall be used in place of results from the US06 test.

² Power to Weight Ratio. If all vehicles in a test group have a power to weight ratio at or below a threshold of 0.024, they may opt to run the US06 Bag 2 in lieu of the full US06 cycle. The cutoff is determined by using a ratio of the engine’s maximum rated horsepower, as established by the engine manufacturer in the vehicle’s Application for Certification, to the vehicle’s GVWR in pounds and does not include any horsepower contributed by electric motors in the case of hybrid electric or plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. Manufacturers may opt to test to the full cycle regardless of the calculated ratio; in such case, manufacturers shall meet the emission standards applicable to vehicles with power-to-weight ratios greater than 0.024.

³ Test Weight. Medium-duty vehicles are tested at their adjusted loaded vehicle weight (average of curb weight and GVWR).

⁴ Road Speed Fan. Manufacturers have the option to use a road speed modulated fan as specified in 40-CFR § 86.107–96(d)(1) instead of a fixed speed fan for MDV SFTP testing.

⁵ If a manufacturer provides an engineering evaluation for a test group showing that SC03 emissions are equivalent to or lower than FTP emissions, the FTP emission value may be used in place of the composite emission value for that test group.

⁶ Vehicle Emission Categories. For MDVs 8,501-10,000 lbs. GVWR, for each model year, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP emission category set forth in this section 1961.2 shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to the FTP ULEV250, ULEV200, SULEV170, and SULEV150 emission categories; of these vehicles, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP SULEV emission category shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to both the FTP SULEV170 and SULEV150 emission categories. For MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR, for each model year, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP emission category set forth in this section 1961.2 shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to the FTP ULEV400, ULEV270, SULEV230, and SULEV200 emission categories; of these vehicles, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP SULEV emission category shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to both the FTP SULEV230 and SULEV200 emission categories.

(D) SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles. The following standards are the maximum PM composite emission values for the full useful life of 2017 and subsequent model-year LEV III LEVs, ULEVs, and SULEVs when operating on the same gaseous or liquid fuel they use for FTP certification. In the case of fuel-flexible vehicles, SFTP compliance shall be demonstrated using the LEV III certification gasoline specified in Part II,
Section A.100.3.1.2 of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” The following composite emission standards do not apply to MDPVs subject to the emission standards set forth in subsections (a)(7)(A) and (a)(7)(B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards for 2017 and Subsequent Model Medium-Duty Vehicles¹</th>
<th>Test Weight</th>
<th>Mileage for Compliance</th>
<th>Hp/GVWR ²</th>
<th>Test Cycle³,⁴</th>
<th>PM (mg/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 8,501-10,000 lbs GVWR</td>
<td>Adjusted loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>≤ 0.024</td>
<td>US06 Bag 2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.024</td>
<td>US06</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs GVWR</td>
<td>Adjusted loaded vehicle weight</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Hot 1435 UC (Hot 1435 LA92)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Except for MDPVs subject to the emission standards set forth in subsection (a)(7)(B), MDVs certified to 150,000-mile FTP PM emission standards in subsection (a)(2) shall comply with the SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards in this table.

² Power to Weight Ratio. If all vehicles in a test group have a power to weight ratio at or below a threshold of 0.024, they may opt to run the US06 Bag 2 in lieu of the full US06 cycle. The cutoff is determined by using a ratio of the engine’s horsepower to the vehicle’s GVWR in pounds and does not include any horsepower contributed by electric motors in the case of hybrid electric or plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. Manufacturers may opt to test to the full cycle regardless of the calculated ratio; in such case, manufacturers shall meet the emission standards applicable to vehicles with power-to-weight ratios greater than 0.024.

³ Road Speed Fan. Manufacturers have the option to use a road speed modulated fan as specified in 40-CFR § 86.107–96(d)(1) instead of a fixed speed fan for MDV SFTP testing.

⁴ Manufacturers shall use Equation 1 above to calculate SFTP Composite PM Emission Values for each test group subject to the emission standards in this table. For MDVs 8,501-10,000 lbs. GVWR certifying to the US06 Bag 2 PM emission standard, the emission results from the US06 Bag 2 test shall be used in place of results from the full US06 test. For MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR, the emission results from the UC test shall be used in place of results from the US06 test.

(8) **Interim In-Use Compliance Standards.**

(A) **LEV III NMOG+NOx Interim In-Use Compliance Standards.**

The following interim in-use compliance standards shall apply for the first two model years that a test group is certified to the LEV III standards.

1. **NMOG+NOx Interim In-Use Compliance Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.**

For the 2015 through 2019 model years, these standards shall apply.
### Emission Category Durability Vehicle Basis (miles) LEV III PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Category</th>
<th>Durability Vehicle Basis (miles)</th>
<th>LEV III PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NMOG + NOx (g/mi)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV160</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV125</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV70</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV50</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV30</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.042¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV20</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.028¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ not applicable to test groups that receive PZEV credits

---

2. **NMOG+NOx Interim In-Use Compliance Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles, Excluding Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.** For the 2015 through 2020 model years, these standards shall apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Category</th>
<th>Durability Vehicle Basis (miles)</th>
<th>LEV III MDVs (excluding MDPVs) 8,501 - 10,000 lbs. GVW</th>
<th>LEV III MDVs 10,001 - 14,000 lbs. GVW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>NMOG + NOx (g/mi)</strong></td>
<td><strong>NMOG + NOx (g/mi)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV395</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV340</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV250</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV200</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV170</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV150</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV630</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV570</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV400</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULEV270</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV230</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULEV200</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(B) LEV III Particulate Interim In-Use Compliance Standards. The following interim in-use compliance standards shall apply for the first two model years that a test group is certified to the LEV III standards.

1. LEV III Particulate Interim In-Use Compliance Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. For the 2017 through 2020 model years, the interim in-use compliance standard for vehicles certifying to the 3 mg/mi particulate standard is 6 mg/mi. For the 2025 through 2028 model years, the interim in-use compliance standard for vehicles certifying to the 1 mg/mi particulate standard is 2 mg/mi.

2. LEV III Particulate Interim In-Use Compliance Standards for Medium-Duty Vehicles, excluding Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. For the 2017 through 2020 model years, the interim in-use compliance standard for vehicles certifying to the 8 mg/mi particulate standard shall be 16 mg/mi and the interim in-use compliance standard for vehicles certifying to the 10 mg/mi particulate standard shall be 20 mg/mi.

(C) SFTP Interim In-Use Compliance Standards.

1. Test groups certified prior to the 2020 model year may use an in-use compliance standard for NMOG+NOx for the first two model years that they are certified to new standards.

   a. For light-duty vehicle test groups and medium-duty passenger vehicle test groups certifying to the standards in subsection (a)(7)(A)1, in-use compliance emission standards for NMOG+NOx shall be 1.4 times the applicable certification standard.

   b. For light-duty vehicle test groups and medium-duty passenger vehicle test groups certifying to the standards in subsection (a)(7)(A)2, in-use compliance emission standards for NMOG+NOx shall be 1.4 times the Composite Value of the bin to which a test group is certified.

   c. For medium-duty vehicle tests groups certifying to the standards in subsection (a)(7)(C), in-use compliance emission standards for NMOG+NOx shall be 1.4 times the applicable certification standard.

2. Test groups certified prior to the 2021 model year will be allowed an in-use compliance standard for PM for the first five model years that they are certified to the SFTP PM standard.

   a. For light-duty vehicle test groups and medium-duty passenger vehicle test groups certifying to SFTP PM exhaust emission standards in subsection (a)(7)(B), in-use compliance emission standards for PM shall be 5.0 mg/mi higher than the applicable certification standard.
b. For medium-duty vehicle test groups certifying to SFTP PM Exhaust Emission Standards in subsection (a)(7)(D), in-use compliance emission standards for PM shall be 5.0 mg/mi higher than the applicable certification standard.

(9) **Requirement to Generate Additional NMOG+NOx Fleet Average Credit.** For a vehicle that is certified to the LEV III standards in subsection (a)(1), which does not generate a partial ZEV allocation according to the criteria set forth in section C.3 of the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes” and the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2018 and Subsequent Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes,” a manufacturer may subtract 5 mg/mi from the NMOG+NOx emission standards value set forth in subsection (b)(1)(B)1.c when calculating the manufacturer’s fleet average, provided that the manufacturer extends the performance and defects warranty period to 15 years or 150,000 miles, whichever occurs first.

(10) **Requirement to Generate a Partial ZEV Allowance.** For the 2015 through 2017 model years, a manufacturer that certifies to the LEV III SULEV30 or the LEV III SULEV20 standards may also generate a partial ZEV allocation according to the criteria set forth in section C.3 of the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes.”

(11) **NMOG Credit for Direct Ozone Reduction Technology.** A manufacturer that certifies vehicles equipped with direct ozone reduction technologies shall be eligible to receive NMOG credits that can be applied to the NMOG exhaust emissions of the vehicle when determining compliance with the standard. In order to receive credit, the manufacturer must submit the following information for each vehicle model for which it gets credit, including, but not limited to:

(A) a demonstration of the airflow rate through the direct ozone reduction device and the ozone-reducing efficiency of the device over the range of speeds encountered in the Unified Cycle Driving Schedule contained in Part II G. of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Emission Standards and Test Procedures for and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty trucks and Medium-duty Vehicles,” as adopted March 22, 2012;
an evaluation of the durability of the device for the full useful life of the vehicle; and

(C) a description of the on-board diagnostic strategy for monitoring the performance of the device in-use.

Using the above information, the Executive Officer shall determine the value of the NMOG credit based on the calculated change in the one-hour peak ozone level using an approved airshed model.

(12) *When a Federally-Certified Vehicle Model is Required in California.*

(A) **General Requirement.** Whenever a manufacturer federally-certifies a 2015 or subsequent model-year passenger car, light-duty truck, or medium-duty vehicle model to the standards for a particular emissions bin that are more stringent than the standards for an applicable California emission category, the equivalent California model may only be certified to (i) the California standards for a vehicle emissions category that are at least as stringent as the standards for the corresponding federal emissions bin, or (ii) the exhaust emission standards to which the federal model is certified. However, where the federal exhaust emission standards for the particular emissions bin and the California standards for a vehicle emissions category are equally stringent, the California model may only be certified to either the California standards for that vehicle emissions category or more stringent California standards. The federal emission bins are those contained in Tables S04-1 and S04-2 of 40 CFR §86.1811-04(c) as adopted February 10, 2000. The criteria for applying this requirement are set forth in Part I. Section H.1 of the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.”

(B) **Exception for clean fuel fleet vehicles.** Subsection (a)(12)(A) does not apply in the case of a federally-certified vehicle model that is only marketed to fleet operators for applications that are subject to clean fuel fleet requirements established pursuant to section 246 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. sec. 7586). In addition, the Executive Officer shall exclude from the requirement a federally-certified vehicle model where the manufacturer demonstrates to the Executive Officer’s reasonable satisfaction that the model will primarily be sold or leased to clean fuel fleet operators for such applications, and that other sales or leases of the model will be incidental to marketing to those clean fuel fleet operators.

(13) **Emission Standard for a Fuel-Fired Heater.** Whenever a manufacturer elects to utilize an on-board fuel-fired heater on any passenger car, light-duty truck or medium-duty vehicle, the fuel-fired heater must meet ULEV125
standards for passenger cars and light-duty trucks less than 8,500 pounds GVWR as set forth in subsection 1961(a)(1). The exhaust emissions from the fuel-fired heater shall be determined in accordance with the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes” or the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2018 and Subsequent Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes,” as applicable. If the on-board fuel-fired heater is capable of operating at ambient temperatures above 40°F, the measured emission levels of the on-board fuel-fired heater shall be added to the emissions measured on the FTP (40 CFR, Part 86, Subpart B), as amended by the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles” to determine compliance with the exhaust emission standards in subsection (a)(1).

(b) Emission Standards Phase-In Requirements for Manufacturers.

(1) Fleet Average NMOG + NOx Requirements for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.

(A) The fleet average non-methane organic gas plus oxides of nitrogen exhaust mass emission values from the passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are produced and delivered for sale in California each model year by a manufacturer other than a small volume manufacturer shall not exceed:
FLEET AVERAGE NON-METHANE ORGANIC GAS PLUS OXIDES OF NITROGEN EXHAUST MASS EMISSION REQUIREMENTS FOR PASSENGER CARS, LIGHT-DUTY TRUCKS, AND MEDIUM-DUTY PASSENGER VEHICLES
(150,000 mile Durability Vehicle Basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Fleet Average NMOG + NOx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(grams per mile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All PCs; LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014¹</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025+</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ For the 2014 model year, a manufacturer may comply with the fleet average NMOG+NOx values in this table in lieu of complying with the NMOG fleet average values in subsection 1961(a)(b)(1)(A). A manufacturer must either comply with the NMOG+NOx fleet average requirements for both its PC/LDT1 fleet and its LDT2/MDPV fleet or comply with the NMOG fleet average requirements for both its PC/LDT1 fleet and its LDT2/MDPV fleet. A manufacturer must calculate its fleet average NMOG+NOx values using the applicable full useful life standards.

1. A manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must provide to the Executive Officer separate values for the number of vehicles in each test group produced and delivered for sale in the District of Columbia and for each individual state within the average.

2. **PZEV Anti-Backsliding Requirement.** In the 2018 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer must produce and deliver for sale in California a minimum percentage of its passenger car and light-duty truck fleet that certifies to SULEV30 and SULEV20 standards. This minimum percentage must be equal to the average percentage of PZEVs produced and delivered.
deliver for sale in California for that manufacturer for the 2015 through 2017 model year. A manufacturer may calculate this average percentage using the projected sales for these model years in lieu of actual sales.

(B) Calculation of Fleet Average NMOG + NOx Value.

1. Basic Calculation.

a. Each manufacturer’s PC and LDT1 fleet average NMOG + NOx value for the total number of PCs and LDT1s produced and delivered for sale in California shall be calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{\sum \text{[Number of vehicles in a test group excluding off-vehicle charge capable hybrid electric vehicles x applicable emission standard]}}{\sum \text{[Number of off-vehicle charge capable hybrid electric vehicles in a test group x HEV NMOG+NOx contribution factor]}}
\]

Total Number of PCs plus LDT1s Produced and Delivered for sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs

b. Each manufacturer’s LDT2 and MDPV fleet average NMOG+NOx value for the total number of LDT2s and MDPVs produced and delivered for sale in California shall be calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{\sum \text{[Number of vehicles in a test group excluding off-vehicle charge capable hybrid electric vehicles x applicable emission standard]}}{\sum \text{[Number of off-vehicle charge capable hybrid electric vehicles in a test group x HEV NMOG factor]}}
\]

Total Number of LDT2s plus MDPVs Produced and Delivered for sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs

c. The applicable emission standards to be used in the above equations are as follows:
### Model Year Emission Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Emission Category</th>
<th>All PCs; LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW</th>
<th>LDTs 3751-5750 lbs. LVW; All MDPVs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015 and subsequent model year federally-certified vehicles</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Sum of the full useful life NMOG and NOx Federal Emission Standards to which Vehicle is Certified</td>
<td>Sum of the full useful life NMOG and NOx Federal Emission Standards to which Vehicle is Certified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 through 2019 model year vehicles certified to the “LEV II” standards in subsection 1961(a)(1); 2015 and subsequent model year vehicles certified to the “LEV III” standards in subsection 1961.2(a)(1)</td>
<td>LEV II LEVs; LEV160s</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II ULEVs; LEV125s</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV70s</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV50s</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II SULEVs; SULEV30s</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV20s</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II LEVs; LEV395s</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II ULEVs</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV340s</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV250s</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV200s</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV170s</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SULEV150s</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. For LEV III vehicle test groups that meet the extended emission warranty requirements in subsection (a)(9), the applicable emission standard value shall be the emission standard value set forth in this table minus 5 mg/mi.

2. **NMOG+NOx Contribution Factor for Off-vehicle Charge Capable HEVs.** The HEV NMOG+NOx contribution factor for light-duty off-vehicle charge capable hybrid electric vehicles is calculated as follows:
LEV160 HEV Contribution Factor = 0.160 - [(Zero-emission VMT Allowance) x 0.035]  
ULEV125 HEV Contribution Factor = 0.125 - [(Zero-emission VMT Allowance) x 0.055]  
ULEV70 HEV Contribution Factor = 0.070 - [(Zero-emission VMT Allowance) x 0.020]  
ULEV50 HEV Contribution Factor = 0.050 - [(Zero-emission VMT Allowance) x 0.020]  
SULEV30 HEV Contribution Factor = 0.030 - [(Zero-emission VMT Allowance) x 0.010]  
SULEV20 HEV Contribution Factor = 0.020 - [(Zero-emission VMT Allowance) x 0.020]  

Where the Zero-emission VMT Allowance for off-vehicle charge capable HEVs is determined in accordance with section C.3 of the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes” and the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2018 and Subsequent Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes,” as applicable, except that for the purposes of this subsection (b)(1)(B)2, the maximum allowable Zero-emission VMT Allowance that may be used in these equations is 1.0. This subsection (b)(1)(B)2 shall only apply to off-vehicle charge capable HEVs certified to the LEV III standards set forth in subsection (a)(1).

(C) Phase-In Requirements for Small Volume Manufacturers.

1. In the 2015 through 2021 model years, a small volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG+NOx value of 0.160 g/mi for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.160 g/mi for LDTs from 3751-5750 lbs. LVW calculated in accordance with subsection (b)(1)(B). In 2022 through 2024 model years, a small volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG+NOx value of 0.125 g/mi for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.125 g/mi for LDTs from 3751 lbs. LVW - 8,500 lbs. GVW and MDPVs calculated in accordance with subsection (b)(1)(B). In 2025 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer shall not exceed a fleet average NMOG+NOx value of 0.070 g/mi for PCs and LDTs from 0-3750 lbs. LVW or 0.070 g/mi for LDTs from 3751 lbs. LVW - 8,500 lbs. GVW and MDPVs calculated in accordance with subsection (b)(1)(B). For the 2015 through 2021 model years, a small volume manufacturer may certify its vehicles to the LEV II exhaust standards in section 1961. All vehicles certified by a small volume manufacturer for the 2022 and subsequent model years must meet the LEV III exhaust standards in this section 1961.2.

2. If a manufacturer’s average California sales exceeds 4500 units of new PCs, LDTs, MDVs, heavy-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty engines
based on the average number of vehicles sold for the three previous consecutive model years, the manufacturer shall no longer be treated as a small volume manufacturer. If this is the first time the manufacturer exceeds the 4500 unit sales limit, the manufacturer must comply with the fleet average requirements applicable to a large volume manufacturer, as specified in subsection (b)(1)(A) beginning with the fourth model year after the last of the three consecutive model years. If during this four year lead time period the manufacturer’s sales drop below the 4500 unit sales limit and then increase again above the 4500 unit sales limit, the four year lead time period shall be calculated based on the first model year in which the manufacturer again exceeds the 4500 unit sales limit. Except as noted above – i.e., if this is not the first time the manufacturer has exceeded the 4500 unit sales limit – the manufacturer shall comply with the fleet average requirements applicable to larger manufacturers as specified in subsection (b)(1)(A) beginning with the following model year after the last of the three consecutive model years.

3. If a manufacturer’s average California sales fall below 4500 units of new PCs, LDTs, MDVs and heavy duty engines based on the average number of vehicles sold for the three previous consecutive model years, the manufacturer shall be treated as a small volume manufacturer and shall be subject to the requirements for small volume manufacturers beginning with the next model year.

(D) Treatment of ZEVs. ZEVs classified as LDTs (>3750 lbs. LVW) that have been counted toward the ZEV requirement for PCs and LDTs (0-3750 lbs. LVW) as specified in sections 1962.1 and 1962.2 shall be included as LDT1s in the calculation of a fleet average NMOG value.

(2) LEV III Phase-In Requirement for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. For the 2015 and 2016 model years, the LEV II SULEV emission standards set forth in section 1961(a)(1) that are applicable to PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs shall only apply to those PCs, LDT1s, LDT2s, and MDPVs that certify to SULEV emission standards using “carryover” of emission test data from a previous model year in accordance with U.S. EPA OMS Advisory Circular A/C No. 17F, issued November 16, 1982, and last amended January 21, 1988, incorporated herein by reference. Beginning in the 2017 model year, the LEV II SULEV emission standards set forth in section 1961(a)(1) that are applicable to PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs shall only apply to those PCs, LDT1s, LDT2s, and MDPVs that receive partial ZEV allowances in accordance with the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes.” A manufacturer, other than a small volume manufacturer, must certify 100 percent of its PC, LDT, and MDPV fleet to the LEV III standards in subsection (a)(1) in 2020 and subsequent model years. A small volume
manufacturer must certify 100 percent of its PC, LDT, and MDPV fleet to the LEV III standards in subsection (a)(1) in 2022 and subsequent model years.

(3) LEV III Phase-In Requirements for Medium-Duty Vehicles, Other than Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.

(A) A manufacturer of MDVs, other than a small volume manufacturer, shall certify its MDV fleet according to the following phase-in schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1961.2(a)(1) (%)</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1956.8(c) or (h) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II LEV; LEV III LEV395 or LEV630</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 +</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Requirements for Small Volume Manufacturers. In the 2015 through 2017 model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify, produce, and deliver for sale in California vehicles or engines certified to the MDV LEV II LEV standards or to the LEV III LEV395 or LEV III LEV630 standards, as applicable, in a quantity equivalent to 100% of its MDV fleet. In the 2018 through 2021 model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify, produce, and deliver for sale in California vehicles or engines certified to the MDV LEV II ULEV standards or to the LEV III ULEV340 or LEV III ULEV570 standards, as applicable, in a quantity equivalent to 100% of its MDV fleet. In the 2022 and subsequent model years, a small volume manufacturer shall certify, produce, and deliver for sale in California vehicles or engines certified to the MDV LEV III ULEV250 or LEV III ULEV400 standards, as applicable, in a quantity equivalent to 100% of its MDV fleet. Engines certified to these MDV standards are not eligible for emissions averaging.

