

2006 Area Source Emissions Inventory Methodology 060 - COMMERCIAL NATURAL GAS COMBUSTION

I. Purpose

This document describes the Area Source Methodology used to estimate emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), fine particulate matter less then 10 microns (PM₁₀), volatile organic compounds (VOC), and sulfur oxides (SO_x) from commercial natural gas combustion in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin. An area source is a collection of similar emission units within a geographic area (i.e., a County). Area sources collectively represent individual sources that are small and numerous, and that may not have been inventoried as specific point, mobile, or biogenic sources. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has grouped these individual sources with other like sources into area source categories. These source categories are grouped in such a way that they can be estimated collectively using one methodology.

II. Applicability

The emission calculations from this Area Source Methodology apply to facilities that are identified by the following Category of Emission Source (CES) codes and Reconciliation Emission Inventory Codes (REIC):

| CES | REIC | Description | | | | |
|-------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 58735 | 060-020-0110-0000 | Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Space Heating | | | | |
| 58743 | 060-030-0110-0000 | Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Water Heating | | | | |
| 47167 | 060-995-0110-0000 | Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Other | | | | |

Table 1. Emission inventory codes.

III. Point Source Reconciliation

Emissions from the area source inventory and point source inventory are reconciled against each other to prevent double counting. This is done using relationships created by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) between the area source REIC and the point sources' Standard Industry Classification (SIC) code and emissions process Source Category Code (SCC) combinations. The area sources in this methodology reconcile against processes in our point source inventory with the SIC/SCC combinations listed in Appendix A.

IV. Methodology Description

This area source methodology is a top down estimation of natural gas consumption in the commercial sector. Natural gas consumption for California was obtained from the California Energy Commission (CEC) (Gough, 2007) then apportioned into three end use categories (space heating, water heating and other) using data obtained from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) (PG&E, 1999). To avoid double counting, this information was reconciled with the District's Point Source Inventory to obtain the area source consumption of natural gas within the commercial sector of the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.

V. Activity Data

<u>Consumption.</u> The amount of natural gas consumed in the commercial sector for each county in the District in 2006 was obtained from the CEC (Gough, 2007). The process rates reported through the District's point source inventory were subtracted from the total process rates reported by the CEC. The difference represents the reconciled area source process rates:

| County | Total Process Rate | Total Process Rate Point Source Process Rate | |
|-------------|--------------------|---|--------|
| Fresno | 9,695 | 1,974 | 7,721 |
| Kern | 5,530 | 2,213 | 3,317 |
| Kings | 1,029 | 803 | 226 |
| Madera | 675 | 128 | 547 |
| Merced | 917 | 95 | 822 |
| San Joaquin | 6,543 | 1,581 | 4,962 |
| Stanislaus | 3,568 | 917 | 2,651 |
| Tulare | 2,042 | 342 | 1,700 |
| Total | 29,999 | 8,053 | 21,946 |

Table 2. Commercial natural gas deliveries in 2006 (MMSCF).

<u>Categorization</u>. Consumption of natural gas within the district was apportioned into our three end use categories (space heating, water heating and other) as described by a Sonoma Technologies, Inc. report titled "Commercial and Industrial Fuel Combustion" (STI, 2002). They based their findings on a 1999 report prepared by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) titled "Commercial Building Survey Report" (PG&E, 1999). The following tables present San Joaquin Valley commercial gas consumption by end use and assumed combustion process:

| End Use Category | Commercial Gas Consumption (%) | Combustion Process | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Space heating | 32% | Small boiler | |
| Water heating | 20% | Small boiler | |
| Other: | | | |
| Cooling | 5% | Turbine | |
| Cooking | 10% | Approximated as small boiler | |
| | | 60% small boiler | |
| Process heat/machinery | 29% | 20% turbine | |
| | | 20% engine | |
| Misc. | 4% | 50% turbine, 50% engine | |

| Table 3. Co | nmercial natural gas consumption by end use and combustion | |
|-------------|--|--|
| р | ocess (Stanislaus and San Joaquin Counties). | |

Table 4. Commercial natural gas consumption by end use and combustion process (Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, and Tulare Counties).

