

# Biodiesel and Renewable Diesel Emissions Study (Regulated Emissions)

Dec. 8<sup>th</sup>, 2010

Kwangsam Na


Heavy Duty Diesel Emission Testing Laboratory  
Mobile Source Control Division

California Environmental Protection Agency



## Objectives

To look at the impact of blend levels, feed stocks, and driving cycles on regulated emissions and a global warming gas.



2

# Emission Testing

3

## Vehicles Tested

Veh. #1: 2000 Freightliner C15 Caterpillar



Veh. #2: 2006 International ISM 370



Veh. #3: 2008 Freightliner Mercedes Benz MBE 4000




4

## Description on vehicles and fuels tested


Make/model/year	Emission Control Devices	Odometer (miles)	Inertia weight (lbm)	Engine Displacement (liter)	Horse power/ Torque	Test fuels
2000 Freightliner C15 Caterpillar		34,000	Cruise: 58,744 UDDS: 43,861	14.6	550 at 1800 rpm	CARB diesel, Soy-based biodiesel (S20, S50, S100), Animal-based (A20, A50, A100), Renewable diesel (R20, R50, R100)
2006 International ISM 370	EGR	93,000	Cruise: 61,189 UDDS: 43,480	10.8	370 at 2100 rpm	CARB diesel, Soy-based biodiesel (S20, S50, S100), Animal-based (A20, A50, A100),
2008 Freightliner Mercedes Benz MBE 4000	EGR, DOC, DPF	8,000	Cruise: 57,490 UDDS: 43,480	12.8	450 at 1900 rpm	CARB diesel, Soy-based biodiesel (S20, S50, S100), Animal-based (A20, A50, A100), <sup>5</sup>

## Driving Cycles Tested

**I. Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS): low load cycle**



**II. 50 mph Highway Cruise: High load cycle**



6

## Emission Data Measured

### Regulated components

- Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>)
- Particulate Matter (PM)
- Total Hydrocarbons (THC)
- Carbon Monoxide (CO)
  
- NO<sub>2</sub> fractions in NO<sub>x</sub>

### Non-regulated components

- Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)
- Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

7

## Constant Volume Sampling (CVS) Dilution Tunnel and PM sampling Conditions

Horiba full flow dilution tunnel



Horiba PM filter unit



- Dilution air temp.: 25±5 °C
- Heated filter temp.: 47±5 °C
- Filter face velocity: 100±10 cm/s

# PM and Gas Analysis

## ● PM: Mettler Toledo UMX2 Micro Balance



Readability: 0.1 µg

## ● Gases: Horiba MEXA 7200D Exhaust Gas Analyzer




## ● Detector for Gas Analysis

- CO, CO<sub>2</sub>: NDIR (Non-dispersive infrared)
- THC: FID (flame ionization detector)
- NO<sub>x</sub>: CLD (Chemi-luminescence detector)


# Test Results

# Drift of NO<sub>x</sub> Emission for 2000 Vehicle





11


# PM Emissions




12



# THC Emissions




# CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions





## NO<sub>2</sub> Fractions in total NO<sub>x</sub> emissions



17

## Summary

- Average PM, THC and CO emission rates decreased with increasing blend level of biodiesel regardless of the driving cycles and the vehicle model year.
- For the 2008 vehicle, THC and CO emissions sharply dropped when the DOC is warmed up and were not affected by biodiesel concentration. PM emissions were close to or below detection limit. In other words, the use of biodiesel did not show any benefits in the reduction of THC, CO and PM emissions when used with DOC/DPF.
- The 2008 vehicle equipped with DOC and DPF showed the lowest regulated pollutant emissions among the vehicles tested. However, this vehicle was not effective at reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

18

Continued on next slide

## Summary (Contd)

---

- $\text{NO}_x$  significantly increased for 50% and higher biodiesel blends regardless of the driving cycles and the vehicle model year. Increase in  $\text{NO}_x$  emission was most noticeable for the 2008 vehicle.
- For renewable diesel,  $\text{NO}_x$  shows a decreasing trend with increasing blend level for both driving cycles. However, its significant increase was observed for pure renewable diesel.
- For the 2000 vehicle with no  $\text{NO}_x$  control device (EGR), more  $\text{NO}_x$  was emitted for the highway cruise cycle (having high load) than the UDDS cycle (with lower load). However, this emission pattern was opposite for vehicles equipped with EGR, showing a better  $\text{NO}_x$  reduction efficiency under higher load driving cycle.

19

Continued on next slide

## Summary (contd)

---

- $\text{CO}_2$  emissions were not significantly impacted by biodiesel blend levels for different vehicle model year except for renewable diesel blend levels higher than 50% which significantly decreased  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions for both driving cycles.
- No significant impact of biodiesel was found on  $\text{NO}_2$  fractions for all vehicles tested. The  $\text{NO}_2$  fractions were the highest for the 2008 vehicle equipped with a DOC. The 2006 vehicle equipped with EGR showed a higher  $\text{NO}_2$  fraction than the 2000 vehicle with no EGR.

20



**Thank you for your attention !!!**