As Amended: March 22, 2012
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(C)  **Alternate Phase-In Schedules for LEV III MDVs.** For the 2016 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California four or fewer medium-duty test groups may comply with the following alternate phase-in schedule for LEV III medium-duty vehicles.

1. A manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California four medium-duty test groups may comply with the following alternate phase-in schedule for LEV III medium-duty vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Number of Test Groups Certified to §1961.2(a)(1)</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1956.8(c) or (h) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II LEV; LEV III LEV395 or LEV630</td>
<td>LEV II ULEV; LEV III ULEV340 or ULEV570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 +</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. A manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California three medium-duty test groups may comply with the following alternate phase-in schedule for LEV III medium-duty vehicles.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Number of Test Groups Certified to §1961.2(a)(1)</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1956.8(c) or (h) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II LEV; LEV III LEV395 or LEV630</td>
<td>LEV II ULEV; LEV III ULEV340 or ULEV570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 +</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. A manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California two medium-duty test groups may comply with the following alternate phase-in schedule for LEV III medium-duty vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Number of Test Groups Certified to §1961.2(a)(1)</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1956.8(c) or (h) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II LEV; LEV III LEV395 or LEV630</td>
<td>LEV II ULEV; LEV III ULEV340 or ULEV570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 +</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. A manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California one medium-duty test groups may comply with the following alternate phase-in schedule for LEV III medium-duty vehicles.
### Identifying a Manufacturer's MDV Fleet.

Each manufacturer’s MDV fleet shall be defined as the total number of California-certified MDVs produced and delivered for sale in California. The percentages shall be applied to the manufacturers’ total production of California-certified medium-duty vehicles delivered for sale in California. A manufacturer that elects to certify to the optional medium-duty engine standards in subsections 1956.8(c) or (h) shall not count those engines in the manufacturer’s total production of California-certified medium-duty vehicles for purposes of this subsection.

### SFTP Phase-In Requirements.

#### Phase-In Requirement for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.

A test group certifying to LEV III FTP emission categories on a 150,000-mile durability basis shall also certify to SFTP requirements on a 150,000-mile durability basis.

Manufacturers shall have two options for phase in to the SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards.

1. Under Option 1, beginning with the 2015 model year, a manufacturer shall certify its PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs to the SFTP NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards in subsection (a)(7)(A) when the

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Number of Test Groups Certified to §1961.2(a)(1)</th>
<th>Vehicles Certified to §1956.8(c) or (h) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II LEV; LEV III LEV395 or LEV630</td>
<td>ULEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2021</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 +</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV II ULEV; LEV III ULEV340 or ULEV570</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV III ULEV250 or ULEV400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LEV III SULEV170 or SULEV230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULEV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vehicles are also certifying to a LEV III FTP emission category at 150,000-mile durability.

2. Under Option 2, for 2015 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer shall certify its fleet of PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs such that the manufacturer’s sales-weighted fleet-average NMOG+NOx composite emission value does not exceed the composite emission standard in effect for that model year. During the 150,000-mile durability phase-in, the sales-weighted fleet-average NMOG+NOx composite emission value shall be calculated using a combination of carry-over values and new-certification values. Carry-over test groups shall convert values to NMOG+NOx and may use the applicable deterioration factor from the FTP cycle in lieu of deriving a deterioration factor specific to SFTP test cycles. Any vehicle certified to SFTP requirements on a 150,000-mile durability basis shall be subject to the applicable emission standards for the full useful life of that vehicle. Compliance with the CO composite emission standard cannot be demonstrated through fleet averaging.

Beginning with the 2017 model year, a manufacturer shall certify its PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs certifying to LEV III FTP PM emission standards on a 150,000-mile durability basis to the SFTP PM emission standards in subsection (a)(7)(B).

(B) Phase-In Requirements for Medium-Duty Vehicle Manufacturers. Phase-in for NMOG+NOx and CO emission standards begins with the 2016 model year. For MDVs 8,501-10,000 lbs. GVWR, for each model year, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP emission category set forth in this section 1961.2 shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to the FTP ULEV250, ULEV200, SULEV170, and SULEV150 emission categories; of these vehicles, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP SULEV emission category shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to both the FTP SULEV170 and SULEV150 emission categories. For MDVs 10,001-14,000 lbs. GVWR, for each model year, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP emission category set forth in this section 1961.2 shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to the FTP ULEV400, ULEV270, SULEV230, and SULEV200 emission categories; of these vehicles, the percentage of MDVs certified to an SFTP SULEV emission category shall be equal to or greater than the total percentage certified to both the FTP SULEV230 and SULEV200 emission categories.

In addition, 2017 and subsequent model MDVs certifying to LEV III FTP PM emission standards on a 150,000-mile durability basis must also certify to the SFTP emission standards set forth in subsection (a)(7)(D).

(C) Identifying a Manufacturer’s Medium-Duty Vehicle Fleet. For the 2016 and subsequent model years, each manufacturer’s MDV fleet shall be defined as the total number of California-certified MDVs, other than MDPVs,
produced and delivered for sale in California. For 2016 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that elects to certify engines to the optional medium-duty engine emission standards in subsections 1956.8(c) or (h) shall not count those engines in the manufacturer’s total production of California-certified medium-duty vehicles for purposes of this subparagraph.

(c) Calculation of NMOG + NOx Credits/Debits

(1) Calculation of NMOG+NOx Credits and Debits for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles.

(A) In 2015 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer shall calculate its credits or debits using the following equation.

\[
\text{[(Fleet Average NMOG+NOx Requirement) - (Manufacturer’s Fleet Average NMOG+NOx Value)]} \times \text{(Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs)}.
\]

(B) In 2015 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that achieves fleet average NMOG+NOx values lower than the fleet average NMOG+NOx requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive credits in units of g/mi NMOG + NOx. A manufacturer with 2015 and subsequent model year fleet average NMOG+NOx values greater than the fleet average requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive debits in units of g/mi NMOG + NOx equal to the amount of negative credits determined by the aforementioned equation. The total g/mi NMOG+NOx credits or debits earned for PCs and LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW, for LDTs 3751-5750 lbs. LVW and for LDTs 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVWR shall be summed together. The resulting amount shall constitute the g/mi NMOG+NOx credits or debits accrued by the manufacturer for the model year.

(2) Calculation of Vehicle-Equivalent NMOG + NOx Credits for Medium-Duty Vehicles Other than MDPVs.

(A) In 2016 and subsequent model years, a manufacturer that produces and delivers for sale in California MDVs, other than MDPVs, in excess of the equivalent requirements for LEV III vehicles certified to the exhaust emission standards set forth in subsection (a)(1), shall receive “Vehicle-Equivalent Credits” (or “VECs”) calculated in accordance with the following equation, where the term “produced” means produced and delivered for sale in California:

\[
(1.00) \times \text{[(No. of LEV395s and LEV630s Produced excluding HEVs) + (No. of LEV395 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for LEV395s) + (No. of LEV630 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for LEV630s)]} -
\]
(No. of LEV395s and LEV630s Required to be Produced}) +

(1.14) x \{([No. of ULEV340s and ULEV570s Produced excluding HEVs) +
(No. of ULEV340 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for ULEV340s) +
(No. of ULEV570 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for ULEV570s]) –
(No. of ULEV340s and ULEV570s Required to be Produced}) +

(1.37) x \{([No. of ULEV250s and ULEV400s Produced excluding HEVs) +
(No. of ULEV250 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for ULEV250s) +
(No. of ULEV400 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for ULEV400s]) -
(No. of ULEV250s and ULEV400s Required to be Produced}) +

(1.49) x \{([No. of ULEV200s and ULEV270s Produced excluding HEVs) +
(No. of ULEV200 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for ULEV200s) +
(No. of ULEV270 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for ULEV270s]) -
(No. of ULEV200s and ULEV270s Required to be Produced}) +

(1.57) x \{([No. of SULEV170s and SULEV230s Produced excluding HEVs) +
(No. of SULEV170 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for SULEV170s) +
(No. of SULEV230 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for SULEV230s]) -
(No. of SULEV170s and SULEV230s Required to be Produced}) +

(1.62) x \{([No. of SULEV150s and SULEV200s Produced excluding HEVs) +
(No. of SULEV150 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for SULEV150s) +
(No. of SULEV200 HEVs x HEV VEC factor for SULEV200s]) -
(No. of SULEV150s and SULEV200s Required to be Produced}) +

[(2.00) x (No. of ZEVs Certified and Produced as MDVs)].

(B) **MDV HEV VEC factor.** The MDV HEV VEC factor is calculated as follows:

For LEV395s:
\[
1 + \left[ \frac{(LEV395 \text{ standard} - ULEV340 \text{ standard}) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance}}{LEV395 \text{ standard}} \right];
\]

For ULEV340s:
\[
1 + \left[ \frac{(ULEV340 \text{ standard} - ULEV250 \text{ standard}) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance}}{ULEV340 \text{ standard}} \right];
\]

For ULEV250s:
\[
1 + \left[ \frac{(ULEV250 \text{ standard} - ULEV200 \text{ standard}) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance}}{ULEV250 \text{ standard}} \right];
\]
For ULEV200s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{ULEV200 standard} - \text{SULEV170 standard}}{\text{ULEV3200 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For SULEV170s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{SULEV170 standard} - \text{SULEV150 standard}}{\text{SULEV170 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For SULEV150s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{SULEV150 standard} - \text{ZEV standard}}{\text{SULEV150 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For LEV630s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{LEV630 standard} - \text{ULEV570 standard}}{\text{LEV630 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For ULEV570s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{ULEV570 standard} - \text{ULEV400 standard}}{\text{ULEV570 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For ULEV400s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{ULEV400 standard} - \text{ULEV270 standard}}{\text{ULEV400 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For ULEV270s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{ULEV270 standard} - \text{SULEV230 standard}}{\text{ULEV270 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For SULEV230s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{SULEV230 standard} - \text{SULEV200 standard}}{\text{SULEV230 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

For SULEV200s:
\[ 1 + \left( \frac{\text{SULEV200 standard} - \text{ZEV standard}}{\text{SULEV200 standard}} \right) \times \text{Zero-emission VMT Allowance} \];

subsection (c)(2)(B), the maximum allowable Zero-emission VMT Allowance that may be used in these equations is 1.0.

(C) A manufacturer that fails to produce and deliver for sale in California the equivalent quantity of MDVs certified to LEV III exhaust emission standards, shall receive “Vehicle-Equivalent Debits” (or “VEDs”) equal to the amount of negative VECs determined by the equation in subsection (c)(2)(A).

(D) Only ZEVs certified as MDVs and not used to meet the ZEV requirement shall be included in the calculation of VECs.

(3) Procedure for Offsetting Debits.

(A) A manufacturer shall equalize emission debits by earning g/mi NMOG+NOx emission credits or VECs in an amount equal to the g/mi NMOG+NOx debits or VEDs, or by submitting a commensurate amount of g/mi NMOG+NOx credits or VECs to the Executive Officer that were earned previously or acquired from another manufacturer. A manufacturer shall equalize NMOG+NOx debits for PCs, LDTs, and MDPVs and VEC debits for MDVs within three model years. If emission debits are not equalized within the specified time period, the manufacturer shall be subject to the Health and Safety Code §43211 civil penalty applicable to a manufacturer which sells a new motor vehicle that does not meet the applicable emission standards adopted by the state board. The cause of action shall be deemed to accrue when the emission debits are not equalized by the end of the specified time period. A manufacturer demonstrating compliance under Option 2 in subsection (b)(1)(A)1.a, must calculate the emission debits that are subject to a civil penalty under Health and Safety Code section 43211 separately for California, the District of Columbia, and for each individual state that is included in the fleet average greenhouse gas requirements in subsection (b)(1)(A)1.a. The manufacturer must calculate these emission debits separately for California, the District of Columbia, and each individual state using the formula in subsections (c)(1) and (c)(2), except that the “Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs” shall be calculated separately for the District of Columbia and each individual state.

For the purposes of Health and Safety Code §43211, the number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles not meeting the state board's emission standards shall be determined by dividing the total amount of g/mi NMOG+NOx emission debits for the model year by the g/mi NMOG+NOx fleet average requirement for PCs and LDTs 0-3750 lbs. LVW and for LDTs 3751 lbs. LVW - 8500 lbs. GVW and MDPVs applicable for the model year in which the debits were first incurred; and the number of medium-duty vehicles not meeting the state board's emission standards shall be equal to the amount of VEDs incurred.
(B) The emission credits earned in any given model year shall retain full value through five subsequent model years. Credits will have no value if not used by the beginning of the sixth model year after being earned.

(4) Changing NMOG Credits and Debits to NMOG+NOx Credits and Debits. The value of any emission credits that have not been used prior to the start of the 2015 model year and any emission debits that have not been equalized prior to the start of the 2015 model year earned shall be converted to NMOG+NOx credits at the start of the 2015 model year by multiplying their values by a factor of 3.0. These credits and debits are subject to the provisions in subsection 1961(c)(3).


(e) Abbreviations. The following abbreviations are used in this section 1961.2:

“ALVW” means adjusted loaded vehicle weight.
“CO” means carbon monoxide.
“FTP” means Federal Test Procedure.
“g/mi” means grams per mile.
“GVW” means gross vehicle weight.
“GVWR” means gross vehicle weight rating.
“HEV” means hybrid-electric vehicle.
“LDT” means light-duty truck.
“LDT1” means a light-duty truck with a loaded vehicle weight of 0-3750 pounds.
“LDT2” means a light-duty truck with a loaded vehicle weight of 3751 pounds to a gross vehicle weight rating of 8500 pounds.

“LEV” means low-emission vehicle.

“LPG” means liquefied petroleum gas.

“LVW” means loaded vehicle weight.

“MDPV” means medium-duty passenger vehicle.

“MDV” means medium-duty vehicle.

“NMHC” means non-methane hydrocarbons.

“mg/mi” means milligrams per mile.

“NMHC” means non-methane hydrocarbons.

“Non-Methane Organic Gases” or “NMOG” means the total mass of oxygenated and non-oxygenated hydrocarbon emissions.

“NOx” means oxides of nitrogen.

“PC” means passenger car.

“SULEV” means super-ultra-low-emission vehicle.

“ULEV” means ultra-low-emission vehicle.

“VEC” means vehicle-equivalent credits.

“VED” means vehicle-equivalent debits.

“VMT” means vehicle miles traveled.

“ZEV” means zero-emission vehicle.

(f) Severability. Each provision of this section is severable, and in the event that any provision of this section is held to be invalid, the remainder of both this section and this article remains in full force and effect.

Note: The entire text of section 1961.3 set forth below is new language and is shown in “normal type” proposed to be added to the California Code of Regulations.

Adopt new Section 1961.3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations to read as follows:


Introduction. This section 1961.3 sets the greenhouse gas emission levels from new 2017 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles. Light-duty trucks from 3751 lbs. LVW – 8500 lbs. GVW that are certified to the Option 1 LEV II NOx Standard in section 1961(a)(1) are exempt from these greenhouse gas emission requirements, however, passenger cars, light-duty trucks 0-3750 lbs. LVW, and medium-duty passenger vehicles are not eligible for this exemption.

Emergency vehicles may be excluded from these greenhouse gas emission requirements. The manufacturer must notify the Executive Officer that they are making such an election, in writing, prior to the start of the applicable model year or must comply with this section 1961.3.

(a) Greenhouse Gas Emission Requirements.

(1) Fleet Average Carbon Dioxide Requirements for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. For the purpose of determining compliance with this subsection (a)(1), the applicable fleet average CO₂ mass emission standards for each model year is the sales-weighted average of the calculated CO₂ exhaust mass emission target values for each manufacturer. For each model year, the sales-weighted fleet average CO₂ mass emissions value shall not exceed the sales-weighted average of the calculated CO₂ exhaust mass emission target values for that manufacturer.

(A) Fleet Average Carbon Dioxide Target Values for Passenger Cars. The fleet average CO₂ exhaust mass emission target values for passenger cars that are produced and delivered for sale in California each model year shall be determined as follows:

1. For passenger cars with a footprint of less than or equal to 41 square feet, the gram per mile CO₂ target value shall be selected for the appropriate model year from the following table:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>CO₂ Target Value (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>195.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>185.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>175.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>166.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>157.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>143.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>137.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 and subsequent</td>
<td>131.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. For passenger cars with a footprint of greater than 56 square feet, the gram per mile CO₂ target value shall be selected for the appropriate model year from the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>CO₂ Target Value (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>263.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>250.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>238.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>226.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>215.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>205.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>196.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>188.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 and subsequent</td>
<td>179.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. For passenger cars with a footprint that is greater than 41 square feet and less than or equal to 56 square feet, the gram per mile CO₂ target value shall be calculated using the following equation and rounded to the nearest 0.1 grams/mile:

\[
\text{Target gCO}_2/\text{mile} = [a \times f] + b
\]

Where:  
- \( f \) is the vehicle footprint
- coefficients \( a \) and \( b \) are selected from the following table for the applicable model year.
(B) Fleet Average Carbon Dioxide Target Values for Light-Duty Trucks and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. The fleet average CO₂ exhaust mass emission target values for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are produced and delivered for sale in California each model year shall be determined as follows:

1. For light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles with a footprint of less than or equal to 41 square feet, the gram per mile CO₂ target value shall be selected from the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>CO₂ Target Value (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>238.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>227.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>220.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>212.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>195.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>186.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>176.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>168.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 and subsequent</td>
<td>159.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. For light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles with a footprint of greater than 41 square feet and less than or equal to the maximum footprint value specified in the table below for each model year, the gram/mile CO₂ target value shall be calculated using the following equation and rounded to the nearest 0.1 grams/mile:

\[
\text{CO}_2 \text{ Target Value (grams/mile)} = a + b \times \text{Footprint}
\]
Target $g\text{CO}_2$/mile = $[a \times f] + b$

Where: $f$ is the vehicle footprint and coefficients $a$ and $b$ are selected from the following table for the applicable model year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model year</th>
<th>Maximum Footprint</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 and subsequent</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. For light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles with a footprint that is greater than the minimum footprint value specified in the table below and less than or equal to the maximum footprint value specified in the table below for each model year, the gram/mile CO$_2$ target value shall be calculated using the following equation and rounded to the nearest 0.1 grams/mile:

Target $g\text{CO}_2$/mile = $[a \times f] + b$

Where: $f$ is the vehicle footprint and coefficients $a$ and $b$ are selected from the following table for the applicable model year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model year</th>
<th>Minimum Footprint</th>
<th>Maximum Footprint</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. For light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles with a footprint that is greater than the minimum value specified in the
table below for each model year, the gram/mile CO₂ target value shall be selected for the applicable model year from the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model year</th>
<th>Minimum Footprint</th>
<th>CO₂ target value (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>347.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>342.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>339.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>337.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>335.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>321.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>306.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>291.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025 and subsequent</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>277.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) **Calculation of Manufacturer-Specific Carbon Dioxide Fleet Average Standards.** For each model year, each manufacturer must comply with fleet average CO₂ standards for passenger cars and for light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles, as applicable, calculated for that model year as follows. For each model year, a manufacturer must calculate separate fleet average CO₂ values for its passenger car fleet and for its combined light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet using the CO₂ target values in subsection (a)(A). These calculated CO₂ values are the manufacturer-specific fleet average CO₂ standards for passenger cars and for light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles, as applicable, which apply for that model year.

1. A CO₂ target value shall be calculated in accordance with subparagraph (a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B), as applicable, for each unique combination of model type and footprint value.

2. Each CO₂ target value, determined for each unique combination of model type and footprint value, shall be multiplied by the total production of that model type/footprint combination for the applicable model year.
3. The resulting products shall be summed, and that sum shall be divided by the total production of passenger cars or total combined production of light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles, as applicable, in that model year. The result shall be rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile. This result shall be the applicable fleet average CO₂ standard for the manufacturer’s passenger car fleet or its combined light-duty truck and medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet, as applicable.

(2) Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) and Methane (CH₄) Exhaust Emission Standards for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles. Each manufacturer’s fleet of combined passenger automobile, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles must comply with N₂O and CH₄ standards using either the provisions of subsection (a)(2)(A), subsection (a)(2)(B), or subsection (a)(2)(C). Except with prior approval of the Executive Officer, a manufacturer may not use the provisions of both subsection (a)(2)(A) and subsection (a)(2)(B) in the same model year. For example, a manufacturer may not use the provisions of subsection (a)(2)(A) for their passenger automobile fleet and the provisions of subsection (a)(2)(B) for their light-duty truck and medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet in the same model year. The manufacturer may use the provisions of both subsections (a)(2)(A) and (a)(2)(C) in the same model year. For example, a manufacturer may meet the N₂O standard in subsection (a)(2)(A) and an alternative CH₄ standard determined under subsection (a)(2)(C).

(A) Standards Applicable to Each Test Group.

1. Exhaust emissions of N₂O shall not exceed 0.010 grams per mile at full useful life, as measured on the FTP (40 CFR, Part 86, Subpart B), as amended by the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium Duty Vehicles.” Manufacturers may optionally determine an alternative N₂O standard under subsection (a)(2)(C).

2. Exhaust emissions of CH₄ shall not exceed 0.030 grams per mile at full useful life, as measured on the FTP (40 CFR, Part 86, Subpart B), as amended by the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.” Manufacturers may optionally determine an alternative CH₄ standard under subsection (a)(2)(C).