| End Use Category | Commercial Gas Consumption (%) | Combustion Process |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Space heating | 35% | Small boiler |
| Water heating | 32% | Small boiler |
| Other: | | |
| Cooling | 2% | Turbine |
| Cooking | 26% | Approximated as small boiler |
| | | 60% small boiler |
| Process heat/machinery | 2% | 20% turbine |
| | | 20% engine |
| Misc. | 3% | 50% turbine, 50% engine |

VI. Emission Factors

CO, NOx, VOC and PM₁₀ emission factors for commercial natural gas combustion were obtained from EPA's AP-42. The SOx emission factor for all processes was obtained from San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Policy APR 1720, Section II. For turbines, the NOx, VOC, CO, and PM₁₀ emission factors were taken from AP-42, section 3.1, tables 3.1-1 and 3.1-2a (EPA, 2000a). The VOC emission factor was the result of taking the Total Organic Compound (TOC) emission factor and applying the California Air Resources Board's (CARB) speciation profile for the EICs covered in this methodology (see Section XII - ARB Chemical Speciation). This resulted in a higher, and therefore, more conservative emission factor for VOC.

For reciprocating internal combustion (IC) engines, the NOx, VOC, CO, and PM_{10} emission factors were taken from AP-42, section 3.2, table 3.2-2 (EPA, 2000b). The NOx and CO emission factors were used under the assumption that the IC engines are 4-stroke lean-burn engines operating at normal conditions and less than 90%

load. The Total Organic Gas (TOG) emission factor was speciated into a VOC emission factor using CARB's speciation profiles (just like the VOC emission factor for the turbines). The PM_{10} emission factor was also taken from the same table. In an effort to provide a more conservative estimate, the total PM (condensable) emission factor was speciated into PM_{10} emissions using CARB's speciation profiles.

For small boilers, the NOx, VOC, CO, and PM_{10} emission factors were taken from AP-42, section 1.4, tables 1.4-1 and 1.4-2 (EPA, 1998). The NOx and CO emission factors were taken under the assumption that these were small, uncontrolled boilers. The VOC emission factor was taken directly from AP-42 because it would be higher than the speciated TOC emission factor and therefore be a more conservative emission factor. The PM_{10} emission factor was obtained by applying the CARB speciation profile to the total PM emission factor.

| Combustion Process | NO _x | со | SOx | VOC | PM ₁₀ |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| Turbines | 326 | 84 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 6.7 |
| IC Engines | 864 | 568 | 2.9 | 633 | 10.2 |
| Small Boilers | 100 | 84 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 7.7 |

 Table 5. Commercial natural gas combustion emission factors (lb/MMSCF).

VII. Emissions Calculations

A. Assumptions

- 1. Natural gas deliveries are accurately reported by the California Energy Commission.
- 2. PG&E's survey of the end-use consumption of natural gas within it's electric service territory accurately describes the end-use consumption of natural gas within the District.
- 3. The emission factors from AP-42 are accurate.
- 4. The scheme devised by STI for allocating natural gas deliveries to commercial combustion devices and their selections of emission factors are valid.
- 5. Internal combustion engines within this methodology are considered to be 4stroke lean-burn engines.

B. Sample Calculations

The emissions for each criteria pollutant within this area source methodology can be calculated using the following equation:

$$Emissions\left(\frac{tons}{yr}\right) = Fuel\ Consumption\left(\frac{MMSCF}{yr}\right) \times (\%\ End\ Use\) \times Emission\ Factor\left(\frac{lbs\ of\ Emissions}{MMSCF}\right) \times \left(\frac{1\ ton}{2000\ lbs}\right)$$

For NO_x emissions due to natural gas combustion in commercial space heaters in Fresno County:

<u>Given:</u>

- 1. 2006 area source consumption for commercial natural gas combustion in Fresno County was 7,721 MMSCF (million std. cubic feet).
- 2. In this service area, 35% of commercial end use was for space heating.
- 3. All commercial space heating due to natural gas combustion is provided by small boilers.
- 4. The NOx emission factor for commercial small boilers is 100 pounds per million cubic feet of natural gas burned.