(B) Including N₂O and CH₄ in Fleet Averaging Program.
Manufacturers may elect to not meet the emission standards in subsection
(a)(2)(A). Manufacturers making this election shall measure N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} emissions for each unique combination of model type and footprint value on both the FTP test cycle and the Highway Fuel Economy test cycle at full useful life, multiply the measured N\textsubscript{2}O emissions value by 298 and the measured CH\textsubscript{4} emissions value by 25, and include both of these adjusted N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} full useful life values in the fleet average calculations for passenger automobiles and light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles, as calculated in accordance with subsection (a)(2)(A)(D).

(C) Optional Use of Alternative N\textsubscript{2}O and/or CH\textsubscript{4} Standards. Manufacturers may select an alternative standard applicable to a test group, for either N\textsubscript{2}O or CH\textsubscript{4}, or both. For example, a manufacturer may choose to meet the N\textsubscript{2}O standard in subsection (a)(2)(A)1 and an alternative CH\textsubscript{4} standard in lieu of the standard in subsection (a)(2)(A)2. The alternative standard for each pollutant must be less stringent than the applicable exhaust emission standard specified in subsection (a)(2)(A). Alternative N\textsubscript{2}O and CH\textsubscript{4} standards apply to emissions as measured on the FTP (40 CFR, Part 86, Subpart B), as amended by the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” for the full useful life, and become the applicable certification and in-use emission standard(s) for the test group. Manufacturers using an alternative standard for N\textsubscript{2}O and/or CH\textsubscript{4} must calculate emission debits according to the provisions of subsection (a)(2)(D) for each test group/alternative standard combination. Debts must be included in the calculation of total credits or debits generated in a model year as required under subsection (b)(1)(B). Flexible fuel vehicles (or other vehicles certified for multiple fuels) must meet these alternative standards when tested on all applicable test fuel type.

(D) CO\textsubscript{2}-Equivalent Debts. CO\textsubscript{2}-equivalent debits for test groups using an alternative N\textsubscript{2}O and/or CH\textsubscript{4} standard as determined under (a)(2)(C) shall be calculated according to the following equation and rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile:

\[
\text{Debits} = \text{GWP} \times \text{(Production)} \times (\text{AltStd} - \text{Std})
\]

Where:

- Debits = N\textsubscript{2}O or CH\textsubscript{4} CO\textsubscript{2}-equivalent debits for a test group using an alternative N\textsubscript{2}O or CH\textsubscript{4} standard;
- GWP = 25 if calculating CH\textsubscript{4} debits and 298 if calculating N\textsubscript{2}O debits;
- Production = The number of vehicles of that test group produced and delivered for sale in California;
AltStd = The alternative standard (N\textsubscript{2}O or CH\textsubscript{4}) selected by the manufacturer under (a)(2)(C); and

Std = The exhaust emission standard for N\textsubscript{2}O or CH\textsubscript{4} specified in (a)(2)(A).

(3) Alternative Fleet Average Standards for Manufacturers with Limited U.S. Sales. Manufacturers meeting the criteria in this subsection (a)(3) may request that the Executive Officer establish alternative fleet average CO\textsubscript{2} standards that would apply instead of the standards in subsection (a)(1).

(A) Eligibility for Alternative Standards. Eligibility as determined in this subsection (a)(3) shall be based on the total sales of combined passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles. The terms “sales” and “sold” as used in this subsection (a)(3) shall mean vehicles produced and delivered for sale (or sold) in the states and territories of the United States. For the purpose of determining eligibility the sales of related companies shall be aggregated according to the provisions of section 1900. To be eligible for alternative standards established under this subsection (a)(3), the manufacturer's average sales for the three most recent consecutive model years must remain below 5,000. If a manufacturer's average sales for the three most recent consecutive model years exceeds 4,999, the manufacturer will no longer be eligible for exemption and must meet applicable emission standards as follows.

1. If a manufacturer’s average sales for three consecutive model years exceeds 4,999, and if the increase in sales is the result of corporate acquisitions, mergers, or purchase by another manufacturer, the manufacturer shall comply with the emission standards described in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2), as applicable, beginning with the first model year after the last year of the three consecutive model years.

2. If a manufacturer’s average sales for three consecutive model years exceeds 4,999 and is less than 50,000, and if the increase in sales is solely the result of the manufacturer’s expansion in vehicle production (not the result of corporate acquisitions, mergers, or purchase by another manufacturer), the manufacturer shall comply with the emission standards described in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2), as applicable, beginning with the second model year after the last year of the three consecutive model years.

(B) Requirements for New Entrants into the U.S. Market. New entrants are those manufacturers without a prior record of automobile sales in the United States and without prior certification to (or exemption from, under 40 CFR §86.1801-12(k)) greenhouse gas emission standards in 40 CFR §86.1818-12 or greenhouse gas standards in section 1961.1. In addition to
the eligibility requirements stated in subsection (a)(3)(A), new entrants must meet the following requirements:

1. In addition to the information required under subsection (a)(3)(D), new entrants must provide documentation that shows a clear intent by the company to actually enter the U.S. market in the years for which alternative standards are requested. Demonstrating such intent could include providing documentation that shows the establishment of a U.S. dealer network, documentation of work underway to meet other U.S. requirements (e.g., safety standards), or other information that reasonably establishes intent to the satisfaction of the Executive Officer.

2. Sales of vehicles in the U.S. by new entrants must remain below 5,000 vehicles for the first two model years in the U.S. market and the average sales for any three consecutive years within the first five years of entering the U.S. market must remain below 5,000 vehicles. Vehicles sold in violation of these limits will be considered not covered by the certificate of conformity and the manufacturer will be subject to penalties on an individual-vehicle basis for sale of vehicles not covered by a certificate. In addition, violation of these limits will result in loss of eligibility for alternative standards until such point as the manufacturer demonstrates two consecutive model years of sales below 5,000 automobiles.

3. A manufacturer with sales in the most recent model year of less than 5,000 automobiles, but where prior model year sales were not less than 5,000 automobiles, is eligible to request alternative standards under subsection (a)(3). However, such a manufacturer will be considered a new entrant and subject to the provisions regarding new entrants in this subsection (a)(3), except that the requirement to demonstrate an intent to enter the U.S. market in subsection (a)(3)(B)(1) shall not apply.

(C) How to Request Alternative Fleet Average Standards.
Eligible manufacturers may petition for alternative standards for up to five consecutive model years if sufficient information is available on which to base such standards.

1. To request alternative standards starting with the 2017 model year, eligible manufacturers must submit a completed application no later than July 30, 2013.

2. To request alternative standards starting with a model after 2017, eligible manufacturers must submit a completed application no later than 36 months prior to the start of the first model year to which the alternative standards would apply.
3. The application must contain all the information required in subsection (a)(3)(D), and must be signed by a chief officer of the company. If the Executive Officer determines that the content of the request is incomplete or insufficient, the manufacturer will be notified and given an additional 30 days to amend the request.

(D) **Data and Information Submittal Requirements.** Eligible manufacturers requesting alternative standards under subsection (a)(3) must submit the following information to the California Air Resources Board. The Executive Officer may request additional information as s/he deems appropriate. The completed request must be sent to the California Air Resources Board at the following address: Chief, Mobile Source Operations Division, California Air Resources Board, 9480 Telstar Avenue, Suite 4, El Monte, California 91731.

1. **Vehicle Model and Fleet Information.**
   a. The model years to which the requested alternative standards would apply, limited to five consecutive model years.
   b. Vehicle models and projections of production volumes for each model year.
   c. Detailed description of each model, including the vehicle type, vehicle mass, power, footprint, and expected pricing.
   d. The expected production cycle for each model, including new model introductions and redesign or refresh cycles.

2. **Technology Evaluation Information.**
   a. The CO₂ reduction technologies employed by the manufacturer on each vehicle model, including information regarding the cost and CO₂ -reducing effectiveness. Include technologies that improve air conditioning efficiency and reduce air conditioning system leakage, and any “off-cycle” technologies that potentially provide benefits outside the operation represented by the FTP and the HWFET.
   b. An evaluation of comparable models from other manufacturers, including CO₂ results and air conditioning credits generated by the models. Comparable vehicles should be similar, but not necessarily identical, in the following respects: vehicle type, horsepower, mass, power-to-weight ratio, footprint, retail price, and any other relevant factors. For manufacturers requesting alternative standards starting with the 2017 model year, the analysis of
comparable vehicles should include vehicles from the 2012 and 2013 model years, otherwise the analysis should at a minimum include vehicles from the most recent two model years.

c. A discussion of the CO$_2$-reducing technologies employed on vehicles offered outside of the U.S. market but not available in the U.S., including a discussion as to why those vehicles and/or technologies are not being used to achieve CO$_2$ reductions for vehicles in the U.S. market.

d. An evaluation, at a minimum, of the technologies projected by the California Air Resources Board in the “Staff Report: Initial Statement of Reasons for Proposed Rulemaking, Public Hearing to Consider the “LEV III” Amendments to The California Greenhouse Gas and Criteria Pollutant Exhaust and Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures and to the On-Board Diagnostic System Requirements for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles, and to the Evaporative Emission Requirements for Heavy-Duty Vehicles” and the appendices to this report, released on December 7, 2011, as those technologies likely to be used to meet greenhouse gas emission standards and the extent to which those technologies are employed or projected to be employed by the manufacturer. For any technology that is not projected to be fully employed, the manufacturer must explain why this is the case.

3. Information Supporting Eligibility.

a. U.S. sales for the three previous model years and projected sales for the model years for which the manufacturer is seeking alternative standards.

b. Information regarding ownership relationships with other manufacturers, including details regarding the application of the provisions of 40 CFR §86.1838–01(b)(3) and section 1900 regarding the aggregation of sales of related companies.

(E) Alternative Standards. Upon receiving a complete application, the Executive Officer will review the application and determine whether an alternative standard is warranted. If the Executive Officer judges that an alternative standard is warranted, the following standards shall apply. For the purposes of this subsection (a)(3)(E), an “ultra-small volume manufacturer” shall mean a manufacturer that meets the requirements of subsection (a)(3).

1. At the beginning of the model year that is three model years prior to the model year for which an alternative standard is requested,
each ultra-small volume manufacturer shall identify all vehicle models from
the model year that is four model years prior to the model year for which
an alternative standard is requested, certified by a large volume
manufacturer that are comparable to that small volume manufacturer’s
vehicle models for the model year for which an alternative standard is
requested, based on model type and footprint value. The ultra-small
volume manufacturer shall demonstrate to the Executive Officer the
appropriateness of each comparable vehicle model selected. Upon
approval of the Executive Officer, s/he shall provide to the ultra-small
volume manufacturer the target grams CO\(_2\) per mile for each vehicle
model type and footprint value that is approved. The ultra-small volume
manufacturer shall calculate its fleet average CO\(_2\) standard in accordance
with subsection (a)(1)(C) based on these target grams CO\(_2\) per mile
values provided by the Executive Officer.

2. In the 2017 and subsequent model years, an ultra-small
volume manufacturer shall either:

   a. not exceed its fleet average CO\(_2\) standard calculated in
      accordance with subsection (a)(1)(C) based on the target grams CO\(_2\)
      per mile values provided by the Executive Officer; or

   b. upon approval of the Executive Officer, if an ultra-small
      volume manufacturer demonstrates a vehicle model uses an engine,
      transmission, and emission control system and has a footprint value
      that are identical to a configuration certified for sale in California by a
      large volume manufacturer, those ultra-small volume manufacturer
      vehicle models are exempt from meeting the requirements in
      paragraph 2.a of this subsection.

(F) Restrictions on Credit Trading. Manufacturers subject to
alternative standards approved by the Executive Officer under this subsection
(a)(3) may not trade credits to another manufacturer. Transfers of credits
between a manufacturer’s car and truck fleets are allowed.

(4) Greenhouse Gas Emissions Values for Electric Vehicles, “Plug-
In” Hybrid Electric Vehicles, and Fuel Cell Vehicles.

   (A) Electric Vehicle Calculations.

   1. For each unique combination of model type and footprint
      value, a manufacturer shall calculate the City CO\(_2\) Value using the
      following formula:

      \[ \text{City CO}_2 \text{ Value} = (270 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/kWh}) \times E_{EV} - 0.25 \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ target} \]
Where $E_{EV}$ is measured directly from each cycle for each test vehicle of battery electric vehicle technology in units of kilowatt-hours per mile (per SAE J1634, incorporated herein by reference).

2. For each unique combination of model type and footprint value, a manufacturer shall calculate the Highway CO$_2$ Value using the following formula:

$$\text{Highway CO}_2 \text{ Value} = (270 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/kWh}) \times E_{EV} - 0.25 \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ target}$$

Where $E_{EV}$ is measured directly from each cycle for each test vehicle of battery electric vehicle technology in units of kilowatt-hours per mile (per SAE J1634, incorporated herein by reference).

(B) “Plug-In” Hybrid Electric Vehicle Calculations. For each unique combination of model type and footprint value, a manufacturer shall calculate the City CO$_2$ Value and the Highway CO$_2$ Value using the following formulas:

$$\text{City CO}_2 \text{ Value} = \text{GHG}_\text{urban}$$

and

$$\text{Highway CO}_2 \text{ Value} = \text{GHG}_\text{highway}$$

Where $\text{GHG}_\text{urban}$ and $\text{GHG}_\text{highway}$ are measured in accordance with section G.12 of the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes” or the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2018 and Subsequent Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes,” as applicable.

(C) Fuel Cell Vehicle Calculations. For each unique combination of model type and footprint value, a manufacturer shall calculate the City CO$_2$ Value and the Highway CO$_2$ Value using the following formulas:

$$\text{City CO}_2 = \text{GHG}_{\text{FCV}} = (9132 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/kg H}_2) \times H_{\text{FCV}} - G_{\text{upstream}}$$

and

$$\text{Highway CO}_2 = \text{GHG}_{\text{FCV}} = (9132 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/kg H}_2) \times H_{\text{FCV}} - G_{\text{upstream}}$$

Where $H_{\text{FCV}}$ means hydrogen consumption in kilograms of hydrogen per mile, measured for the applicable test cycle, in accordance with SAE J2572 (published October 2008), incorporated herein by reference.
5) **Calculation of Fleet Average Carbon Dioxide Value.**

(A) For each unique combination of model type and footprint value, a manufacturer shall calculate a combined city/highway CO\(_2\) exhaust emission value as follows:

\[
0.55 \times \text{City CO}_2 \text{ Value} + 0.45 \times \text{Highway CO}_2 \text{ Value}
\]


(B) Each combined city/highway CO\(_2\) exhaust emission, determined for each unique combination of model type and footprint value, shall be multiplied by the total production of that model type/footprint combination for the applicable model year.

(C) The resulting products shall be summed, and that sum shall be divided by the total production of passenger cars or total combined production of light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles, as applicable, in that model year. The result shall be rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile. This result shall be the manufacturer's actual sales-weighted fleet average CO\(_2\) value for the manufacturer's passenger car fleet or its combined light-duty truck and medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet, as applicable.

(D) For each model year, a manufacturer must demonstrate compliance with the fleet average requirements in section (a)(1) based on one of two options applicable throughout the model year, either:

- **Option 1:** the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are certified to the California exhaust emission standards in section 1961.3, and are produced and delivered for sale in California; or
- **Option 2:** the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles that are certified to the California exhaust emission standards in this section 1961.3, and are produced and delivered for sale in California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California’s greenhouse gas emission standards for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).
1. A manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must notify the Executive Officer of that selection, in writing, prior to the start of the applicable model year or must comply with Option 1. Once a manufacturer has selected compliance Option 2, that selection applies unless the manufacturer selects Option 1 and notifies the Executive Officer of that selection in writing before the start of the applicable model year.

2. When a manufacturer is demonstrating compliance using Option 2 for a given model year, the term "in California" as used in section 1961.3 means California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California's greenhouse gas emission standards for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

3. A manufacturer that selects compliance Option 2 must provide to the Executive Officer separate values for the number of vehicles in each model type and footprint value produced and delivered for sale in the District of Columbia and for each individual state within the average and the City CO₂ Value and Highway CO₂ exhaust emission values that apply to each model type and footprint value.

(6) **Credits for Reduction of Air Conditioning Direct Emissions.** Manufacturers may generate A/C Direct Emissions Credits by implementing specific air conditioning system technologies designed to reduce air conditioning direct emissions over the useful life of their vehicles. A manufacturer may only use an A/C Direct Emissions Credit for vehicles within a model type upon approval of the A/C Direct Emissions Credit for that model type by the Executive Officer. The conditions and requirements for obtaining approval of an A/C Direct Emissions Credit are described in (A) through (F), below.

(A) Applications for approval of an A/C Direct Emissions Credit must be organized by model type. The applications must also include:

- vehicle make and
- number of vehicles within the model type that will be equipped with the air conditioning system to which the leakage credit shall apply.

Separate applications must be submitted for any two configurations of an A/C system with differences other than dimensional variation.

(B) To obtain approval of the A/C Direct Emissions Credit, the manufacturer must demonstrate through an engineering evaluation that the A/C system under consideration reduces A/C direct emissions. The demonstration must include all of the following elements:
- the amount of A/C Direct Emissions Credit requested, in grams of CO$_2$-equivalent per mile (gCO$_2$e/mi);
- the calculations identified in section (a)(6)(C) justifying that credit amount;
- schematic of the A/C system;
- specifications of the system components with sufficient detail to allow reproduction of the calculation; and
- an explanation describing what efforts have been made to minimize the number of fittings and joints and to optimize the components in order to minimize leakage.

Calculated values must be carried to at least three significant figures throughout the calculations, and the final credit value must be rounded to one tenth of a gram of CO$_2$-equivalent per mile (gCO$_2$e/mi).

(C) The calculation of A/C Direct Emissions Credit depends on the refrigerant or type of system, and is specified in paragraphs 1, 2, and 3 of this subsection.

1. HFC-134a vapor compression systems

For A/C systems that use HFC-134a refrigerant, the A/C Direct Emissions Credit is calculated using the following formula:

\[
A/C \text{ Direct Credit} = \text{Direct Credit Baseline} \times \left(1 - \frac{LR}{\text{Avg } LR}\right)
\]

Where:
- \( \text{Direct Credit Baseline} = 12.6 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/mi} \) for passenger cars;
- \( \text{Direct Credit Baseline} = 15.6 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/mi} \) for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles;
- \( \text{Avg } LR = 16.6 \text{ grams/year} \) for passenger cars;
- \( \text{Avg } LR = 20.7 \text{ grams/year} \) for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles;

\( LR = \) the larger of \( SAE LR \) or \( Min LR \);

Where:
- \( SAE LR \) = initial leak rate evaluated using SAE International’s Surface Vehicle Standard SAE J2727 (Revised August 2008), incorporated by reference, herein;
- \( Min LR = 8.3 \text{ grams/year} \) for passenger car A/C systems with belt-driven compressors;
- \( Min LR = 10.4 \text{ grams/year} \) for light-duty truck and medium-duty passenger vehicle A/C systems with belt-driven compressors;
Min LR = 4.1 grams/year for passenger car A/C systems with 
electric compressors;
Min LR = 5.2 grams/year for light-duty truck and medium-duty 
passenger vehicle A/C systems with electric compressors.

Note: Initial leak rate is the rate of refrigerant leakage from a newly 
manufactured A/C system in grams of refrigerant per year. The Executive 
Officer may allow a manufacturer to use an updated version of the August 
2008 version of SAE J2727 or an alternate method if s/he determines that 
the updated SAE J2727 or the alternate method provides more accurate 
estimates of the initial leak rate of A/C systems than the August 2008 
version of SAE J2727 does.

2. Low-GWP vapor compression systems

For A/C systems that use a refrigerant having a GWP of 150 or less, the 
A/C Direct Emissions Credit shall be calculated using the following 
formula:

\[
\text{A/C Direct Credit} = \text{Low GWP Credit} - \text{High Leak Penalty}
\]

Where:

\[
\text{Low GWP Credit} = \text{Max Low GWP Credit} \times (1 - \frac{\text{GWP}}{1,430}),
\]

and

\[
\text{High Leak Penalty} = \begin{cases} 
\text{Max High Leak Penalty}, & \text{if } \text{SAE LR} > \text{Avg LR}, \\
\text{Max High Leak Penalty} \times \frac{\text{SAE LR} - \text{Min LR}}{\text{Avg LR} - \text{Min LR}}, & \text{if } \text{Min LR} < \text{SAE LR} \leq \text{Avg LR}, \\
0, & \text{if } \text{SAE LR} \leq \text{Min LR}.
\end{cases}
\]

Where:

\[
\text{Max Low GWP Credit} = 13.8 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/mi for passenger cars}; \\
\text{Max Low GWP Credit} = 17.2 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/mi for light-duty trucks} \\
\text{and medium-duty passenger vehicles};
\]
\[
\text{Max High Leak Penalty} = 1.8 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/mi for passenger cars}; \\
\text{Max High Leak Penalty} = 2.1 \text{ gCO}_2\text{e/mi for light-duty trucks} \\
\text{and medium-duty passenger vehicles};
\]
\[
\text{Avg LR} = 13.1 \text{ g/yr for passenger cars};
\]
Avg $LR = 16.6$ g/yr for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles;

and where:

$SAE \ LR = \text{initial leak rate evaluated using SAE International's Surface Vehicle Standard SAE J2727 (Revised August 2008)}$;

$Min \ LR = \ 8.3$ g/yr for passenger cars;

$Min \ LR = \ 10.4$ g/yr for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles.

Note: Initial leak rate is the rate of refrigerant leakage from a newly manufactured A/C system in grams of refrigerant per year. The Executive Officer may allow a manufacturer to use an updated version of SAE J2727 or an alternate applicable test method if s/he finds the update or the alternate method provides more accurate estimates of the initial leak rate of A/C systems than the August 2008 version of SAE J2727 does.