Calculate Emissions:

$$NOx \ Emissions = \left(\frac{7,721 \ million \ cu \ ft}{Year}\right) \times (0.35) \times \left(\frac{100 \ lb \ of \ NOx}{million \ cu \ ft}\right) = \frac{270,235 \ lbs \ of \ NOx}{Year}$$
$$NOx \ Emissions = \left(\frac{270,235 \ lbs \ of \ NOx}{Year}\right) \times \left(\frac{1 \ ton}{2,000 \ lbs}\right) = \frac{135.1 \ tons \ of \ NOx}{Year}$$

VIII. Temporal Variation

A. Daily

ARB Code 24. 24 hours per day - uniform activity during the day.

B. Weekly

ARB Code 7. 7 days per week - uniform activity every day of the week

C. Monthly

Monthly activity in California is higher in the winter months as illustrated by 2006 commercial delivery data from the U.S. Department of Energy's Energy Information Administration (EIA) seen below.

| Month (2006) | Natural Gas Consumption (million cubic feet) | Activity Level (% of annual) |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|
| January | 24,730 | 10.1% |
| February | 23,938 | 9.8% |
| March | 24,062 | 9.8% |
| April | 21,985 | 9.0% |
| May | 18,659 | 7.6% |
| June | 16,958 | 6.9% |
| July | 15,204 | 6.2% |
| August | 17,944 | 7.3% |
| September | 16,993 | 7.0% |
| October | 18,536 | 7.6% |
| November | 20,041 | 8.2% |
| December | 25,383 | 10.4% |
| Total | 244,433 | 100% |

Table 6. Monthly natural gas consumption activity.

IX. Spatial Variation

Commercial natural gas deliveries in 2006 for each county in the SJVAPCD were provided by the California Energy Commission and were presented previously in Section V. Within each County, activity can be assigned to parcels zoned for commercial activity.

X. Growth Factor

Growth factors are developed by either the District's Planning Department or CARB for each EIC. These factors are used to estimate emissions in future years. The growth factors associated with this emissions category may be obtained from the Air Quality Analysis Section of the District's Planning Department.

XI. Control Level

Control levels are developed by either the District's Planning Department or CARB for each EIC. Control levels are used to estimate emissions reductions in future years due to implementation of District rules. These control levels take into account the effect of control technology, compliance and exemptions at full implementation of the rules. The control factors associated with this emissions category may be obtained from the Air Quality Analysis Section of the District's Planning Department.

XII. ARB Chemical Speciation

CARB has developed organic gas profiles in order to calculate reactive organic gasses (ROG), volatile organic compounds (VOC) or total organic gas (TOG) given any one of the three values. For each speciation profile, the fraction of TOG that is ROG and VOC is given. The organic gas profile codes can also be used to look up associated toxics. CARB's speciation profiles for commercial natural gas combustion are presented in Table 7. Organic gas profile #3 is applied to REICs 060-020-0110-0000 (Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Space Heating), 060-030-0110-0000 (Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Water Heating) and 060-995-0110-0000 (Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Other).

Table 7. CARB chemical speciation profiles for REIC's 060-020-0110-0000, 060-030-0110-0000, and 060-995-0110-0000.

| Profile Description | CARB Organic | Fractions | |
|--|--------------|-----------|----------|
| | Gas Profile# | ROG | VOC |
| External Combustion Boiler Natural Gas | 3 | 0.422181 | 0.422181 |

CARB has developed particulate matter speciation profiles in order to calculate particulate matter (PM), particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 10 microns (PM_{10}) or particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to 2.5 microns ($PM_{2.5}$) given any one of the three values. For each speciation profile, the fraction of PM that is PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ is given. The particulate matter profile codes can also be used to lookup associated toxics. CARB's speciation profile for REICs 060-020-0110-0000 (Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Space Heating), 060-030-0110-0000 (Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Water Heating) and 060-995-0110-0000 (Commercial Natural Gas Combustion – Other) is presented in Table 8.

 Table 8. CARB chemical speciation profiles for REIC's

060-020-0110-0000, 060-030-0110-0000, and 060-995-0110-0000.

| Profile Description | CARB PM | Fractions | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Prome Description | Profile# | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} | |
| Gaseous Material Combustion | 120 | 1 | 1 | |

XIII. Assessment Of Methodology

This is a top down estimation of area source emissions from natural gas combustion by the commercial sector. Due to the many assumptions necessary for this type of estimation, we have tried to be as conservative as possible.