3. Other A/C systems

For an A/C system that uses a technology other than vapor compression cycles, an A/C Direct Emissions Credit may be approved by the Executive Officer. The amount of credit requested must be based on demonstration of the reduction of A/C direct emissions of the technology using an engineering evaluation that includes verifiable laboratory test data, and cannot exceed 13.8 gCO$_2$e/mi for passenger cars and 17.2 gCO$_2$e/mi for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles.

(D) The total leakage reduction credits generated by the air conditioning system shall be calculated separately for passenger cars, and for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles, according to the following formula:

Total Credits (g/mi) = A/C Direct Credit $\times$ Production

Where:

A/C Direct Credit is calculated as specified in subsection (a)(6)(C).

Production = The total number of passenger cars or light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles, whichever is applicable, produced and delivered for sale in California, with the air conditioning system to which the A/D Direct Credit value from subsection (a)(6)(C) applies.
(E) The results of subsection (a)(6)(D), rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile, shall be included in the manufacturer's credit/debit totals calculated in subsection (b)(1)(B).

(F) The following values for refrigerant global warming potential (GWP), or alternative values as determined by the Executive Officer, shall be used in the calculations of this subsection (a)(6). The Executive Officer shall determine values for refrigerants not included in this subsection (a)(6)(F) upon request by a manufacturer, based on findings by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) or from other applicable research studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refrigerant</th>
<th>GWP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFC–134a</td>
<td>1,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC–152a</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFO–1234yf</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(7) Credits for Improving Air Conditioning System Efficiency. Manufacturers may generate CO₂ credits by implementing specific air conditioning system technologies designed to reduce air conditioning-related CO₂ emissions over the useful life of their passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and/or medium-duty passenger vehicles. Credits shall be calculated according to this subsection (a)(7) for each air conditioning system that the manufacturer is using to generate CO₂ credits. The eligibility requirements specified in subsection (a)(7)(E) must be met before an air conditioning system is allowed to generate credits.

(A) Air conditioning efficiency credits are available for the following technologies in the gram per mile amounts indicated for each vehicle category in the following table:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Conditioning Technology</th>
<th>Passenger Cars (g/mi)</th>
<th>Light-Duty Trucks and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced reheat, with externally-controlled, variable-displacement compressor (e.g. a compressor that controls displacement based on temperature setpoint and/or cooling demand of the air conditioning system control settings inside the passenger compartment).</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced reheat, with externally-controlled, fixed-displacement or pneumatic variable displacement compressor (e.g. a compressor that controls displacement based on conditions within, or internal to, the air conditioning system, such as head pressure, suction pressure, or evaporator outlet temperature).</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default to recirculated air with closed-loop control of the air supply (sensor feedback to control interior air quality) whenever the ambient temperature is 75 °F or higher: Air conditioning systems that operated with closed-loop control of the air supply at different temperatures may receive credits by submitting an engineering analysis to the Administrator for approval.</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default to recirculated air with open-loop control air supply (no sensor feedback) whenever the ambient temperature is 75 °F or higher: Air conditioning systems that operate with open-loop control of the air supply at different temperatures may receive credits by submitting an engineering analysis to the Administrator for approval.</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blower motor controls which limit wasted electrical energy (e.g. pulse width modulated power controller).</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal heat exchanger (e.g. a device that transfers heat from the high-pressure, liquid-phase refrigerant entering the evaporator to the low-pressure, gas-phase refrigerant exiting the evaporator).</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved condensers and/or evaporators with system analysis on the component(s) indicating a coefficient of performance improvement for the system of greater than 10% when compared to previous industry standard designs).</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil separator. The manufacturer must submit an engineering analysis demonstrating the increased improvement of the system relative to the baseline design, where the baseline component for comparison is the version which a manufacturer most recently had in production on the same vehicle design or in a similar or related vehicle model. The characteristics of the baseline component shall be compared to the new component to demonstrate the improvement.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Air conditioning efficiency credits are determined on an air conditioning system basis. For each air conditioning system that is eligible for a credit based on the use of one or more of the items listed in subsection (a)(7)(A), the total credit value is the sum of the gram per mile values listed in
subsection (a)(7)(A) for each item that applies to the air conditioning system. However, the total credit value for an air conditioning system may not be greater than 5.0 grams per mile for any passenger car or 7.2 grams per mile for any light-duty truck or medium-duty passenger vehicle.

(C) The total efficiency credits generated by an air conditioning system shall be calculated separately for passenger cars and for light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles according to the following formula:

\[ \text{Total Credits (g/mi)} = \text{Credit} \times \text{Production} \]

Where:

- **Credit** = the CO\(_2\) efficiency credit value in grams per mile determined in subsection (a)(7)(B) or (a)(7)(E), whichever is applicable.
- **Production** = The total number of passenger cars or light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles, whichever is applicable, produced and delivered for sale in California, with the air conditioning system to which the efficiency credit value from subsection (a)(7)(B) applies.

(D) The results of subsection (a)(7)(C), rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile, shall be included in the manufacturer's credit/debit totals calculated in subsection (b)(1)(B).

(E) For the purposes of this subsection (a)(7)(E), the AC17 Test Procedure shall mean the AC17 Air Conditioning Efficiency Test Procedure set forth in the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.”

1. For each air conditioning system selected by the manufacturer to generate air conditioning efficiency credits, the manufacturer shall perform the AC17 Test Procedure.

2. Using good engineering judgment, the manufacturer must select the vehicle configuration to be tested that is expected to result in the greatest increased CO\(_2\) emissions as a result of the operation of the air conditioning system for which efficiency credits are being sought. If the air conditioning system is being installed in passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles, a separate determination of the quantity of credits for passenger cars and for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles must be made, but only one test vehicle
is required to represent the air conditioning system, provided it represents
the worst-case impact of the system on CO₂ emissions.

3. For each air conditioning system selected by the
manufacturer to generate air conditioning efficiency credits, the
manufacturer shall perform the AC17 Test Procedure according to the
following requirements. Each air conditioning system shall be tested as
follows:

a. Perform the AC17 test on a vehicle that incorporates the
air conditioning system with the credit-generating technologies.

b. Perform the AC17 test on a vehicle which does not
incorporate the credit-generating technologies. The tested vehicle
must be similar to the vehicle tested under subsection (a)(7)(E)(3)a.

c. Subtract the CO₂ emissions determined from testing
under subsection (a)(7)(E)(3)a from the CO₂ emissions determined
from testing under subsection (a)(7)(E)(3)b and round to the nearest
0.1 grams/mile. If the result is less than or equal to zero, the air
conditioning system is not eligible to generate credits. If the result is
greater than or equal to the total of the gram per mile credits
determined under subsection (a)(7)(B), then the air conditioning
system is eligible to generate the maximum allowable value
determined under subsection (a)(7)(B). If the result is greater than zero
but less than the total of the gram per mile credits determined under
subsection (a)(7)(B), then the air conditioning system is eligible to
generate credits in the amount determined by subtracting the CO₂
emissions determined from testing under subsection (a)(7)(E)(3)a from
the CO₂ emissions determined from testing under subsection
(a)(7)(E)(3)b and rounding to the nearest 0.1 grams/mile.

4. For the first model year for which an air conditioning system
is expected to generate credits, the manufacturer must select for testing
the highest-selling subconfiguration within each vehicle platform that uses
the air conditioning system. Credits may continue to be generated by the
air conditioning system installed in a vehicle platform provided that:

a. The air conditioning system components and/or control
strategies do not change in any way that could be expected to cause a
change in its efficiency;

b. The vehicle platform does not change in design such that
the changes could be expected to cause a change in the efficiency of
the air conditioning system; and
c. The manufacturer continues to test at least one sub-configuration within each platform using the air conditioning system, in each model year, until all sub-configurations within each platform have been tested.

5. Each air conditioning system must be tested and must meet the testing criteria in order to be allowed to generate credits. Using good engineering judgment, in the first model year for which an air conditioning system is expected to generate credits, the manufacturer must select for testing the highest-selling subconfiguration within each vehicle platform using the air conditioning system. Credits may continue to be generated by an air conditioning system in subsequent model years if the manufacturer continues to test at least one sub-configuration within each platform on annually, as long as the air conditioning system and vehicle platform do not change substantially.

(8) Off-Cycle Credits. Manufacturers may generate credits for CO\textsubscript{2}-reducing technologies where the CO\textsubscript{2} reduction benefit of the technology is not adequately captured on the FTP and/or the HWFET. These technologies must have a measurable, demonstrable, and verifiable real-world CO\textsubscript{2} reduction that occurs outside the conditions of the FTP and the HWFET. These optional credits are referred to as “off-cycle” credits. Off-cycle technologies used to generate emission credits are considered emission-related components subject to applicable requirements, and must be demonstrated to be effective for the full useful life of the vehicle. Unless the manufacturer demonstrates that the technology is not subject to in-use deterioration, the manufacturer must account for the deterioration in their analysis. The manufacturer must use one of the three options specified in this subsection (a)(8) to determine the CO\textsubscript{2} gram per mile credit applicable to an off-cycle technology. The manufacturer should notify the Executive Officer in its pre-model year report of its intention to generate any credits under this subsection (a)(8).

(A) Credit available for certain off-cycle technologies.

1. The manufacturer may generate a CO\textsubscript{2} gram/mile credit for certain technologies as specified in the following table, provided that each technology is applied to the minimum percentage of the manufacturer’s total U.S. production of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles specified in the table in each model year for which credit is claimed. Technology definitions are in subsection (e).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Off-Cycle Technology</th>
<th>Passenger Cars (g/mi)</th>
<th>Light-Duty Trucks and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles (g/mi)</th>
<th>Minimum Total Percent of U.S. Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active aerodynamics</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High efficiency exterior lighting</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine heat recovery</td>
<td>0.7 per 100W of capacity</td>
<td>0.7 per 100W of capacity</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine start-stop (idle-off)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active transmission warm-up</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active engine warm-up</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric heater circulation pump</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar roof panels</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal control</td>
<td>≤3.0</td>
<td>≤4.3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Credits may also be accrued for thermal control technologies as defined in subsection (e) in the amounts shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal Control Technology</th>
<th>Credit value: Passenger Cars (g/mi)</th>
<th>Credit Value: Light-Duty Trucks and Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicles (g/mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glass or glazing</td>
<td>≤2.9</td>
<td>≤3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active seat ventilation</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar reflective paint</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive cabin ventilation</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active cabin ventilation</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. The maximum credit allowed for thermal control technologies is limited to 3.0 g/mi for passenger cars and to 4.3 g/mi for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles. The maximum credit allowed for glass or glazing is limited to 2.9 g/mi for passenger cars and to 3.9 g/mi for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles.
c. Glass or glazing credits are calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Credit} = \left[ Z \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{T_i \times G_i}{G} \right]
\]

Where:
- Credit = the total glass or glazing credits, in grams per mile, for a vehicle, which may not exceed 3.0 g/mi for passenger cars or 4.3 g/mi for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles;
- \( Z = 0.3 \) for passenger cars and 0.4 for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles;
- \( G_i \) = the measured glass area of window \( i \), in square meters and rounded to the nearest tenth;
- \( G = \) the total glass area of the vehicle, in square meters and rounded to the nearest tenth;
- \( T_i = \) the estimated temperature reduction for the glass area of window \( i \), determined using the following formula:

\[
T_i = 0.3987 \times (T_{ts_{\text{base}}} - T_{ts_{\text{new}}})
\]

Where:
- \( T_{ts_{\text{new}}} = \) the total solar transmittance of the glass, measured according to ISO 13837:2008, “Safety glazing materials – Method for determination of solar transmittance” (incorporated by reference, herein).
- \( T_{ts_{\text{base}}} = 62 \) for the windshield, side-front, side-rear, rear-quarter, and backlite locations, and 40 for rooflite locations.

2. The maximum allowable decrease in the manufacturer’s combined passenger car and light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet average CO\(_2\) emissions attributable to use of the default credit values in subsection (a)(8)(A)1 is 10 grams per mile. If the total of the CO\(_2\) g/mi credit values from the table in subsection (a)(8)(A)1 does not exceed 10 g/mi for any passenger automobile or light truck in a manufacturer’s fleet, then the total off-cycle credits may be calculated according to subsection (a)(8)(D). If the total of the CO\(_2\) g/mi credit values from the table in subsection (a)(8)(A)1 exceeds 10 g/mi for any passenger car, light-duty truck, or medium-duty passenger vehicle in a manufacturer’s fleet, then the gram per mile decrease for the combined passenger car and light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet must be determined according to subsection (a)(8)(A)2.a to determine whether the 10 g/mi limitation has been exceeded.
a. Determine the gram per mile decrease for the combined passenger car and light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet using the following formula:

\[
\text{Decrease} = \frac{\text{Credits} \times 1,000,000}{[(\text{Prod}_C \times 195,264) + (\text{Prod}_T \times 225,865)]}
\]

Where:

Credits = The total of passenger car and light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicles credits, in Megagrams, determined according to subsection (a)(8)(D) and limited to those credits accrued by using the default gram per mile values in subsection (a)(8)(A)1.

\(\text{Prod}_C\) = The number of passenger cars produced by the manufacturer and delivered for sale in the U.S.

\(\text{Prod}_T\) = The number of light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles produced by the manufacturer and delivered for sale in the U.S.

b. If the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(A)2.a is greater than 10 grams per mile, the total credits, in Megagrams, that may be accrued by a manufacturer using the default gram per mile values in subsection (a)(8)(A)1 shall be determined using the following formula:

\[
\text{Credit \ (Megagrams)} = \frac{10 \times ((\text{Prod}_C \times 195,264) + (\text{Prod}_T \times 225,865))}{1,000,000}
\]

Where:

\(\text{Prod}_C\) = The number of passenger cars produced by the manufacturer and delivered for sale in the U.S.

\(\text{Prod}_T\) = The number of light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles produced by the manufacturer and delivered for sale in the U.S.

c. If the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(A)2.a is not greater than 10 grams per mile, then the credits that may be accrued by a manufacturer using the default gram per mile values in subsection (a)(8)(A)1 do not exceed the allowable limit, and total credits may be determined for each category of vehicles according to subsection (a)(8)(D).

d. If the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(A)2.a is greater than 10 grams per mile, then the combined passenger car and light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicle credits, in Megagrams, that may be accrued using the calculations in subsection (a)(8)(D) must not exceed the value determined in subsection
(a)(8)(A)2.b. This limitation should generally be done by reducing the amount of credits attributable to the vehicle category that caused the limit to be exceeded such that the total value does not exceed the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(A)2.b.

3. In lieu of using the default gram per mile values specified in subsection (a)(8)(A)1 for specific technologies, a manufacturer may determine an alternative value for any of the specified technologies. An alternative value must be determined using one of the methods specified in subsection (a)(8)(B) or subsection (a)(8)(C).

(B) Technology demonstration using EPA 5-cycle methodology.
To demonstrate an off-cycle technology and to determine a CO₂ credit using the EPA 5-cycle methodology, the manufacturer shall determine the off-cycle city/highway combined carbon-related exhaust emissions benefit by using the EPA 5-cycle methodology described in 40 CFR Part 600. Testing shall be performed on a representative vehicle, selected using good engineering judgment, for each model type for which the credit is being demonstrated. The emission benefit of a technology is determined by testing both with and without the off-cycle technology operating. Multiple off-cycle technologies may be demonstrated on a test vehicle. The manufacturer shall conduct the following steps and submit all test data to the Executive Officer.

1. Testing without the off-cycle technology installed and/or operating. Determine carbon-related exhaust emissions over the FTP, the HWFET, the US06, the SC03, and the cold temperature FTP test procedures according to the test procedure provisions specified in 40 CFR part 600 subpart B and using the calculation procedures specified in §600.113–08 of this chapter. Run each of these tests a minimum of three times without the off-cycle technology installed and operating and average the per phase (bag) results for each test procedure. Calculate the 5-cycle weighted city/highway combined carbon-related exhaust emissions from the averaged per phase results, where the 5-cycle city value is weighted 55% and the 5-cycle highway value is weighted 45%. The resulting combined city/highway value is the baseline 5-cycle carbon-related exhaust emission value for the vehicle.

2. Testing with the off-cycle technology installed and/or operating. Determine carbon-related exhaust emissions over the US06, the SC03, and the cold temperature FTP test procedures according to the test procedure provisions specified in 40 CFR part 600 subpart B and using the calculation procedures specified in 40 CFR §600.113–08. Run each of these tests a minimum of three times with the off-cycle technology installed and operating and average the per phase (bag) results for each test procedure. Calculate the 5-cycle weighted city/highway combined carbon-related exhaust emissions from the averaged per phase results, where the 5-cycle city value is weighted 55% and the 5-cycle highway
value is weighted 45%. Use the averaged per phase results for the FTP and HWFET determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)1 for operation without the off-cycle technology in this calculation. The resulting combined city/highway value is the 5-cycle carbon-related exhaust emission value showing the off-cycle benefit of the technology but excluding any benefit of the technology on the FTP and HWFET.

3. Subtract the combined city/highway value determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)1 from the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)2. The result is the off-cycle benefit of the technology or technologies being evaluated. If this benefit is greater than or equal to three percent of the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)1 then the manufacturer may use this value, rounded to the nearest tenth of a gram per mile, to determine credits under subsection (a)(8)(C).

4. If the value calculated in subsection (a)(8)(B)3 is less than two percent of the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)1, then the manufacturer must repeat the testing required under subsections (a)(8)(B)1 and (a)(8)(B)2, except instead of running each test three times they shall run each test two additional times. The off-cycle benefit of the technology or technologies being evaluated shall be calculated as in subsection (a)(8)(B)3 using all the tests conducted under subsections (a)(8)(B)1, (a)(8)(B)2, and (a)(8)(B)4. If the value calculated in subsection (a)(8)(B)3 is less than two percent of the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)1, then the manufacturer must verify the emission reduction potential of the off-cycle technology or technologies using the EPA Vehicle Simulation Tool, and if the results support a credit value that is less than two percent of the value determined in subsection (a)(8)(B)1 then the manufacturer may use the off-cycle benefit of the technology or technologies calculated as in subsection (a)(8)(B)3 using all the tests conducted under subsections (a)(8)(B)1, (a)(8)(B)2, and (a)(8)(B)4, rounded to the nearest tenth of a gram per mile, to determine credits under subsection (a)(8)(C).

(C) Review and approval process for off-cycle credits.

1. Initial steps required.

   a. A manufacturer requesting off-cycle credits under the provisions of subsection (a)(8)(B) must conduct the testing and/or simulation described in that paragraph.

   b. A manufacturer requesting off-cycle credits under subsection (a)(8)(B) must conduct testing and/or prepare engineering analyses that demonstrate the in-use durability of the technology for the full useful life of the vehicle.
2. **Data and information requirements.** The manufacturer seeking off-cycle credits must submit an application for off-cycle credits determined under subsection (a)(8)(B). The application must contain the following:

   a. A detailed description of the off-cycle technology and how it functions to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions under conditions not represented on the FTP and HWFET.

   b. A list of the vehicle model(s) which will be equipped with the technology.

   c. A detailed description of the test vehicles selected and an engineering analysis that supports the selection of those vehicles for testing.

   d. All testing and/or simulation data required under subsection (a)(8)(B), as applicable, plus any other data the manufacturer has considered in the analysis.

   e. An estimate of the off-cycle benefit by vehicle model and the fleetwide benefit based on projected sales of vehicle models equipped with the technology.

   f. An engineering analysis and/or component durability testing data or whole vehicle testing data demonstrating the in-use durability of the off-cycle technology components.

3. **Review of the off-cycle credit application.** Upon receipt of an application from a manufacturer, the Executive Officer will do the following:

   a. Review the application for completeness and notify the manufacturer within 30 days if additional information is required.

   b. Review the data and information provided in the application to determine if the application supports the level of credits estimated by the manufacturer.

4. **Decision on off-cycle application.** The Executive Officer will notify the manufacturer in writing of its decision to approve or deny the application within 60 days of receiving a complete application, and if denied, the Executive Officer will provide the reasons for the denial.
(D) **Calculation of total off-cycle credits.** Total off-cycle credits in grams per mile of CO\(_2\) (rounded to the nearest tenth of a gram per mile) shall be calculated separately for passenger cars and light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Total Credits (g/mi)} = \text{Credit} \times \text{Production}
\]

Where:

Credit = the credit value in grams per mile determined in subsection (a)(8)(A) or subsection (a)(8)(B).

Production = The total number of passenger cars or light-duty trucks plus medium-duty passenger vehicles, whichever is applicable, produced and delivered for sale in California, produced with the off-cycle technology to which the credit value determined in subsection (a)(8)(A) or subsection (a)(8)(B) applies.

(9) **Credits for certain full-size pickup trucks.** Full-size pickup trucks may be eligible for additional credits based on the implementation of hybrid technologies or on exhaust emission performance, as described in this subsection (a)(9). Credits may be generated under either subsection (a)(9)(A) or subsection (a)(9)(B) for a qualifying pickup truck, but not both.

(A) **Credits for implementation of gasoline-electric hybrid technology.** Full-size pickup trucks that implement hybrid gasoline-electric technologies may be eligible for an additional credit under this subsection (a)(9)(A). Pickup trucks using the credits under this subsection (a)(9)(A) may not use the credits described in subsection (a)(9)(B).

1. Full-size pickup trucks that are mild hybrid gasoline-electric vehicles and that are produced in the 2017 through 2021 model years are eligible for a credit of 10 grams/mile. To receive this credit, the manufacturer must produce a quantity of mild hybrid full-size pickup trucks such that the proportion of production of such vehicles, when compared to the manufacturer’s total production of full-size pickup trucks, is not less than the amount specified in the table below for each model year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model year</th>
<th>Required minimum percent of full-size pickup trucks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Full-size pickup trucks that are strong hybrid gasoline-electric vehicles and that are produced in the 2017 through 2025 model years are eligible for a credit of 20 grams/mile. To receive this credit, the manufacturer must produce a quantity of strong hybrid full-size pickup
trucks such that the proportion of production of such vehicles, when compared to the manufacturer's total production of full-size pickup trucks, is not less than 10 percent for each model year.

(B) **Credits for emission reduction performance.** 2017 through 2021 model year full-size pickup trucks that achieve carbon-related exhaust emission values below the applicable target value determined in subsection (a)(1)(B) may be eligible for an additional credit. Pickup trucks using the credits under this subsection (a)(9)(B) may not use the credits described in subsection (a)(9)(A).

1. Full-size pickup trucks that achieve carbon-related exhaust emissions less than or equal to the applicable target value determined in subsection (a)(1)(B) multiplied by 0.85 (rounded to the nearest gram per mile) and greater than the applicable target value determined in subsection (a)(1)(B) multiplied by 0.80 (rounded to the nearest gram per mile) in a model year are eligible for a credit of 10 grams/mile. A pickup truck that qualifies for this credit in a model year may claim this credit for subsequent model years through the 2021 model year if the carbon-related exhaust emissions of that pickup truck do not increase relative to the emissions in the model year in which the pickup truck qualified for the credit. To qualify for this credit in each model year, the manufacturer must produce a quantity of full-size pickup trucks that meet the emission requirements of this subsection (a)(9)(B) such that the proportion of production of such vehicles, when compared to the manufacturer's total production of full-size pickup trucks, is not less than the amount specified in the table below for each model year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model year</th>
<th>Required minimum percent of full-size pickup trucks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Full-size pickup trucks that achieve carbon-related exhaust emissions less than or equal to the applicable target value determined in subsection (a)(1)(B) multiplied by 0.80 (rounded to the nearest gram per mile) in a model year are eligible for a credit of 20 grams/mile. A pickup truck that qualifies for this credit in a model year may claim this credit for a maximum of five subsequent model years if the carbon-related exhaust emissions of that pickup truck do not increase relative to the emissions in the model year in which the pickup truck first qualified for the credit. This
credit may not be claimed in any model year after 2025. To qualify for this credit, the manufacturer must produce a quantity of full-size pickup trucks that meet the emission requirements of subsection (a)(9)(B) such that the proportion of production of such vehicles, when compared to the manufacturer’s total production of full-size pickup trucks, is not less than 10 percent in each model year.

(C) Calculation of total full-size pickup truck credits. Total credits in grams per mile of CO₂ (rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile) shall be calculated for qualifying full-size pickup trucks according to the following formula:

$$\text{Total Credits (g/mi)} = (10 \times \text{Production}_{10}) + (20 \times \text{Production}_{20})$$

Where:

- Production₁₀ = The total number of full-size pickup trucks produced and delivered for sale in California with a credit value of 10 grams per mile from subsection (a)(9)(A) and subsection (a)(9)(B).
- Production₂₀ = The total number of full-size pickup trucks produced and delivered for sale in California with a credit value of 20 grams per mile from subsection (a)(9)(A) and subsection (a)(9)(B).

(10) Greenhouse Gas In-Use Compliance Standards. The in-use exhaust CO₂ emission standard shall be the combined city/highway exhaust emission value calculated according to the provisions of subsection (a)(5)(A) for the vehicle model type and footprint value multiplied by 1.1 and rounded to the nearest whole gram per mile. For vehicles that are capable of operating on multiple fuels, a separate value shall be determined for each fuel that the vehicle is capable of operating on. These standards apply to in-use testing performed by the manufacturer pursuant to the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles.”

(b) Calculation of Greenhouse Gas Credits/Debits. Credits that are earned as part of the 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program shall not be applicable to California’s greenhouse gas program. Debits that are earned as part of the 2012 through 2016 MY National greenhouse gas program shall not be applicable to California’s greenhouse gas program.

(A) A manufacturer that achieves fleet average CO\(_2\) values lower than the fleet average CO\(_2\) requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive credits for each model year in units of g/mi. A manufacturer that achieves fleet average CO\(_2\) values higher than the fleet average CO\(_2\) requirement for the corresponding model year shall receive debits for each model year in units of g/mi. Manufacturers must calculate greenhouse gas credits and greenhouse gas debits separately for passenger cars and for combined light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles as follows:

\[
\text{CO}_2 \text{ Credits or Debits} = \left(\text{CO}_2 \text{ Standard} - \text{Manufacturer's Fleet Average CO}_2 \text{ Value}\right) \times \left(\text{Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, Including ZEVs and HEVs}\right).
\]

Where:
- CO\(_2\) Standard = the applicable standard for the model year as determined in subsection (a)(1)(C);
- Manufacturer's Fleet Average CO\(_2\) Value = average calculated according to subsection (a)(5);

(B) A manufacturer’s total Greenhouse Gas credits or debits generated in a model year shall be the sum of its CO\(_2\) credits or debits and any of the following credits or debits, if applicable. The manufacturer shall calculate, maintain, and report Greenhouse Gas credits or debits separately for its passenger car fleet and for its light-duty truck plus medium-duty passenger vehicle fleet.

1. Air conditioning leakage credits earned according to the provisions of subsection (a)(6);

2. Air conditioning efficiency credits earned according to the provisions of subsection (a)(7);

3. Off-cycle technology credits earned according to the provisions of subsection (a)(8).

4. CO\(_2\)-equivalent debits earned according to the provisions of subsection (a)(2)(D).
(2) A manufacturer with 2017 and subsequent model year fleet average Greenhouse Gas values greater than the fleet average CO$_2$ standard applicable for the corresponding model year shall receive debits in units of g/mi Greenhouse Gas equal to the amount of negative credits determined by the aforementioned equation. For the 2017 and subsequent model years, the total g/mi Greenhouse Gas credits or debits earned for passenger cars and for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles shall be summed together. The resulting amount shall constitute the g/mi Greenhouse Gas credits or debits accrued by the manufacturer for the model year.

(3) Procedure for Offsetting Greenhouse Gas Debits.

(A) A manufacturer shall equalize Greenhouse Gas emission debits by earning g/mi Greenhouse Gas emission credits in an amount equal to the g/mi Greenhouse Gas debits, or by submitting a commensurate amount of g/mi Greenhouse Gas credits to the Executive Officer that were earned previously or acquired from another manufacturer. A manufacturer shall equalize combined Greenhouse Gas debits for passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles within five model years after they are earned. If emission debits are not equalized within the specified time period, the manufacturer shall be subject to the Health and Safety Code section 43211 civil penalty applicable to a manufacturer which sells a new motor vehicle that does not meet the applicable emission standards adopted by the state board. The cause of action shall be deemed to accrue when the emission debits are not equalized by the end of the specified time period. For a manufacturer demonstrating compliance under Option 2 in subsection (a)(5)(D), the emission debits that are subject to a civil penalty under Health and Safety Code section 43211 shall be calculated separately for California, the District of Columbia, and each individual state that is included in the fleet average greenhouse gas requirements in subsection (a)(1). These emission debits shall be calculated for each individual state using the formula in subsections (b)(1) and (b)(2), except that the “Total No. of Vehicles Produced and Delivered for Sale in California, including ZEVs and HEVs” shall be calculated separately for the District of Columbia and each individual state.

For the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 43211, the number of passenger cars not meeting the state board’s emission standards shall be determined by dividing the total amount of g/mi Greenhouse Gas emission debits for the model year calculated for California by the g/mi Greenhouse Gas fleet average requirement for passenger car applicable for the model year in which the debits were first incurred. For the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 43211, the number of light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles not meeting the state board’s emission standards shall be determined by dividing the total amount of g/mi Greenhouse Gas emission debits for the model year calculated for California by the g/mi Greenhouse Gas fleet average requirement
for light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles, applicable for the model year in which the debits were first incurred.

(B) Greenhouse Gas emission credits earned in the 2017 and subsequent model years shall retain full value through the fifth model year after they are earned, and will have no value if not used by the beginning of the sixth model year after being earned.

(4) **Use of Greenhouse Gas Emission Credits to Offset a Manufacturer’s ZEV Obligations.**


(B) Any Greenhouse Gas credits used by a manufacturer to comply with its ZEV obligations shall retain no value for the purposes of complying with this section 1961.3.

(5) Credits and debits that are earned as part of the 2012 through 2016 MY National Greenhouse Gas Program, shall have no value for the purpose of complying with this section 1961.3.

(c) **Test Procedures.** The certification requirements and test procedures for determining compliance with the emission standards in this section are set forth in the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section 1961.2. In the case of hybrid electric vehicles, the certification requirements and test procedures for determining compliance with the emission standards in this section are set forth in the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2009 through 2017 Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes,” incorporated by reference in section 1962.1, or the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2018 and Subsequent Model Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric
Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes,” incorporated by reference in section 1962.2, as applicable.

(d) **Abbreviations.** The following abbreviations are used in this section 1961.3:

"CH₄" means methane.
"CO₂" means carbon dioxide.
“FTP” means Federal Test Procedure.
"GHG” means greenhouse gas.
"g/mi” means grams per mile.
“GVW” means gross vehicle weight.
“GVWR” means gross vehicle weight rating.
“GWP” means the global warming potential.
“HEV” means hybrid-electric vehicle.
“HWFET” means Highway Fuel Economy Test (HWFET; 40 CFR 600 Subpart B).
“LDT” means light-duty truck.
“LVW” means loaded vehicle weight.
“MDPV” means medium-duty passenger vehicle.
“mg/mi” means milligrams per mile.
“MY” means model year.
“N₂O” means nitrous oxide.
“ZEV” means zero-emission vehicle.

(e) **Definitions Specific to this Section.** The following definitions apply to this section 1961.3:

1. “A/C Direct Emissions” means any refrigerant released from a motor vehicle’s air conditioning system.

2. “Active Aerodynamic Improvements” means technologies that are activated only at certain speeds to improve aerodynamic efficiency by a minimum of three percent, while preserving other vehicle attributes or functions.

3. “Active Cabin Ventilation” means devices that mechanically move heated air from the cabin interior to the exterior of the vehicle.

4. “Active Transmission Warmup” means a system that uses waste heat from the exhaust system to warm the transmission fluid to an operating temperature range quickly using a heat exchanger in the exhaust system, increasing the overall transmission efficiency by reducing parasitic losses associated with the transmission fluid, such as losses related to friction and fluid viscosity.
(5) “Active Engine Warmup” means a system using waste heat from the exhaust system to warm up targeted parts of the engine so that it reduces engine friction losses and enables the closed-loop fuel control to activate more quickly. It allows a faster transition from cold operation to warm operation, decreasing CO\textsubscript{2} emissions.

(6) “Active Seat Ventilation” means a device that draws air from the seating surface which is in contact with the occupant and exhausts it to a location away from the seat.

(7) “Blower motor controls which limit waste energy” means a method of controlling fan and blower speeds that does not use resistive elements to decrease the voltage supplied to the motor.

(8) “Default to recirculated air mode” means that the default position of the mechanism which controls the source of air supplied to the air conditioning system shall change from outside air to recirculated air when the operator or the automatic climate control system has engaged the air conditioning system (i.e., evaporator is removing heat), except under those conditions where dehumidification is required for visibility (i.e., defogger mode). In vehicles equipped with interior air quality sensors (e.g., humidity sensor, or carbon dioxide sensor), the controls may determine proper blend of air supply sources to maintain freshness of the cabin air and prevent fogging of windows while continuing to maximize the use of recirculated air. At any time, the vehicle operator may manually select the non-recirculated air setting during vehicle operation but the system must default to recirculated air mode on subsequent vehicle operations (i.e., next vehicle start). The climate control system may delay switching to recirculation mode until the interior air temperature is less than the outside air temperature, at which time the system must switch to recirculated air mode.

(9) “Electric Heater Circulation Pump” means a pump system installed in a stop-start equipped vehicle or in a hybrid electric vehicle or plug-in hybrid electric vehicle that continues to circulate hot coolant through the heater core when the engine is stopped during a stop-start event. This system must be calibrated to keep the engine off for 1 minute or more when the external ambient temperature is 30 deg F.

(10) “Emergency Vehicle” means a motor vehicle manufactured primarily for use as an ambulance or combination ambulance-hearse or for use by the United States Government or a State or local government for law enforcement.

(11) “Engine Heat Recovery” means a system that captures heat that would otherwise be lost through the exhaust system or through the radiator and
converting that heat to electrical energy that is used to meet the electrical requirements of the vehicle. Such a system must have a capacity of at least 100W to achieve 0.7 g/mi of credit. Every additional 100W of capacity will result in an additional 0.7 g/mi of credit.

(12) “Engine Start-Stop” means a technology which enables a vehicle to automatically turn off the engine when the vehicle comes to a rest and restart the engine when the driver applies pressure to the accelerator or releases the brake.


(14) “Executive Officer” means the Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board.

(15) “Footprint” means the product of average track width (rounded to the nearest tenth of an inch) and wheelbase (measured in inches and rounded to the nearest tenth of an inch), divided by 144 and then rounded to the nearest tenth of a square foot, where the average track width is the average of the front and rear track widths, where each is measured in inches and rounded to the nearest tenth of an inch.


(17) “Full-size pickup truck” means a light-duty truck that has a passenger compartment and an open cargo box and which meets the following specifications:

1. A minimum cargo bed width between the wheelhouses of 48 inches, measured as the minimum lateral distance between the limiting interferences (pass-through) of the wheelhouses. The measurement shall exclude the transitional arc, local protrusions, and depressions or pockets, if present. An open cargo box means a vehicle where the cargo box does not have a permanent roof. Vehicles sold with detachable covers are considered “open” for the purposes of these criteria.

2. A minimum open cargo box length of 60 inches, where the length is defined by the lesser of the pickup bed length at the top of the body and the pickup bed length at the floor, where the length at the top of the body is defined as the longitudinal distance from the inside front of the
pickup bed to the inside of the closed endgate as measured at the cargo floor surface along vehicle centerline, and the length at the floor is defined as the longitudinal distance from the inside front of the pickup bed to the inside of the closed endgate as measured at the cargo floor surface along vehicle centerline.

3. A minimum towing capability of 5,000 pounds, where minimum towing capability is determined by subtracting the gross vehicle weight rating from the gross combined weight rating, or a minimum payload capability of 1,700 pounds, where minimum payload capability is determined by subtracting the curb weight from the gross vehicle weight rating.

(18) “Greenhouse Gas” means the following gases: carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and hydrofluorocarbons.


(20) “High Efficiency Exterior Lighting” means a lighting technology that, when installed on the vehicle, is expected to reduce the total electrical demand of the exterior lighting system by a minimum of 60 watts when compared to conventional lighting systems. To be eligible for this credit the high efficiency lighting must be installed in the following components: parking/position, front and rear turn signals, front and rear side markers, stop/brake lights (including the center-mounted location), taillights, backup/reverse lights, and license plate lighting.

(21) “Improved condensers and/or evaporators” means that the coefficient of performance (COP) of air conditioning system using improved evaporator and condenser designs is 10 percent higher, as determined using the bench test procedures described in SAE J2765 “Procedure for Measuring System COP of a Mobile Air Conditioning System on a Test Bench,” when compared to a system using standard, or prior model year, component designs. SAE J2765 is incorporated by reference herein. The manufacturer must submit an engineering analysis demonstrating the increased improvement of the system relative to the baseline design, where the baseline component(s) for comparison is the version which a manufacturer most recently had in production on the same vehicle design or in a similar or related vehicle model. The dimensional characteristics (e.g., tube configuration/thickness/spacing, and fin density) of the baseline component(s) shall be compared to the new component(s) to demonstrate the improvement in coefficient of performance.
(22) "Mild hybrid gasoline-electric vehicle" means a vehicle that has start/stop capability and regenerative braking capability, where the recaptured braking energy over the FTP is at least 15 percent but less than 75 percent of the total braking energy, where the percent of recaptured braking energy is measured and calculated according to 40 CFR §600.108(g).

(23) "Model Type" means a unique combination of car line, basic engine, and transmission class.


(25) “Oil separator” means a mechanism that removes at least 50 percent of the oil entrained in the oil/refrigerant mixture exiting the compressor and returns it to the compressor housing or compressor inlet, or a compressor design that does not rely on the circulation of an oil/refrigerant mixture for lubrication.

(26) “Passive Cabin Ventilation” means ducts or devices which utilize convective airflow to move heated air from the cabin interior to the exterior of the vehicle.

(27) “Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle” means “off-vehicle charge capable hybrid electric vehicle” as defined in the “California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2018 and Subsequent Model Year Zero-Emission Vehicles and Hybrid Electric Vehicles, in the Passenger Car, Light-Duty Truck and Medium-Duty Vehicle Classes.”

(28) “Reduced reheat, with externally controlled, fixed-displacement or pneumatic variable displacement compressor” means a system in which the output of either compressor is controlled by cycling the compressor clutch off-and-on via an electronic signal, based on input from sensors (e.g., position or setpoint of interior temperature control, interior temperature, evaporator outlet air temperature, or refrigerant temperature) and air temperature at the outlet of the evaporator can be controlled to a level at 41°F, or higher.

(29) “Reduced reheat, with externally-controlled, variable displacement compressor” means a system in which compressor displacement is controlled via an electronic signal, based on input from sensors (e.g., position or setpoint of interior temperature control, interior temperature, evaporator outlet air temperature, or refrigerant temperature) and air temperature at the outlet of the evaporator can be controlled to a level at 41°F, or higher.


(32) “Solar Roof Panels” means the installation of solar panels on an electric vehicle or a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle such that the solar energy is used to provide energy to the electric drive system of the vehicle by charging the battery or directly providing power to the electric motor with the equivalent of at least 50 Watts of rated electricity output.

(33) “Strong hybrid gasoline-electric vehicle” means a vehicle that has start/stop capability and regenerative braking capability, where the recaptured braking energy over the Federal Test Procedure is at least 75 percent of the total braking energy, where the percent of recaptured braking energy is measured and calculated according to 40 CFR §600.108(g).

(34) “Subconfiguration” means a unique combination within a vehicle configuration of equivalent test weight, road load horsepower, and any other operational characteristics or parameters which is accepted by USEPA.


(36) “Worst-Case” means the vehicle configuration within each test group that is expected to have the highest CO₂-equivalent value, as calculated in section (a)(5).
(f) Severability. Each provision of this section is severable, and in the event that any provision of this section is held to be invalid, the remainder of both this section and this article remains in full force and effect.

§1965. Emission Control, Smog Index, and Environmental Performance Labels - 1979 and Subsequent Model-Year Motor Vehicles.


(c) **Definitions.**

* * * *

“**Calculated load value**” refers to an indication of the percent engine capacity that is being used and is defined in Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) J1979 "E/E Diagnostic Test Modes—Equivalent to ISO/DIS 15031-5:April 30, 2002", April 2002 (SAE J1979), incorporated by reference (section (g)(1.4)). For diesel applications, in lieu of the definition in SAE J1979, the calculated load value may alternatively be determined by the ratio of current output torque to maximum output torque at current engine speed as defined by suspect parameter number (SPN) 92 of SAE J1939 “Recommended Practice for a Serial Control and Communications Vehicle Network” (SAE J1939), incorporated by reference.

* * * *

“**Fueled engine operation**” is the state where any fuel is introduced into the engine for the purpose of combustion.

* * * *

“**Hybrid vehicle**” refers to a vehicle (including a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle) that can draw propulsion energy from either or both of the following on-vehicle sources of stored energy: 1) a consumable fuel and 2) an energy storage device such as a battery, capacitor, or flywheel.

* * * *

“**Plug-in hybrid electric vehicle**” refers to a hybrid vehicle that has the capability to charge a battery from an off-vehicle electric energy source that cannot be connected or coupled to the vehicle in any manner while the vehicle is being driven.

* * * *

“**Propulsion system active**” is the state where the powertrain (e.g., engine, electric machine) is enabled by the driver (e.g., after ignition on for conventional vehicles, after power button pushed for some hybrid vehicles, or after remote start activation) such that the vehicle is ready to be used (e.g.,
vehicle is ready to be driven, ready to be shifted from “park” to “drive”, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) turned on to condition cabin prior to driving). For purposes of this definition, “the state where the powertrain is enabled” does not include activations that are not driver-initiated (e.g., conditions where portions of the vehicle system wake up to perform OBD II monitoring or off-board charging).

* * * *

“Warm-up cycle” means a driving cycle with sufficient vehicle operation such that the coolant temperature has risen by at least 40 degrees Fahrenheit from engine starting and reaches a minimum temperature of at least 160 degrees Fahrenheit (140 degrees Fahrenheit for applications with diesel engines). Alternatively, manufacturers may define warm-up cycle as a driving cycle with vehicle operation in which the criteria specified in sections (d)(2.5.2)(B)(iii)a., b., and c. are met.

* * * *

(d) General Requirements.

Section (d) sets forth the general requirements of the OBD II system. Specific performance requirements for components and systems that shall be monitored are set forth in sections (e) and (f) below.

* * * *

(2) MIL and Fault Code Requirements.

* * * *

(2.5) Erasing a permanent fault code. The OBD system shall erase a permanent fault code under the following conditions:

(2.5.1) If the OBD II system is commanding the MIL on, the OBD II system shall erase a permanent fault code only if the OBD II system itself determines that the malfunction that caused the permanent fault code to be stored is no longer present and is not commanding the MIL on, pursuant to the requirements of section (d)(2.3) (which for purposes of this section shall apply to all monitors). Erasure of the permanent fault code shall occur in conjunction with extinguishing the MIL or no later than the start of the first driving cycle that begins with the MIL commanded off.