XIV. Emissions

Following is the 2006 area source emissions inventory for REIC's 060-020-0110-0000, 060-030-0110-0000, and 060-995-0110-0000 estimated by this methodology. Emissions are reported for each county in the District.

| County | | | | (tons/yea | | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| County | NOx | CO | SOx | VOC ⁽¹⁾ | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} ⁽²⁾ |
| COMMERCIA | L NATUF | RAL GAS C | OMBUST | ON – SPA | CE HEATIN | NG |
| Fresno | 135.1 | 113.5 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 10.4 | N/A |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 58.0 | 48.8 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 4.5 | N/A |
| Kings | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Madera | 9.6 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | N/A |
| Merced | 14.4 | 12.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 79.4 | 66.7 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 6.1 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 42.4 | 35.6 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.3 | N/A |
| Tulare | 29.8 | 25.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.3 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 372.6 | 313.0 | 10.8 | 20.5 | 28.7 | N/A |
| COMMERCIA | L NATUR | AL GAS C | OMBUSTI | ON – WAT | ER HEATI | NG |
| Fresno | 123.5 | 103.8 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 9.5 | N/A |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 53.1 | 44.6 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | N/A |
| Kings | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Madera | 8.8 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | N/A |
| Merced | 13.2 | 11.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 49.6 | 41.7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.8 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 26.5 | 22.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 | N/A |
| Tulare | 27.2 | 22.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 305.5 | 256.6 | 8.9 | 16.8 | 23.5 | N/A |
| СОММЕ | RCIAL N | ATURAL G | AS COME | SUSTION - | OTHER | |
| Fresno | 148.6 | 104.8 | 3.4 | 16.0 | 8.9 | N/A |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 63.8 | 45.0 | 1.4 | 6.9 | 3.8 | N/A |
| Kings | 4.3 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Madera | 10.5 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | N/A |
| Merced | 15.8 | 11.2 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 279.7 | 161.3 | 3.2 | 96.1 | 8.5 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 149.4 | 86.2 | 1.7 | 51.3 | 4.5 | N/A |
| Tulare | 32.7 | 23.1 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 704.8 | 442.0 | 11.1 | 177.1 | 29.5 | N/A |

Table 9. Area source emissions for this methodology (2006).

(1) The District only reports ROG to ARB. As noted in Section XII, ROG is the same as VOC.

(2) At this time, the District does not calculate PM2.5 emissions. PM2.5 emissions can be estimated using the speciation profiles found in Section XII.

(3) Includes both the Valley and non-Valley portions of Kern County.

Following is the 2006 point source emissions inventory for REIC's 060-020-0110-0000, 060-030-0110-0000, and 060-995-0110-0000 as reported to the District by our permit holders. Emissions are reported for each county in the District.

| County | Emissions (tons/year) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| County | NOx | CO | SOx | VOC ⁽¹⁾ | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} ⁽²⁾ | |
| COMMERCIA | L NATUF | RAL GAS C | OMBUST | ION – SPA | CE HEATII | NG | |
| Fresno | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Kings | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Madera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Merced | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| San Joaquin | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Stanislaus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Tulare | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| TOTAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| COMMERCIA | | | | | ER HEATI | | |
| Fresno | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Kings | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Madera | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Merced | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| San Joaquin | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Stanislaus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Tulare | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| TOTAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| COMME | | ATURAL G | | | OTHER | a | |
| Fresno | 59.1 | 132.0 | 24.9 | 14.2 | 7.4 | N/A | |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 58.6 | 275.2 | 2.3 | 19.7 | 10.6 | N/A | |
| Kings | 16.9 | 8.6 | 1.3 | 10.6 | 2.1 | N/A | |
| Madera | 5.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | N/A | |
| Merced | 2.7 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | N/A | |
| San Joaquin | 75.7 | 108.4 | 3.6 | 30.5 | 8.9 | N/A | |
| Stanislaus | 12.2 | 44.8 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.9 | N/A | |
| Tulare | 80.5 | 19.6 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 1.3 | N/A | |
| TOTAL | 311.0 | 594.8 | 41.2 | 80.7 | 34.8 | N/A | |

Table 10. Point source emissions for this methodology (2006).

(1) The District only reports ROG to ARB. As noted in Section XII, ROG is the same as VOC.

(2) At this time, the District does not calculate PM2.5 emissions. PM2.5 emissions can be estimated using the speciation profiles found in Section XII.

(3) Includes only the Valley portion of Kern County,

Following is the 2006 total unreconciled (point source plus area source) emissions inventory for REIC's 060-020-0110-0000, 060-030-0110-0000, and 060-995-0110-0000. Emissions are reported for each county in the District.

| County | | | | s (tons/vea | ar) | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| County | NOx | CO | SOx | VOC ⁽¹⁾ | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} ⁽²⁾ |
| COMMERCIA | L NATUF | RAL GAS C | OMBUST | ION – SPA | CE HEATI | |
| Fresno | 135.1 | 113.5 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 10.4 | N/A |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 58.0 | 48.8 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 4.5 | N/A |
| Kings | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Madera | 9.6 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | N/A |
| Merced | 14.4 | 12.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 79.4 | 66.7 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 6.1 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 42.4 | 35.6 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.3 | N/A |
| Tulare | 29.8 | 25.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.3 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 372.6 | 313.0 | 10.8 | 20.5 | 28.7 | N/A |
| COMMERCIA | L NATUR | AL GAS C | | ON – WAT | ER HEATI | |
| Fresno | 123.5 | 103.8 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 9.5 | N/A |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 53.1 | 44.6 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | N/A |
| Kings | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Madera | 8.8 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | N/A |
| Merced | 13.2 | 11.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 49.6 | 41.7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.8 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 26.5 | 22.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 | N/A |
| Tulare | 27.2 | 22.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 305.5 | 256.6 | 8.9 | 16.8 | 23.5 | N/A |
| COMME | | ATURAL G | | | - OTHER | 1 |
| Fresno | 207.7 | 236.7 | 28.2 | 30.2 | 16.3 | N/A |
| Kern ⁽³⁾ | 122.4 | 320.2 | 3.8 | 26.6 | 14.4 | N/A |
| Kings | 21.3 | 11.7 | 1.4 | 11.0 | 2.4 | N/A |
| Madera | 15.8 | 9.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | N/A |
| Merced | 18.5 | 15.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 355.4 | 269.8 | 6.8 | 126.6 | 17.4 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 161.6 | 131.0 | 2.8 | 53.5 | 8.5 | N/A |
| Tulare | 113.2 | 42.6 | 8.6 | 6.2 | 3.2 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 1015.9 | 1036.8 | 52.3 | 257.7 | 64.3 | N/A |

Table 11. Total emissions for this methodology (2006).

(1) The District only reports ROG to ARB. As noted in Section XII, ROG is the same as VOC.

(2) At this time, the District does not calculate PM2.5 emissions. PM2.5 emissions can be estimated using the speciation profiles found in Section XII.

(3) Includes both the Valley and non-Valley portions of Kern County.

Following is the net change in total unreconciled emissions between this update (2006 inventory year) and the previous update (2005 inventory year) for REIC's 060-020-0110-0000, 060-030-0110-0000, and 060-995-0110-0000. The change in emissions are reported for each county in the District.