(2.5.2) If all fault information in the on-board computer other than the permanent fault code has been cleared (i.e., through the use of a scan tool or battery disconnect) and the OBD II system is not commanding the MIL on:

(A) Except as provided for in sections (d)(2.5.2)(C) through (E), if the monitor of the malfunction that caused the permanent fault code to be stored is subject to the minimum ratio requirements of section (d)(3.2) (e.g., catalyst monitor, comprehensive component input component rationality monitors), the OBD II system shall erase the
permanent fault code at the end of a driving cycle if the monitor has run and made one or more determinations during a driving cycle that the malfunction of the component or the system is not present and has not made any determinations within the same driving cycle that the malfunction is present.

(B) Except as provided for in sections (d)(2.5.2)(D) and (E), if the monitor of the malfunction that caused the permanent fault code to be stored is not subject to the minimum ratio requirements of section (d)(3.2) (e.g., gasoline misfire monitor, fuel system monitor, comprehensive component circuit continuity monitors), the OBD II system shall erase the permanent fault code at the end of a driving cycle if:

(i) The monitor has run and made one or more determinations during a driving cycle that the malfunction of the component or the system is not present and has not made any determinations within the same driving cycle that the malfunction is present;

(ii) The monitor has not made any determinations that the malfunction is present subsequent to the most recent driving cycle in which the criteria of section (d)(2.5.2)(B)(i) are met; and

(iii) The following criteria are satisfied on any single driving cycle (which may be a different driving cycle than that in which the criteria of section (d)(2.5.2)(B)(i) are satisfied):

a. Except as provided in section (d)(2.5.2)(B)(iii)f. below, cumulative time since engine start is greater than or equal to 600 seconds;

b. Except as provided in section (d)(2.5.2)(B)(iii)e. below, cumulative vehicle operation at or above 25 miles per hour occurs for greater than or equal to 300 seconds (medium-duty vehicles with diesel engines certified on an engine dynamometer may use cumulative operation at or above 1150 rpm in lieu of at or above 25 miles per hour for purposes of this criteria);

c. Continuous vehicle operation at idle (i.e., accelerator pedal released by driver and either vehicle speed less than or equal to one mile per hour or engine speed less than or equal to 200 rpm above normal warmed-up idle (as determined in the drive position for vehicles equipped with an automatic transmission)) for greater than or equal to 30 seconds; and

d. For 2013 and subsequent model year engines, the monitor has not made any determination that the malfunction is present.

e. For 2004 through 2012 model year medium-duty vehicles with diesel engines certified on an engine dynamometer, manufacturers may use diesel engine operation at or above
15 percent calculated load in lieu of 1150 rpm for the criterion in section (d)(2.5.2)(B)(iii)b. above.

f. For hybrid vehicles, manufacturers shall use “cumulative propulsion system active time” in lieu of “cumulative time since engine start” for the criterion in section (d)(2.5.2)(B)(iii)a.

(iv) Monitors required to use “similar conditions” as defined in section (c) to store and erase pending and confirmed fault codes may not require that the similar conditions be met prior to erasure of the permanent fault code.

(C) For monitors subject to section (d)(2.5.2)(A), the manufacturer may choose to erase the permanent fault code using the criteria under section (d)(2.5.2)(B) in lieu of the criteria under section (d)(2.5.2)(A).

(D) For 2009 and 2010 model year vehicles meeting the permanent fault code requirements of section (d)(2.2.5), manufacturers may request Executive Officer approval to use alternate criteria to erase the permanent fault code. The Executive Officer shall approve alternate criteria that:

(i) Will not likely require driving conditions that are longer and more difficult to meet than those required under section (d)(2.5.2)(B), and

(ii) Do not require access to enhanced scan tools (i.e., tools that are not generic SAE J1978 scan tools) to determine conditions necessary to erase the permanent fault code.

(E) If alternate criteria to erase the permanent fault code are approved by the Executive Officer under section (d)(2.5.2)(D), a manufacturer may continue to use the approved alternate criteria for 2011 model year vehicles previously certified in the 2009 or 2010 model year to the alternate criteria and carried over to the 2011 model year.

* * * * *

(3) Monitoring Conditions.
Section (d)(3) sets forth the general monitoring requirements while sections (e) and (f) set forth the specific monitoring requirements as well as identify which of the following general monitoring requirements in section (d)(3) are applicable for each monitored component or system identified in sections (e) and (f).

* * * *

(3.2) As specifically provided for in sections (e) and (f), manufacturers shall define monitoring conditions in accordance with the criteria in sections (d)(3.2.1) through (3.2.3). The requirements of section (d)(3.2) shall be phased in as follows: 30 percent of all 2005 model year vehicles, 60 percent of all 2006 model year vehicles, and 100 percent of all 2007 and subsequent model year vehicles. Manufacturers may use an alternate phase-in schedule in lieu of the required phase-in schedule if the alternate phase-in schedule provides for equivalent compliance
volume as defined in section (c) with the exception that 100 percent of 2007 and subsequent model year vehicles shall comply with the requirements. Small volume manufacturers shall meet the requirements on 100 percent of 2007 and subsequent model year vehicles but shall not be required to meet the specific phase-in requirements for the 2005 and 2006 model years.

(3.2.1) Manufacturers shall define monitoring conditions that, in addition to meeting the criteria in section (d)(3.1), ensure that the monitor yields an in-use performance ratio (as defined in section (d)(4)) that meets or exceeds the minimum acceptable in-use monitor performance ratio on in-use vehicles. For purposes of this regulation, except as provided below in section (d)(3.2.1)(D), the minimum acceptable in-use monitor performance ratio is:

(A) 0.260 for secondary air system monitors and other cold start related monitors utilizing a denominator incremented in accordance with section (d)(4.3.2)(E);

(B) For evaporative system monitors:
   (i) 0.260 for monitors designed to detect malfunctions identified in section (e)(4.2.2)(C) (i.e., 0.020 inch leak detection); and
   (ii) 0.520 for monitors designed to detect malfunctions identified in section (e)(4.2.2)(A) and (B) (i.e., purge flow and 0.040 inch leak detection);

(C) 0.336 for catalyst, oxygen sensor, EGR, VVT system, and all other monitors specifically required in sections (e) and (f) to meet the monitoring condition requirements of section (d)(3.2);

(D) For introductory years:
   (i) through the 2007 model year, for the first three years a vehicle is certified to the in-use performance ratio monitoring requirements of section (d)(3.2), 0.100 for all monitors specified in section (d)(3.2.1)(A) through (C) above. For example, the 0.100 ratio shall apply to the 2004, 2005, and 2006 model years for vehicles first certified in the 2004 model year and to the 2007, 2008, and 2009 model years for vehicles first certified in the 2007 model year.
   (ii) through the 2014 model year, for fuel system air-fuel ratio cylinder imbalance monitors, 0.100;
   (iii) through the 2011 model year, for secondary exhaust gas sensor monitors specified in (e)(7.2.2)(C), 0.100;
   (iv) through the 2012 model year, for vehicles subject to the monitoring requirements of section (f), 0.100 for all monitors specified in section (d)(3.2.1)(C) above;
   (v) through the 2016 model year for plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, 0.100 for all monitors specifically required in sections (e) and (f) to meet the monitoring condition requirements of section (d)(3.2) and that are for systems or components that require engine operation.
(4) * * * *

(4.3) * * * *

(4.3.2) * * * *

(B) Except as provided for in sections (d)(4.3.2)(H), (J), and (K), the denominator for each monitor shall be incremented within ten seconds if and only if the following criteria are satisfied on a single driving cycle:

(i) Cumulative time since engine start is greater than or equal to 600 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit;

(ii) Except as provided in section (d)(4.3.2)(B)(iv) below, cumulative vehicle operation at or above 25 miles per hour occurs for greater than or equal to 300 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit (medium-duty vehicles with diesel engines certified on an engine dynamometer may use cumulative operation at or above 1150 rpm in lieu of at or above 25 miles per hour for purposes of this criteria);

(iii) Continuous vehicle operation at idle (i.e., accelerator pedal released by driver and either vehicle speed less than or equal to one mile per hour or engine speed less than or equal to 200 rpm above normal warmed-up idle (as determined in the drive position for vehicles equipped with an automatic transmission)) for greater than or equal to 30 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit.

(iv) For 2004 through 2012 model year medium-duty vehicles with diesel engines certified on an engine dynamometer, manufacturers may use diesel engine operation at or above 15 percent calculated load in lieu of 1150 rpm for the criterion in section (d)(4.3.2)(B)(ii) above.

(D) Except as provided for in sections (d)(4.3.2)(D)(iv) and (d)(4.3.2)(L), in addition to the requirements of section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above, the evaporative system monitor denominator(s) shall be incremented if and only if:

(i) The requirements of section (d)(4.3.2)(B) have been met

Cumulative time since engine start is greater than or equal to 600 seconds while at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit but less than or equal to 95
degrees Fahrenheit; and;
(ii) Cumulative time since engine start is greater than or equal to 600 seconds while at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit but less than or equal to 95 degrees Fahrenheit; and Engine cold start occurs with engine coolant temperature at engine start greater than or equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit but less than or equal to 95 degrees Fahrenheit and less than or equal to 12 degrees Fahrenheit higher than ambient temperature at engine start.
(iii) Engine cold start occurs with engine coolant temperature at engine start greater than or equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit but less than or equal to 95 degrees Fahrenheit and less than or equal to 12 degrees Fahrenheit higher than ambient temperature at engine start.
(iv) For plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, manufacturers may choose to increment the evaporative system denominator(s) using the criteria under section (d)(4.3.2)(L) in lieu of the criteria under sections (d)(4.3.2)(D)(i) through (iii) above.

* * * *

(F) In addition to the requirements of section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above, the denominator(s) for the following monitors of output components (except those operated only at engine start-up and subject to the requirements of the previous section (d)(4.3.2)(E)) shall be incremented if and only if the component is commanded to function (e.g., commanded “on”, “open”, “closed”, “locked”, etc.) on two or more occasions for greater than two seconds during the driving cycle or for a cumulative time greater than or equal to ten seconds, whichever occurs first:
(i) Air conditioning system (section (e)(12))
(ii) Variable valve timing and/or control system (sections (e)(13) and (f)(13))
(iii) “Other emission control or source device” (sections (e)(16) and (f)(16))
(iv) Comprehensive component output component (sections (e)(15) and (f)(15)) (e.g., turbocharger waste-gates, variable length manifold runners, torque converter clutch lock-up solenoids, etc.)
(v) PM sensor heater (section (f)(5.2.4)(A))

* * * *

(H) For monitors of the following components, the manufacturer may request Executive Officer approval to use alternate or additional criteria to that set forth in section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above for incrementing the denominator. Executive Officer approval of the proposed criteria shall be based on the equivalence of the proposed criteria in measuring the frequency of monitor operation relative to the amount of vehicle operation in accordance with the
criteria in section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above:
(i) Engine cooling system input components (sections (e)(10) and (f)(11))
(ii) Air conditioning system input components (section (e)(12))
(iii) Direct ozone reduction systems (section (e)(14))
(iv) “Other emission control or source devices” (sections (e)(16) and (f)(16))
(v) Comprehensive component input components that require extended monitoring evaluation (sections (e)(15) and (f)(15)) (e.g., stuck fuel level sensor rationality)
(vi) Comprehensive component input component temperature sensor rationality monitors (sections (e)(15) and (f)(15)) (e.g., intake air temperature sensor, ambient temperature sensor, fuel temperature sensor)
(vii) PM filter frequent regeneration (section (f)(9.2.2))
(viii) PM sensor monitoring capability monitor (section (f)(5.2.2)(D))

(J) For hybrid vehicles, vehicles that employ alternate engine start hardware or strategies (e.g., integrated starter and generators), or alternate fuel vehicles (e.g., dedicated, bi-fuel, or dual-fuel applications), the manufacturer may request Executive Officer approval to use alternate criteria to that set forth in section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above for incrementing the denominator. In general, the Executive Officer shall not approve alternate criteria for vehicles that only employ engine shut off at or near idle/vehicle stop conditions. Executive Officer approval of the alternate criteria shall be based on the equivalence of the alternate criteria to determine the amount of vehicle operation relative to the measure of conventional vehicle operation in accordance with the criteria in section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above.

(K) For 2014 and subsequent model year hybrid vehicles, in lieu of the criteria in section (d)(4.3.2)(B) above, the denominator for each monitor shall be incremented within ten seconds if and only if the following criteria are satisfied on a single driving cycle:
(i) Cumulative propulsion system active time is greater than or equal to 600 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit;
(ii) Cumulative vehicle operation at or above 25 miles per hour occurs for greater than or equal to 300 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit (medium-duty vehicles with diesel engines certified on an engine dynamometer may use cumulative operation at or above 1150 rpm in lieu of at or above 25 miles per hour for purposes of this criteria);
(iii) Continuous vehicle operation at idle (i.e., accelerator pedal released by driver and either vehicle speed less than or equal to one mile per hour or engine speed less than or equal to 200 rpm above normal warmed-up idle (as determined in the drive position for vehicles equipped with an automatic transmission)) for greater than or equal to 30 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit; and

(iv) Cumulative fueled engine operation for greater than or equal to 10 seconds while at an elevation of less than 8,000 feet above sea level and at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 20 degrees Fahrenheit.

(L) For 2015 and subsequent model year plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, in addition to the requirements of sections (d)(4.3.2)(K)(i) through (iii) above, the evaporative system monitor denominator(s) shall be incremented if and only if:

(i) Cumulative propulsion system active time is greater than or equal to 600 seconds while at an ambient temperature of greater than or equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit but less than or equal to 95 degrees Fahrenheit;

(ii) Engine coolant temperature at the start of propulsion system active is greater than or equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit but less than or equal to 95 degrees Fahrenheit; and

(iii) Continuous time while the vehicle is not in the state of ‘propulsion system active’ during the period immediately preceding the start of the driving cycle is greater than or equal to 6 hours.

*     *     *     *

(5) Standardized tracking and reporting of monitor performance.

*     *     *     *

(5.5) Ignition cycle counter

(5.5.1) Definition:

(A) The ignition cycle counter is defined as a counter that indicates the number of ignition cycles a vehicle has experienced as defined in section(s) (d)(5.5.2)(B) and (C).

(B) Except as required in section (d)(5.5.1)(C) below, the OBD II system shall report one ignition cycle counter (as defined in section (d)(5.5.2)(B)). The ignition cycle counter shall be reported in accordance with the specifications in section (g)(5.2.1).

(C) For 2014 and subsequent model year plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, the OBD II system shall report two ignition cycle counters (as defined in sections (d)(5.5.2)(B) and (C)).

(D) The ignition cycle counter(s) shall be reported in accordance with the specifications in section (g)(5.2.1).

(5.5.2) Specifications for incrementing:
(A) The ignition cycle counter(s), when incremented, shall be incremented by an integer of one. The ignition cycle counter(s) may not be incremented more than once per driving cycle.

(B) The ignition cycle counter shall be incremented within ten seconds if and only if the following criteria are met:
(i) Except as required in section (d)(5.5.2)(B)(ii) below, the vehicle meets the engine start definition (see section (c)) for at least two seconds plus or minus one second.
(ii) For hybrid vehicles, the vehicle meets the propulsion system active definition (see section (c)) for at least two seconds plus or minus one second.

(C) In addition to the counter described in section (d)(5.5.2)(B) above, 2014 and subsequent model year plug-in hybrid electric vehicles shall track and report a second ignition cycle counter that shall be incremented within ten seconds if and only if the vehicle has met the fueled engine operation definition (see section (c)) for at least two seconds plus or minus one second. The OBD II system shall disable further incrementing of the ignition cycle counter within ten seconds if a malfunction of any component used to determine if the criteria in section (d)(5.5.2)(B) are satisfied (i.e., engine speed or time of operation) has been detected and the corresponding pending fault code has been stored. The ignition cycle counter may not be disabled from incrementing for any other condition. Incrementing of the ignition cycle counter shall resume within ten seconds when the malfunction is no longer present (e.g., pending code erased through self-clearing or by a scan tool command).

(D) The OBD II system shall disable further incrementing of the ignition cycle counter(s) within ten seconds if a malfunction of any component used to determine if the criteria in section (d)(5.5.2)(B) and (C) are satisfied (e.g., engine speed or time of operation) has been detected and the corresponding pending fault code has been stored. The ignition cycle counter(s) may not be disabled from incrementing for any other condition. Incrementing of the ignition cycle counter(s) shall resume within ten seconds when the malfunction is no longer present (e.g., pending code erased through self-clearing or by a scan tool command).

(e) Monitoring Requirements for Gasoline/Spark-Ignited Engines.

(3) Misfire Monitoring

(3.3) Monitoring Conditions:
(3.3.1) Manufacturers shall continuously monitor for misfire under the following conditions:
(A) Except as provided in section (e)(3.3.6) below, from no later than the end of the second crankshaft revolution after engine start, * * * *

(3.3.6) For engines that employ engine shutoff strategies that do not require the vehicle operator to restart the engine to continue driving (e.g., hybrid vehicle with engine shutoff at idle), a manufacturer shall request Executive Officer approval of the monitoring conditions under which misfire monitoring occurs after engine fueling begins for the initial start and after each time fueling resumes. Executive Officer approval of the monitoring conditions shall be based on the equivalence of the conditions to those specified in section (e)(3.3.1)(A) above.
* * * *

(f) Monitoring Requirements for Diesel/Compression-Ignition Engines.

(1) Non-Methane Hydrocarbon (NMHC) Converting Catalyst Monitoring * * * *

(1.2) Malfunction Criteria: * * * *

(1.2.3) Other Aftertreatment Assistance Functions. Additionally, for 2010 and subsequent model year vehicles, the catalyst(s) shall be monitored for other aftertreatment assistance functions: * * * *

(B) For 20105 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and MDPVs certified to a chassis dynamometer tailpipe emission standard and 20135 and subsequent model year medium-duty vehicles (including MDPVs) certified to an engine dynamometer tailpipe emission standard, for catalysts used to generate a feedgas constituency to assist SCR systems (e.g., to increase NO\textsubscript{2} concentration upstream of an SCR system), the OBD II system shall detect a malfunction when the catalyst is unable to generate the necessary feedgas constituents for proper SCR system operation.
* * * *

(9) Particulate Matter (PM) Filter Monitoring * * * *

(9.2) Malfunction Criteria: * * * *

(9.2.4) NMHC conversion: For 20105 and subsequent model year passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and MDPVs certified to a chassis dynamometer tailpipe emission standard and 20135 and subsequent model year medium-duty vehicles (including MDPVs) certified to an engine dynamometer tailpipe emission standard with catalyzed PM filters that convert NMHC emissions, the OBD II system shall monitor the catalyst function of the PM filter and 128
detect a malfunction when the NMHC conversion capability decreases to the point that NMHC emissions exceed the applicable emission levels specified in section (f)(9.2.2)(A). If no failure or deterioration of the NMHC conversion capability could result in a vehicle’s NMHC emissions exceeding these emission levels, the OBD II system shall detect a malfunction when the system has no detectable amount of NMHC conversion capability.

(15) Comprehensive Component Monitoring

(15.2) Malfunction Criteria:

(15.2.2) Output Components/Systems:

(F) For 2013-2015 and subsequent model year vehicles that utilize fuel control system components (e.g., injectors, fuel pump) that have tolerance compensation features implemented in hardware or software during production or repair procedures (e.g., individually coded injectors for flow characteristics that are programmed into an electronic control unit to compensate for injector to injector tolerances, fuel pumps that use in-line resistors to correct for differences in fuel pump volume output), the components shall be monitored to ensure the proper compensation is being used. The system shall detect a fault if the compensation being used by the control system does not match the compensation designated for the installed component (e.g., the flow characteristic coding designated on a specific injector does not match the compensation being used by the fuel control system for that injector). If a manufacturer demonstrates that a single component (e.g., injector) using the wrong compensation cannot cause a measurable increase in emissions during any reasonable driving condition, the manufacturer shall detect a malfunction for the minimum number of components using the wrong compensation needed to cause an emission increase. Further, the stored fault code shall identify the specific component that does not match the compensation.

(17) Exceptions to Monitoring Requirements

(17.1) Except as provided in sections (f)(17.1.1) through (17.1.4) below, upon request of a manufacturer or upon the best engineering judgment of the ARB, the Executive Officer may revise the emission threshold for a malfunction on any diagnostic required in section (f) for medium-duty vehicles if the most reliable monitoring method developed requires a higher threshold to prevent significant errors of commission in detecting a malfunction. Additionally, for 2007 through 2009/2013 model year light-duty vehicles and 2007 through 2012-2013 model year medium-duty vehicles, the Executive Officer may revise the PM
filter malfunction criteria of section (f)(9.2.1) to exclude detection of specific failure modes (e.g., combined failure of partially melted and partially cracked substrates) if the most reliable monitoring method developed requires the exclusion of specific failure modes to prevent significant errors of commission in detecting a malfunction.

* * * *

(g) Standardization Requirements

(1) Reference Documents:
The following Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) and International Organization for Standardization (ISO) documents are incorporated by reference into this regulation:

* * * *


* * * *

(4) Required Emission Related Functions:
The following standardized functions shall be implemented in accordance with the specifications in SAE J1979 to allow for access to the required information by a scan tool meeting SAE J1978 specifications:

* * * *

(4.2) Data Stream: The following signals shall be made available on demand through the standardized data link connector in accordance with SAE J1979 specifications. The actual signal value shall always be used instead of a default or limp home value.