| County | | | Emissions | s (tons/yea | r) | |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| County | NOx | CO | SOx | VOC ⁽¹⁾ | PM ₁₀ | PM _{2.5} ⁽²⁾ |
| COMMERCIA | L NATUF | RAL GAS C | OMBUST | ION – SPA | | |
| Fresno | 27.7 | 23.3 | 0.8 | -4.4 | 2.1 | N/A |
| Kern | -32.6 | -27.4 | -0.9 | -6.8 | -2.5 | N/A |
| Kings | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Madera | -0.7 | -0.6 | 0.0 | -0.6 | -0.1 | N/A |
| Merced | -2.3 | -1.9 | -0.1 | -1.0 | -0.2 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | -2.6 | -2.2 | -0.1 | -4.7 | -0.2 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | -21.0 | -17.7 | -0.6 | -4.6 | -1.6 | N/A |
| Tulare | -2.6 | -2.2 | -0.1 | -1.9 | -0.2 | N/A |
| TOTAL | -30.2 | -25.4 | -0.9 | -23.8 | -2.3 | N/A |
| COMMERCIA | | | | r | | |
| Fresno | 56.4 | 47.4 | 1.6 | -0.6 | 4.3 | N/A |
| Kern | -3.6 | -3.0 | -0.1 | -3.3 | -0.3 | N/A |
| Kings | -8.4 | -7.0 | -0.2 | -1.1 | -0.6 | N/A |
| Madera | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.2 | N/A |
| Merced | 2.7 | 2.3 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 0.2 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | -1.6 | -1.4 | 0.0 | -2.9 | -0.1 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | -13.1 | -11.0 | -0.4 | -2.9 | -1.0 | N/A |
| Tulare | 7.0 | 5.8 | 0.2 | -0.7 | 0.5 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 41.7 | 35.0 | 1.2 | -12.2 | 3.2 | N/A |
| COMME | | ATURAL G | | | | |
| Fresno | 86.5 | 81.7 | 25.8 | 12.2 | 9.1 | N/A |
| Kern | 18.1 | 11.8 | 1.1 | 8.2 | 2.7 | N/A |
| Kings | -9.3 | -33.8 | -5.1 | -1.1 | -1.0 | N/A |
| Madera | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Merced | -14.1 | -6.1 | -10.9 | -0.8 | -1.6 | N/A |
| San Joaquin | 225.4 | 133.8 | 4.4 | 94.0 | 7.4 | N/A |
| Stanislaus | 107.5 | 70.8 | 1.3 | 46.8 | -1.3 | N/A |
| Tulare | 22.8 | 9.7 | 2.2 | -0.7 | 1.3 | N/A |
| TOTAL | 440.3 | 271.2 | 19.0 | 158.9 | 17.0 | N/A |

Table 12. Net emissions change for this methodology (2006-2005)

(1) The District only reports ROG to ARB. As noted in Section XII, ROG is the same as VOC.

(2) At this time, the District does not calculate PM2.5 emissions. PM2.5 emissions can be estimated using the speciation profiles found in Section XII.

XV. Revision History

- 2007. The methodology was reformatted to the new District standard. Process rates were updated. The IC engine NOx emission factor was changed to be consistent other methodologies. The previous emission factor assumed greater than 90% load. The current methodology assumes less than 90% load per stationary IC engine. This resulted in a small reduction in NOx.
- 2006. This is a new District methodology based upon one developed by Sonoma Technology Inc. as part of the Central California Ozone Study.

XVI. Update Schedule

In an effort to provide inventory information to ARB and other District programs and maximize limited resources, the District has developed an update cycle based on emissions within the source category as shown in Table 13.

| Total Emissions (tons/day) | Update Cycle (years) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <=1 | 4 |
| >1 and <= 2.5 | 3 |
| >2.5 and <=5 | 2 |
| >5 | 1 |

 Table 13. Area source update frequency criteria.

Since EIC 060-995-0110-0000 has emissions of greater than 2.5 tons but less than 5 tons per day, these area source estimates will be updated every two years.

 Table 14. District methodology update frequency.

| EIC | Frequency (years) | Source of Emissions (Point Source Inventory / Data Gathering) |
|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| 060-020-0110-0000 | 2 | Point Source Inventory / Data Gathering |
| 060-030-0110-0000 | 2 | Point Source Inventory / Data Gathering |
| 060-995-0110-0000 | 2 | Point Source Inventory / Data Gathering |

XVII. References

- 1. ARB CEIDARs database. http://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/dist/rpts/sub_eic.php
- 2. California Gas Utilities. California gas report 2005 supplement. <u>http://www.pge.com/pipeline/library/regulatory/downloads/cgr05.pdf</u>.