* * * *

(4.2.6) Additionally, for all 2013 and subsequent model year vehicles so equipped:
(A) EGR temperature, variable geometry turbo control status (e.g., open loop, closed loop), reductant level (e.g., urea tank fill level), alcohol fuel percentage, type of fuel currently being used, NOx adsorber regeneration status, NOx adsorber deSOx status, hybrid battery pack remaining charge; and
(B) PM sensor output and distance traveled while low/empty SCR reductant driver warning/inducement active.

(4.2.7) Additionally, for all 2015 and subsequent model year vehicles: type of fuel currently being used.

(4.3) Freeze Frame.

* * * *

(4.3.2) “Freeze frame” conditions must include the fault code which caused the data to be stored and all of the signals required in section (g)(4.2.1) except number of stored confirmed fault codes, OBD requirements to which the engine is certified, MIL status, and absolute throttle position in accordance with (g)(4.3.3). Freeze frame conditions shall also include all of the signals required on the
vehicle in sections (g)(4.2.2) through (g)(4.2.5)(D), (g)(4.2.5)(F), and (g)(4.2.6)(A), and (g)(4.2.7) that are used for diagnostic or control purposes in the specific diagnostic or emission-critical powertrain control unit that stored the fault code except: oxygen sensor output, air/fuel ratio sensor output, catalyst temperature, evaporative system vapor pressure, glow plug lamp status, PM sensor output, NOx sensor output, monitor status since last engine shut off, distance traveled while MIL activated, distance traveled since fault memory last cleared, and number of warm-up cycles since fault memory last cleared.

* * * *

(k) Deficiencies

* * * *

(2) Manufacturers of non-complying systems are subject to fines pursuant to section 43016 of the California Health and Safety Code. Except as allowed in section (k)(7) for light-duty and medium-duty diesel vehicles, the specified fines apply to the third and subsequently identified deficiencies, with the exception that fines shall apply to all monitoring system deficiencies wherein a required monitoring strategy is completely absent from the OBD system.

* * * *

(4) Manufacturers must re-apply for Executive Officer approval of a deficiency each model year. In considering the request to carry-over a deficiency, the Executive Officer shall consider the factors identified in section (k)(1) including the manufacturer’s progress towards correcting the deficiency. For all deficiencies except for deficiencies associated with PM filter monitoring section (f)(9.2.1)(A), the Executive Officer may not allow manufacturers to carry over monitoring system deficiencies for more than two model years unless it can be demonstrated that substantial vehicle hardware modifications and additional lead time beyond two years would be necessary to correct the deficiency, in which case the Executive Officer shall allow the deficiency to be carried over for three model years. For deficiencies associated with PM filter monitoring section (f)(9.2.1)(A), if the manufacturer can demonstrate that substantial vehicle hardware modifications and additional lead time would be necessary to correct the deficiency, the Executive shall allow the deficiency to be carried over up to and including the 2013 model year.

* * * *

(7) Exceptions to Fines Requirements.

(7.1) For 2007 through 2009 model year light-duty and 2007 through 2012 model year medium-duty diesel vehicles, in cases where one or more of the deficiencies is for the aftertreatment monitoring requirements of sections (f)(1), (2), (8), or (9) and the deficient monitor is properly able to detect all malfunctions prior to emissions exceeding twice the required monitor threshold (e.g., before emissions exceed 10 times the
standard for NMHC if the threshold is 5.0 times the standard for NMHC), the specified fines shall apply to the fourth and subsequently identified deficiencies in lieu of the third and subsequently identified deficiencies. If none of the deficiencies are for the requirements of sections (f)(1), (2), (8), or (9) or if the deficient aftertreatment monitor exceeds twice the required monitor threshold, the specified fines shall apply to the third and subsequently identified deficiencies. In all cases, the exception that fines shall apply to all monitoring system deficiencies wherein a required monitoring strategy is completely absent from the OBD system still applies.

(7.2) For 2013 through 2014 model year light-duty and medium-duty diesel vehicles that utilize PM sensors for PM filter filtering performance monitoring (section (f)(9.2.1)(A)), in cases where the deficiency is for a monitor required to detect malfunctions of the PM filter filtering performance (section (f)(9.2.1)(A)), the PM sensor (section (f)(5.2.2)), or the PM sensor heater (section (f)(5.2.4)), the deficiency shall be exempt from the specified fines of section (k)(3) and the deficiency shall not be included in the count of deficiencies used in (k)(2) to determine the number of deficiencies subject to fines.

*     *     *     *

§1968.5. Enforcement of Malfunction and Diagnostic System Requirements for 2004 and Subsequent Model-Year Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles and Engines.

* * * *

(b) Testing Procedures

* * * *

(6) Finding of Nonconformance after Enforcement Testing.
After conducting enforcement testing pursuant to section (b)(4) above, the Executive Officer shall make a finding of nonconformance of the OBD II system in the identified motor vehicle class if:

* * * *

(B) OBD II Ratio Testing.
(i) For monitors specified in sections (b)(6)(B)(i.a. through e. below, the data collected from the vehicles in the test sample indicate either that the average in-use monitor performance ratio for one or more of the monitors in the test sample group is less than 0.100 or that 66.0 percent or more of the vehicles in the test sample group have an in-use monitor performance ratio of less than 0.100 for the same monitor:

a. monitors on 2004 through 20142016 model year vehicles certified to a ratio of 0.100 in accordance with title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2.1)-(D),

b. monitors specified in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(e) on 2007 through 2012 model year vehicles for the first three model years the monitor is certified to the in-use performance ratio monitoring requirements of title 13, CCR sections 1968.2(d)(3.2.1)(A) through (C),

c. the fuel system air-fuel ratio cylinder imbalance monitor specified in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(e)(6.2.1)(C) on 2015 through 2017 model year vehicles,

d. the secondary exhaust gas sensor monitor specified in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(e)(7.2.2)(C) on 2012 through 2014 model year vehicles, and

e. monitors specified in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(f) on 2013 through 2015 model year vehicles.

(ii) For monitors that are certified to the ratios in title 13, CCR sections 1968.2(d)(3.2.1)(A) through (C) and are not described in sections (b)(6)(B)(i) through e. above, the data collected from the vehicles in the test sample indicate either that 66.0 percent or more of the vehicles in the test sample group have
an in-use monitor performance ratio of less than the required minimum ratio defined in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2.1) for the same monitor or that the average in-use monitor performance ratio for one or more of the monitors in the motor vehicle class is less than the required minimum ratio defined in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2.1) as defined by determining the average in-use monitor performance ratio for one or more of the monitors in the test sample group is less than:

a. 0.230 for secondary air system monitors and other cold start related monitors utilizing a denominator incremented in accordance with title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(4.3.2)(E) (e.g., cold start strategy monitors, etc.);

b. For evaporative system monitors:
   1. 0.230 for monitors designed to detect malfunctions identified in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(e)(4.2.2)(C) (i.e., 0.020 inch leak detection);
   2. 0.460 for monitors designed to detect malfunctions identified in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(e)(4.2.2)(A) and (B) (i.e., purge flow and 0.040 inch leak detection);

c. 0.297 for catalyst, oxygen sensor, EGR, VVT system, and all other monitors specifically required in section title 13, CCR sections 1968.2(e) and (f) to meet the monitoring condition requirements of title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2).

*(c) Remedial Action*

*(3) Ordered Remedial Action-Mandatory Recall.*

(A) Except as provided in sections (c)(3)(B) below, the Executive Officer shall order the recall and repair of all vehicles in a motor vehicle class that have been determined to be equipped with a nonconforming OBD II system if enforcement testing conducted pursuant to section (b) above or information received from the manufacturer indicates that:

(i) For monitors on 2007 and subsequent model year vehicles certified to the ratios in title 13, CCR sections 1968.2(d)(3.2.1)(A) through (C), the average in-use monitor performance ratio for one or more of the major monitors in the test sample group is less than or equal to 33.0 percent of the applicable required minimum ratio established in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2.1) (e.g., if the required ratio is 0.336, less than or equal to a ratio of 0.111) or 66.0 percent or more of the vehicles in the test sample group have an in-use monitor.
The performance ratio of less than or equal to 33.0 percent of the applicable required minimum ratio established in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2.1) for the same major monitor. For monitors on 2004 through 2016 model year vehicles certified to the 0.100 ratio in title 13, CCR section 1968.2(d)(3.2.1)(D), the Executive Officer shall determine the remedial action for nonconformances regarding the in-use monitor performance ratio in accordance with section (c)(4) below.

*     *     *     *


(a) [Fuel evaporative emission standards for 1970 through 1977 model passenger cars and light-duty trucks. No change.]

(b)(1) Evaporative emissions for 1978 and subsequent model gasoline-fueled, 1983 and subsequent model liquefied petroleum gas-fueled, and 1993 and subsequent model alcohol-fueled motor vehicles and hybrid electric vehicles subject to exhaust emission standards under this article, except petroleum-fueled diesel vehicles, compressed natural gas-fueled vehicles, hybrid electric vehicles that have sealed fuel systems which can be demonstrated to have no evaporative emissions, and motorcycles, shall not exceed the following standards:

(A) [Evaporative emission standards for 1978 through 1994 model motor vehicles. No change.]

(B) [Evaporative emission standards on the three-day diurnal test for 1995 through 2005 model motor vehicles. No change.]

(C) [Evaporative emission standards on the supplemental two-day diurnal test for 1995 through 2005 model motor vehicles. No change.]

(D) [Zero-emission vehicle evaporative requirements. No change.]

(E) For 2001 through 2014 model year vehicles, the optional zero-fuel evaporative emission standards for the three-day and two-day diurnal-plus-hot-soak tests are 0.35 grams per test for passenger cars, 0.50 grams per test for light-duty trucks 6,000 lbs. GVWR and under, and 0.75 grams per test for light-duty trucks from 6,001 to 8,500 lbs. GVWR, to account for vehicle non-fuel evaporative emissions (resulting from paints, upholstery, tires, and other vehicle sources). Vehicles demonstrating compliance with these evaporative emission standards shall also have zero (0.0) grams of fuel evaporative emissions per test for the three-day and two-day diurnal-plus-hot-soak tests. The “useful life” shall be 15 years or 150,000 miles, whichever occurs first. In lieu of demonstrating compliance with the zero (0.0) grams of fuel evaporative emissions per test over the three-day and two-day diurnal-plus-hot-soak tests, the manufacturer may submit for advance Executive Officer approval a test plan to demonstrate that the vehicle has zero (0.0) grams of fuel evaporative emissions throughout its useful life.

Additionally, in the case of a SULEV vehicle for which a manufacturer is seeking a partial ZEV credit, the manufacturer may prior to certification elect to have measured fuel evaporative emissions reduced by a specified value in all certification and in-use testing of the vehicle as long as measured mass exhaust
emissions of NMOG for the vehicle are increased in all certification and in-use testing. The measured fuel evaporative emissions shall be reduced in increments of 0.1 gram per test, and the measured mass exhaust emissions of NMOG from the vehicle shall be increased by a gram per mile factor, to be determined by the Executive Officer, for every 0.1 gram per test by which the measured fuel evaporative emissions are reduced. For the purpose of this calculation, the evaporative emissions shall be measured, in grams per test, to a minimum of three significant figures.

(F) For the 2004 and subsequent through 2014 model motor vehicles identified below, tested in accordance with the test procedures described in Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, sections 86.130-78 through 86.143-90 as they existed July 1, 1989 and as modified by the “California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2001 and Subsequent Model Motor Vehicles” incorporated by reference in section 1976(c), the evaporative emission standards are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon(^1) Standards(^2)(^3)(^4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Running Loss (grams per mile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger cars</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks (under 8,501 lbs. GVWR)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000 lbs. GVWR and under</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,001 - 8,500 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-duty vehicles (8,501 - 14,000 lbs. GVWR)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-duty vehicles (over 14,000 lbs. GVWR)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Organic Material Hydrocarbon Equivalent for alcohol-fueled vehicles.

\(^2\) For all vehicles certified to these standards, the “useful life” shall be 15 years or 150,000 miles, whichever first occurs. Approval of vehicles which are not exhaust emission tested using a chassis dynamometer pursuant to section 1960.1 or 1961, title 13, California Code of Regulations shall be based on an engineering evaluation of the system and data submitted by the applicant.

\(^3\) (a) These evaporative emission standards shall be phased-in beginning with the 2004 model year. Each manufacturer, except small volume manufacturers, shall certify at a minimum the specified percentage of its vehicle fleet to the evaporative emission standards in this table or the optional zero-evaporative emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(E) according to the schedule set forth below. For purposes of this paragraph (a), each manufacturer’s vehicle...
fleet consists of the total projected California sales of the manufacturer’s gasoline-fueled, liquefied petroleum-fueled and alcohol-fueled passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Minimum Percentage of Vehicles Certified to the Standards in §§1976(b)(1)(F) and (b)(1)(E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 and</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subsequent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A small volume manufacturer shall certify 100 percent of its 2006 and subsequent model vehicle fleet to the evaporative emission standards in the table or the optional zero-evaporative emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(E).

All 2004 through 2005 model-year motor vehicles which are not subject to these standards or the standards in section 1976(b)(1)(E) pursuant to the phase-in schedule shall comply with the requirements of sections 1976(b)(1)(B) and (C).

(b) A manufacturer may use an “Alternative or Equivalent Phase-in Schedule” to comply with the phase-in requirements. An “Alternative Phase-in” is one that achieves at least equivalent emission reductions by the end of the last model year of the scheduled phase-in. Model-year emission reductions shall be calculated by multiplying the percent of vehicles (based on the manufacturer’s projected California sales volume of the applicable vehicle fleet) meeting the new requirements per model year by the number of model years implemented prior to and including the last model year of the scheduled phase-in. The “cumulative total” is the summation of the model-year emission reductions (e.g., the three model-year 40/80/100 percent phase-in schedule would be calculated as: (40%\*3 years) + (80%\*2 years) + (100%\*1 year) = 380). The required cumulative total for the phase-in of these standards is 380 emission reductions. Any alternative phase-in that results in an equal or larger cumulative total than the required cumulative total by the end of the last model year of the scheduled phase-in shall be considered acceptable by the Executive Officer only if all vehicles subject to the phase-in comply with the respective requirements in the last model year of the required phase-in schedule. A manufacturer shall be allowed to include vehicles introduced before the first model year of the scheduled phase-in (e.g., in the previous example, 10 percent introduced one year before the scheduled phase-in begins would be calculated as: (10%\*4 years)=40) and added to the cumulative total.

(c) These evaporative emission standards do not apply to zero-emission vehicles.

4 In-use compliance whole vehicle testing shall not begin until the motor vehicle is at least one year from the production date and has accumulated a minimum of 10,000 miles. For vehicles introduced prior to the 2007 model year, in-use compliance standards of 1.75 times the “Three-Day Diurnal + Hot-Soak” and “Two-Day Diurnal + Hot-Soak” gram per test standards shall apply for only the first three model years of an evaporative family certified to a new standard.

(G) For 2015 and subsequent model motor vehicles, the following evaporative emission requirements apply:
1. A manufacturer must certify all vehicles subject to this section to the emission standards specified in either Option 1 or Option 2 below.

a  **Option 1.** The evaporative emissions from 2015 and subsequent model motor vehicles, tested in accordance with the test procedure sequence described in the “California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2001 and Subsequent Model Motor Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section 1976(c), shall not exceed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon Emission Standards (grams per test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Running Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(grams per mile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger cars</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks 6,000 lbs. GVWR and under</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks 6,001 - 8,500 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-duty passenger vehicles</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-duty vehicles (8,501 - 14,000 lbs. GVWR)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy-duty vehicles (over 14,000 lbs. GVWR)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1  Organic Material Hydrocarbon Equivalent for alcohol-fueled vehicles.

2  For all vehicles certified to these standards, the “useful life” shall be 15 years or 150,000 miles, whichever occurs first. Approval of vehicles that are not exhaust emission tested using a chassis dynamometer pursuant to section 1961, title 13, California Code of Regulations shall be based on an engineering evaluation of the system and data submitted by the applicant.

3  In lieu of demonstrating compliance with the fuel-only emission standard (0.0 grams per test) over the three-day and two-day diurnal plus hot soak tests, a manufacturer may, with advance Executive Officer approval, demonstrate compliance through an alternate test plan.

b  **Option 2.** The evaporative emissions from 2015 and subsequent model motor vehicles, tested in accordance with the test
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procedure sequence described in the “California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2001 and Subsequent Model Motor Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section 1976(c), shall not exceed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Hydrocarbon(^{(1)}) Emission Standards(^{(2)})</th>
<th>Running Loss (grams per mile)</th>
<th>Highest Whole Vehicle Diurnal + Hot Soak(^{(3)(4)(5)}) (grams per test)</th>
<th>Canister Bleed(^{(6)}) (grams per test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger cars; and Light-duty trucks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000 lbs. GVWR and under, and 0 - 3,750 lbs. LVW</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000 lbs. GVWR and under, and 3,751 – 5,750 lbs. LVW</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,001 - 8,500 lbs. GVWR; and Medium-duty passenger vehicles</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-duty vehicles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8,501 - 14,000 lbs. GVWR); and Heavy-duty vehicles</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\) Organic Material Hydrocarbon Equivalent for alcohol-fueled vehicles.

\(^{2}\) For vehicles certified to the running loss and the highest whole vehicle diurnal plus hot soak emission standards, the “useful life” shall be 15 years or 150,000 miles, whichever occurs first. Approval of vehicles that are not exhaust emission tested using a chassis dynamometer pursuant to section 1961, title 13, California Code of Regulations shall be based on an engineering evaluation of the system and data submitted by the applicant. The canister bleed emission standard does not have a useful life requirement.

\(^{3}\) The manufacturer shall determine compliance by selecting the highest whole vehicle diurnal plus hot soak emission value of the Three-Day Diurnal Plus Hot Soak Test and of the Two-Day Diurnal Plus Hot Soak Test.

\(^{4}\) Fleet-Average Option for the Highest Whole Vehicle Diurnal Plus Hot Soak Emission Standard Within Each Emission Standard Category. A manufacturer may optionally comply with the highest whole vehicle diurnal plus hot soak emission standards by using fleet-average hydrocarbon emission values. To participate, a manufacturer must utilize the fleet-average option for all of its emission standard categories and calculate a separate fleet-average hydrocarbon emission value for each emission standard category. The emission standard categories are as follows: (1) passenger cars and light-duty trucks 6,000 pounds GVWR and under, and 0 - 3,750 pounds LVW; (2) light-duty trucks 6,000 pounds GVWR and
under, and 3,751 – 5,750 pounds LVW; (3) light-duty trucks 6,001 - 8,500 pounds GVWR and medium-duty passenger vehicles; and (4) medium-duty and heavy-duty vehicles. The fleet-average hydrocarbon emission value for each emission standard category shall be calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \text{(number of vehicles in the evaporative family)} \times \text{(family emission limit)} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{(number of vehicles in the evaporative family)}}
\]

where "n" = a manufacturer's total number of Option 2 certification evaporative families within an emission standard category for a given model year;

"number of vehicles in the evaporative family" = the number of vehicles produced and delivered for sale in California in the evaporative family;

"family emission limit" = the numerical value selected by the manufacturer for the evaporative family that serves as the emission standard for the evaporative family with respect to all testing, instead of the emission standard specified in this section 1976 (b)(1)(G)1.b. The family emission limit shall not exceed 0.500 grams per test for passenger cars; 0.650 grams per test for light duty trucks 6,000 pounds GVWR and under; 0.900 grams per test for light-duty trucks 6,001 - 8,500 pounds GVWR; and 1.000 grams for medium-duty passenger vehicles, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles. In addition, the family emission limit shall be set in increments of 0.025 grams per test.

\[5\]

**Calculation of Hydrocarbon Credits or Debits for the Fleet-Average Option.**

1. **Calculation of Hydrocarbon Credits or Debits.** For each emission standard category in the model year, a manufacturer shall calculate the hydrocarbon credits or debits, as follows:

\[(\text{Applicable Hydrocarbon Emission Standard for the Emission Standard Category}) - (\text{Manufacturer's Fleet-Average Hydrocarbon Emission Value for the Emission Standard Category})] \times (\text{Total Number of Affected Vehicles})

where "Total Number of Affected Vehicles" = the total number of vehicles in the evaporative families participating in the fleet-average option, which are produced and delivered for sale in California, for the emission standard category of the given model year.

A negative number constitutes hydrocarbon debits, and a positive number constitutes hydrocarbon credits accrued by the manufacturer for the given model year. Hydrocarbon credits earned in a given model year shall retain full value through the fifth model year after they are earned. At the beginning of the sixth model year, the hydrocarbon credits will have no value.

2. **Procedure for Offsetting Hydrocarbon Debits.** A manufacturer shall offset hydrocarbon debits with hydrocarbon credits for each emission standard category within three model years after the debits have been incurred. If total hydrocarbon debits are not equalized within three model years after they have been incurred, the manufacturer shall be subject to the Health and Safety Code section 43211 civil penalties applicable to a manufacturer which sells a new motor vehicle that does not meet the applicable emission standards adopted by the state board. The cause of action shall be deemed to accrue when the hydrocarbon debits are not
equalized by the end of the specified time period. For the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 43211, the number of vehicles not meeting the state board’s emission standards shall be determined by dividing the total amount of hydrocarbon debits for the model year in the emission standard category by the applicable hydrocarbon emission standard for the model year in which the debits were first incurred.

Additionally, to equalize the hydrocarbon debits that remain at the end of the three model year offset period: (1) hydrocarbon credits may be exchanged between passenger cars and light-duty trucks 6,000 pounds GVWR and under and 0-3,750 pounds LVW, and light-duty trucks 6,000 pounds GVWR and under and 3,751-5,750 pounds LVW and (2) hydrocarbon credits may be exchanged between light-duty trucks 6,001-8,500 pounds GVWR and medium-duty passenger vehicles, and medium-duty vehicles and heavy-duty vehicles.

Vehicle Canister Bleed Emission. Compliance with the canister bleed emission standard shall be determined based on the Bleed Emission Test Procedure described in the “California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2001 and Subsequent Model Motor Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section 1976(c), and demonstrated on a stabilized canister system. Vehicles with a non-integrated refueling canister-only system are exempt from the canister bleed emission standard.

2. Phase-In Schedule. For each model year, a manufacturer shall certify, at a minimum, the specified percentage of its vehicle fleet to the evaporative emission standards set forth in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.a. or section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.b., according to the schedule set forth below. For the purpose of this section 1976(b)(1)(G)2., the manufacturer's vehicle fleet consists of the vehicles produced and delivered for sale by the manufacturer in California that are subject to the emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1. All 2015 through 2022 model motor vehicles that are not subject to these standards pursuant to the phase-in schedule shall comply with the requirements for 2004 through 2014 model motor vehicles, as described in section 1976(b)(1)(F).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Years</th>
<th>Minimum Percentage of Vehicle Fleet^{(1)(2)}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 and 2019</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 and 2021</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 and subsequent</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the 2018 through 2022 model years only, a manufacturer may use an alternate phase-in schedule to comply with the phase-in requirements. An alternate phase-in schedule must achieve equivalent compliance volume by the end of the last model year of the scheduled phase-in (2022). The compliance volume is the number calculated by multiplying the percent of vehicles (based on the manufacturer’s projected sales volume of all vehicles) meeting the new requirements in each model year by the number of years implemented prior to and including the last model year of the scheduled phase-in, then summing these yearly results to
determine a cumulative total. The cumulative total of the five year (60/60/80/80/100) scheduled phase-in set forth above is calculated as follows: (60*5 years) + (60*4 years) + (80*3 years) + (80*2 years) + (100*1 year) = 1040. Accordingly, the required cumulative total for any alternate phase-in schedule of these emission standards is 1040. The Executive Officer shall consider acceptable any alternate phase-in schedule that results in an equal or larger cumulative total by the end of the last model year of the scheduled phase-in (2022).

2 Small volume manufacturers are not required to comply with the phase-in schedule set forth in this table. Instead, they shall certify 100 percent of their 2022 and subsequent model year vehicle fleet to the evaporative emission standards set forth in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.a. or section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.b.

3 The percentage of vehicle fleet averaged across the 2015, 2016, and 2017 model years shall be used to determine compliance with this requirement.

4 The minimum percentage required in the 2015, 2016, and 2017 model years is determined by averaging the percentage of vehicles certified to the emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(E) in each of the manufacturer’s 2012, 2013, and 2014 model year vehicle fleets. For the purpose of calculating this average, a manufacturer shall use the percentage of vehicles produced and delivered for sale in California for the 2012, 2013, and 2014 model years. A manufacturer may calculate this average percentage using the projected sales for these model years in lieu of actual sales.

3. **Carry-Over of 2014 Model-Year Evaporative Families Certified to the Zero-Fuel Evaporative Emission Standards.** A manufacturer may carry over 2014 model motor vehicles certified to the zero-fuel (0.0 grams per test) evaporative emission standards set forth in section 1976(b)(1)(E) through the 2018 model year and be considered compliant with the requirements of section 1976(b)(1)(G)1. If the manufacturer chooses to participate in the fleet-average option for the highest whole vehicle diurnal plus hot soak emission standard, the following family emission limits are assigned to these evaporative families for the calculation of the manufacturer’s fleet-average hydrocarbon emission value.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Highest Whole Vehicle Diurnal + Hot Soak (grams per test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger cars</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000 lbs. GVWR and under, and 0 - 3,750 lbs. LVW</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000 lbs. GVWR and under, and 3,751 – 5,750 lbs. LVW</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-duty trucks</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,001 - 8,500 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


   a For the fleet-average option set forth in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.b., a manufacturer must demonstrate compliance, for each model year, based on one of two options applicable throughout the model year, either:

   Pooling Option 1: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty passenger vehicles, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles that are certified to the California evaporative emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.b., and are produced and delivered for sale in California; or

   Pooling Option 2: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty passenger vehicles, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles that are certified to the California evaporative emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1.b., and are produced and delivered for sale in California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California's evaporative emission standards set forth in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1. for that model year pursuant to section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

   b For the phase-in requirements in section 1976(b)(1)(G)2., a manufacturer must demonstrate compliance, for each model year, based on one of two options applicable throughout the model year, either:
Pooling Option 1: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty passenger vehicles, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles that are certified to the California evaporative emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1., and are produced and delivered for sale in California; or

Pooling Option 2: the total number of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty passenger vehicles, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty vehicles that are certified to the California evaporative emission standards in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1., and are produced and delivered for sale in California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California’s evaporative emission standards set forth in section 1976(b)(1)(G)1. for that model year pursuant to section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

c A manufacturer that selects Pooling Option 2 must notify the Executive Officer of that selection in writing before the start of the applicable model year or must comply with Pooling Option 1. Once a manufacturer has selected Pooling Option 2, that selection applies unless the manufacturer selects Option 1 and notifies the Executive Officer of that selection in writing before the start of the applicable model year.

d When a manufacturer is demonstrating compliance using Pooling Option 2 for a given model year, the term “in California” as used in section 1976(b)(1)(G) means California, the District of Columbia, and all states that have adopted California’s evaporative emission standards for that model year pursuant to Section 177 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7507).

e A manufacturer that selects Pooling Option 2 must provide to the Executive Officer separate values for the number of vehicles in each evaporative family produced and delivered for sale in the District of Columbia and for each individual state within the average.


(b)(2) [Evaporative emission standards for gasoline-fueled motorcycles. No change.]

(d) [Motorcycle requirements.  No change.]

(e) [Motorcycle requirements.  No change.]

(f) **Definitions Specific to this Section.**

   (1) and (2)  [No change].

   (3) “Non-integrated refueling emission control system” is defined in 40 Code of Federal Regulations §86.1803-01.

   (4) “Non-integrated refueling canister-only system” means a subclass of a non-integrated refueling emission control system, where other non-refueling related evaporative emissions from the vehicle are stored in the fuel tank, instead of in a vapor storage unit(s).


(a)(1) Vehicle refueling emissions for 1998 and subsequent model gasoline-fueled, alcohol-fueled, diesel-fueled, liquefied petroleum gas-fueled, fuel-flexible, and hybrid electric passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating less than 8501 pounds, shall not exceed the following standards. And 2015 and subsequent model gasoline-fueled, alcohol-fueled, diesel-fueled, liquefied petroleum gas-fueled, fuel-flexible, and hybrid electric medium-duty vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating between 8,501 and 14,000 pounds, shall not exceed the following standards. Natural gas-fueled vehicles are exempt from meeting these refueling standards, but the refueling receptacles on natural gas-fueled vehicles must comply with the receptacle provisions of the American National Standards Institute/American Gas Association Standard for Compressed Natural Gas Vehicle Fueling Connection Devices, ANSI/AGA NGV1 standard-1994, which is incorporated herein by reference. The standards apply equally to certification and in-use vehicles.

Hydrocarbons (for gasoline-fueled, diesel-fueled, and hybrid electric vehicles): 0.20 grams per gallon of fuel dispensed.

Organic Material Hydrocarbon Equivalent (for alcohol-fueled, fuel-flexible, and hybrid electric vehicles): 0.20 grams per gallon of fuel dispensed.

Hydrocarbons (for liquefied petroleum gas-fueled vehicles): 0.15 gram per gallon of fuel dispensed.

(2) Vehicles powered by diesel fuel are not required to conduct testing to demonstrate compliance with the refueling emission standards set forth above, provided that all of the following provisions are met:

(A) The manufacturer can attest to the following evaluation: “Due to the low vapor pressure of diesel fuel and the vehicle tank temperatures, hydrocarbon vapor concentrations are low and the vehicle meets the 0.20 grams/gallon refueling emission standard without a control system.”

(B) The certification requirement described in paragraph (A) is provided in writing and applies for the full useful life of the vehicle, as defined in section 2112.

In addition to the above provisions, the ARB reserves the authority to require testing to enforce compliance and to prevent noncompliance with the refueling emission standard.

Vehicles certified to the refueling emission standard under this provision shall not be counted in the phase-in sales percentage compliance determinations.
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(3) Through model year 2014, the manufacturer shall adhere to the following phase-in schedule, as determined by projected vehicle sales throughout the United States, with the exception of small volume manufacturers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Vehicle</th>
<th>ORVR Model Year Phase-In Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>40% Fleet 80% Fleet 100% Fleet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks 0-6,000 lbs. GVWR</td>
<td>1998 1999 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks/ Medium-Duty Vehicles (6,001-8,500 lbs. GVWR)</td>
<td>2001 2002 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004 2005 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Prior to the 2001 model year, small volume manufacturers are defined for purposes of this section as any vehicle manufacturer with California actual sales less than or equal to 3000 new vehicles per model year based on the average number of vehicles sold by the manufacturer in the previous three consecutive years.

(B) Small volume manufacturers of passenger cars, as defined in subsection (a)(3)(A), are exempt from the implementation schedule in subsection (a)(3) for model year 1998 and 1999. For small volume manufacturers of passenger cars, the standards of subsection (a)(1), and the associated test procedures, shall not apply until model year 2000, when 100 percent compliance with the standards of this section is required. Small volume manufacturers of light-duty trucks and medium-duty vehicles are not exempt from the implementation schedule in subsection (a)(3).

(4) Beginning with model year 2015, all vehicles subject to the refueling emission standards in section 1978(a)(1) shall demonstrate compliance except incomplete vehicles of 14,000 pounds gross vehicle weight rating or less that are certified as incomplete vehicles for the purposes of evaporative emissions testing as set forth in the “California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 2001 and Subsequent Model Motor Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in section 1976.

(5) Carry-Over of 2014 Model Year Families: 2014 model year motor vehicles certified to the refueling emission standards of section 1978(a)(1) may carry over to the 2015 through 2018 model years and be considered compliant.

(b) The test procedures for determining compliance with standards applicable to 1998 through 2000 gasoline, alcohol, diesel, and hybrid electric passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles are set forth in the “California


* * * *

(g) Prior to the 2001 model year, each manufacturer shall submit the documents required by sections (c)(5), (e), and (f) with the manufacturer's preliminary application for new vehicle or engine certification for approval by the Executive Officer. For 2001 and subsequent model years, each manufacturer shall submit the documents required by section (c)(5), (e), and (f) with the Part 2 Application for Certification pursuant to the “California 2001 through 2014 Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and for 2001 2009 through 2016 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in title 13, CCR section 1961(d), or the “California 2015 and Subsequent Model Criteria Pollutant Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures and 2017 and Subsequent Model Greenhouse Gas Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles,” incorporated by reference in title 13, CCR section 1961.2(d), as applicable. The Executive Officer may reject or require modification of any of the documents required by sections (c), (e), and (f) for, among other reasons, incompleteness and lack of clarity. Approval by the Executive Officer of the documents required by sections (c), (e), and (f) shall be a condition of certification. The Executive Officer shall approve or disapprove the documents required by sections (c), (e), and (f) within 90 days of the date such documents are received from the manufacturer. Any disapproval shall be accompanied by a statement of the reasons thereof. In the event of disapproval, the manufacturer may petition the Board to review the decision of the Executive Officer.

* * * *

§2038. Performance Warranty Requirements for 1990 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles, and Motor Vehicle Engines Used in Such Vehicles

          *          *          *          *

(c) Written Instructions.

          *          *          *          *


          *          *          *          *

§2062. Assembly-Line Test Procedures - 1998 and Subsequent Model Years.


§2112. Definitions.

(b) “Correlation factor” means a pollutant-specific multiplicative factor calculated by a manufacturer for an engine family or test group which establishes a relationship between chassis exhaust emission data, as determined from the test procedures specified in section 1960.1, or 1961, or 1961.2, Title 13, California Code of Regulations, and engine exhaust emission data, as determined from the test procedures specified in section 1956.8, Title 13, California Code of Regulations.

(l)(9) For 2001 and subsequent through 2019 model year medium-duty low-emission, ultra-low-emission and super-ultra-low-emission vehicles certified to the primary standards in section 1961(a)(1), and motor vehicle engines used in such vehicles, a period of use of ten years or 120,000 miles, whichever occurs first. For 2001 and subsequent through 2019 medium-duty low-emission, ultra-low-emission and super-ultra-low-emission vehicles certified to the optional 150,000 mile standards in section 1961(a)(1), and motor vehicle engines used in such vehicles, a period of use of fifteen years or 150,000 miles, whichever occurs first. For all other 1995 and subsequent model-year medium-duty vehicles, and motor vehicle engines used in such vehicles, and 1992 through 1994 model-year medium-duty low-emission, and ultra-low-emission vehicles certified to the standards in Section 1960.1(h)(2), and motor vehicle engines used in such vehicles, a period of use of eleven years or 120,000 miles, whichever occurs first.

(l)(18) For those passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles certified to the standards in section 1961.2 or 1961.3, the useful life shall be fifteen years or 150,000 miles, whichever occurs first.

[renumber subsections (l)(18) through (l)(23) as (l)(19) through (l)(24)]
§2139. Testing.

After the vehicles have been accepted and restorative maintenance, if any, has been performed, the ARB or its designated laboratory shall perform the applicable emission tests pursuant to the following:

(a) For passenger cars and light-duty trucks, in-use compliance emission tests shall be performed pursuant to section 1960.1, or 1961, 1961.2, or 1961.3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations, as applicable.

(b) For medium-duty vehicles certified according to the chassis standards and test procedures specified in section 1960.1, or 1961, 1961.2, or 1961.3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations and the documents incorporated by reference therein, in-use compliance emission tests shall be performed pursuant to section 1960.1, or 1961, 1961.2, or 1961.3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations, as applicable.

(c) For medium-duty engines and vehicles certified according to the optional engine test procedures specified in section 1956.8, Title 13, California Code of Regulations and the documents incorporated by reference therein, in-use compliance emission tests shall be performed pursuant to one of the following procedures:

* * * *

(2) Medium-duty vehicles may be tested according to the chassis test procedures specified in section 1960.1(k), or 1961, or 1961.2, as applicable, if a manufacturer develops correlation factors which establish the relationship between engine and chassis testing for each engine family or test group and submits these correlation factors within one year after the beginning of production. The correlation factors shall be applied to the measured in-use engine exhaust emission data to determine the in-use engine exhaust emission levels. All correlation factors and supporting data included in a manufacturer’s application must be submitted to and approved by the Executive Officer in advance of their use by a manufacturer. Correlation factors intended to apply to a specific engine family or test group shall be applicable for each vehicle model incorporating that specific engine. Manufacturers shall submit test data demonstrating the applicability of the correlation factors for vehicle models comprising a minimum of 80 percent of their engine sales for that specific engine family or test group. The correlation factors for the remaining fleet may be determined through an engineering evaluation based upon a comparison with similar vehicle models. The Executive Officer shall approve a submitted correlation factor if it accurately corresponds to other established empirical and theoretical correlation factors and to emission test data available to the Executive Officer.
A manufacturer may choose to use the results from the chassis in-use testing as a screening test. If an engine family or test group does not demonstrate compliance with any of the applicable in-use engine standards, as determined from the chassis test data and the applied correlation factors, the manufacturer shall be subject to the requirements and cost of in-use compliance engine testing, as specified in section 2139(c)(1). The manufacturer shall be subject to engine testing for any non-complying engine family or test group for each subsequent year until compliance with the engine emission standards is demonstrated.

Subsequent to approval of the correlation factors, the Executive Officer may make a determination that the original correlation factors are not valid. Such a determination may be based upon in-use emission data, including chassis and engine testing. Upon determination that the correlation factors for a specific engine family or test group are not valid, the manufacturer of the engine family or test group shall be subject to the enforcement testing requirements and costs of in-use compliance engine testing, as specified in section 2139(c)(1).

* * * *

§2140. Notification and Use of Test Results.

* * * *

(b) If the results of the in-use vehicle emission tests conducted pursuant to Section 2139 indicate that the average emissions of the test vehicles for any pollutant exceed the applicable emission standards specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 1960.1, 1961, 1961.2, 1961.3, 1956.8, 1958, 2412, 2423 or 2442, the entire vehicle population so represented shall be deemed to exceed such standards. The Executive Officer shall notify the manufacturer of the test results and upon receipt of the notification, the manufacturer shall have 45 days to submit an influenced recall plan in accordance with Sections 2113 through 2121, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. If no such recall plan is submitted, the Executive Officer may order corrective action including recall of the affected vehicles in accordance with Sections 2122 through 2135, Title 13, California Code of Regulations.


* * * *

(b) All field information reports shall be submitted to the Chief, Mobile Source Operations Division, 9528 Telstar Avenue, El Monte, CA 91731, and shall contain the following information in substantially the format outlined below:

* * * *

(3) A description of each class or category of California-certified vehicles or engines affected including make, model, model-year, engine family or test group and such other information as may be required to identify the vehicles or engines affected. The description shall include those engine families or test groups related to the affected engine family or test group through common certification test data allowed under Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 86.085-24(f), as amended December 10, 1984 or Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 86.1839-01, as adopted May 4, 1999 amended January 17, 2006 (“carry-over” and “carry-across” engine families or test groups).

* * * *

§2147. Demonstration of Compliance with Emission Standards.

* * * *

(b) A manufacturer may test properly maintained in-use vehicles with the failed emission-related component pursuant to the applicable certification emission tests specified in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 1960.1, or 1961, 1961.2, or 1961.3, as applicable, for passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles; Section 1956.8 for heavy-duty engines and vehicles; Section 1958 for motorcycles; and Section 2442 for sterndrive/inboard marine engines. The emissions shall be projected to the end of the vehicle’s or engine’s useful life using in-use deterioration factors. The in-use deterioration factors shall be chosen by the manufacturer from among the following:

* * * *

(3) subject to approval by the Executive Officer, a manufacturer-generated deterioration factor. The Executive Officer shall approve such deterioration factor if it is based on in-use data generated from certification emission tests performed on properly maintained and used vehicles in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 1960.1, or 1961, or 1961.2 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations, as applicable, for passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty vehicles; Section 1956.8 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations for heavy-duty engines and vehicles; and Section 1958 of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations for motorcycles, and if the vehicles from which it was derived are representative of the in-use fleet with regard to emissions performance and are equipped with similar emission control technology as vehicles with the failed component.

* * * *

§ 2235. Requirements.


§ 2317. Satisfaction of Designated Clean Fuels Requirements with a Substitute Fuel.

(a) Any person may petition the state board to designate by regulation a substitute fuel which may be used instead of a primary designated clean fuel to satisfy any requirements in this chapter pertaining to a designated clean fuel. The state board shall designate such a substitute fuel if it is satisfied that the petitioner has demonstrated all of the following:

(1) That use of the fuel in low-emission vehicles certified on the primary designated clean fuel will result in emissions of NMOG (on a reactivity-adjusted basis), NOx, and CO no greater than the corresponding emissions from such vehicles fueled with the primary designated clean fuel, as determined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating Substitute Fuels and New Clean Fuels through 2014,” as adopted November 2, 1993 amended March 22, 2012 or the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating Substitute Fuels and New Clean Fuels in 2015 and Subsequent Years,” as adopted March 22, 2012, which is incorporated herein by reference.

(2) That use of the fuel in low-emission vehicles certified on the primary designated clean fuel will result in potential health risks from exposure to benzene, 1,3-butadiene, formaldehyde, and acetadehyde in the aggregate no greater than the corresponding potential health risks for such vehicles fueled with the primary designated clean fuel, as determined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating Substitute Fuels and New Clean Fuels through 2014” or the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating Substitute Fuels and New Clean Fuels in 2015 and Subsequent Years,” as applicable, as adopted November 2, 1993, which is incorporated herein by reference.

(3) That if the proposed substitute fuel may be used to fuel any motor vehicle other than low-emission vehicles certified on the primary designated clean fuel:

(A) Use of the substitute fuel in such other motor vehicles would not increase emissions of NMOG (on a reactivity-adjusted basis), NOx, and CO as determined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating the Emission Impacts of Substitute Fuels or and New Clean Fuels through 2014” or the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating Substitute Fuels and New Clean Fuels in 2015 and Subsequent Years,” as applicable, as adopted November 2, 1993, which is incorporated herein by reference; and

(B) Use of the substitute fuel in such other motor vehicles would result in potential health risks from exposure to benzene, 1,3-butadiene, formaldehyde, and acetadehyde in the aggregate no greater than the
corresponding potential health risk from the emissions from such vehicles when operating on their customary fuel, as determined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating the Emission Impacts of Substitute Fuels or and New Clean Fuels through 2014” or the “California Test Procedure for Evaluating Substitute Fuels and New Clean Fuels in 2015 and Subsequent Years,” as applicable,” as adopted November 2, 1993, which are incorporated herein by reference; and

(C) Use of the substitute fuel in such other motor vehicles would not result in increased deterioration of the emission control system on the vehicle and would not void the warranties of any such vehicles.

* * * *

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 39600, 39601, 39667, 43013, 43018 and 43101, Health and Safety Code; and Western Oil and Gas Ass’n. v. Orange County Air Pollution Control District, 14 Cal. 3d 411, 121 Cal. Rptr. 249 (1975). Reference: Sections 39000, 39001, 39002, 39003, 39500, 39515, 39516, 39667, 43000, 43013, 43018 and 43101, Health and Safety Code; and Western Oil and Gas Ass’n. v. Orange County Air Pollution Control District, 14 Cal. 3d 411, 121 Cal. Rptr. 249 (1975).