- Gough, A., 2007. E-mailed 2006 natural gas delivery data from the California Energy Commission, Sacramento, CA to Yu Vu, San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD. (May 30, 2007)
- 4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 1999. Commercial Building Survey Report. 28 pp. <u>http://www.pge.com/biz/energy_tools_resources/building_survey/</u>
- 5. San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Policy APR 1720 Section II (12/20/2001).
- 6. Sonoma Technology, Inc. (STI), 2002. Central California Ozone Study, Attachment A: Commercial and industrial fuel combustion. <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/ei/areasrc/ccosmethods.html</u>
- 7. U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration (EIA). EIA's Natural Gas Production & Use by California. <u>http://www.eia.doe.gov/</u>
- 8. U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration (EIA). Natural Gas Consumption by End Use (commercial sector, monthly). (May 12, 2008) <u>http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n3020ca2m.htm</u>
- 9. U.S. EPA, 1998. AP 42 Section 1.4: Natural Gas Combustion. U.S. GPO, Washington D.C. <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/</u>
- 10.U.S. EPA, 2000a. AP 42 Section 3.1: Stationary Gas Turbines. U.S. GPO, Washington D.C. <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/</u>
- 11.U.S. EPA, 2000b. AP 42 Section 3.2: Natural Gas-Fired Reciprocating Engines. U.S. GPO, Washington D.C. <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ap42/</u>

XVIII. Appendices

Appendix A. Inventory Reconciliation Codes

| Combustion |
|------------|
| Gas |
| Natural |
| Commercial |
| Ĭ |
| 090 |

Appendix A. Inventory Reconciliation Codes

Table 15. EIC, SCC and SIC codes in the District's 2006 point source inventory that reconciled to REIC 060-020-0110-000.

| SIC | 8062 |
|------|--|
| SCCN | EXTCOMB BOILER - SPACE HEATER - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS |
| scc | 10500206 |
| EIC | 60-020-0110-0000 |

Table 16. EIC, SCC and SIC codes in the District's 2006 point source inventory that reconciled to REIC 060-030-0110-000.

| | SIC | None |
|---|------|------|
| - | SCCN | None |
| | scc | None |
| | EIC | None |

Table 17 EIC SCC and SIC codes in the District's 2006 point source inventory that reconciled to BEIC 060-995-0110-000

| CI | 000 | NCCO | |
|------------------|----------|---|--|
| EIC | SUC | SCCN | SIC |
| E2 00E 0110 0000 | 10300602 | EXTCOMB BOILER - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - 10- 100MMBTU/HR | 723, 2011, 2022, 2032, 2033, 2048, 2099, 4221 |
| 0000-01-0-000-20 | 10300603 | EXTCOMB BOILER - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - <10MMBTU/HR | 173, 723, 724, 741 |
| 52-010-0110-0000 | 30290003 | FOOD/AGRICULTURE - FUEL-FIRED EQPMNT - PROCESS HEATERS - NAT GAS | 5149, 5153, 5812 |
| 52-070-0110-0000 | 39000699 | INDUSTRIAL PROCES - INPROCESS FUEL - NATURAL GAS - NOT CLASSIFIED | 723 |
| | 10300601 | EXTCOMB BOILER - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - >100MMBTU/HR | 7261, 8733 |
| | | | 4612, 4931, 5143, |
| | | | 5149, 5162, 7211, |
| | 10200605 | EXTCOMB BOILER - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - 10- | 7213, 7218, 7261, |
| | 20000001 | 100MMBTU/HR | 7389, 8062, 8063, |
| | | | 8069, 8211, 8221, |
| 0000-0110-000-00 | | | 8222, 8322 |
| | | | 4612, 4952, 4959, |
| | | | 4961, 5144, 5162, |
| | | EXTCOMB BOILER - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - | 5191, 5541, 7211, |
| | choncel | <10MMBTU/HR | 7216, 7261, 7532, |
| | | | 7694, 8062, 8221, |
| | | | 8222, 9199 |

| | 30290003 | FOOD/AGRICULTURE - FUEL-FIRED EQPMNT - PROCESS HEATERS - NAT GAS | 2431, 3273 |
|-------------------|----------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | 30600105 | PETROLEUM INDRY - PETROLEUM REFNG - PROCESS HEATERS - NATURAL GAS-FIRED | 4612, 5171, 7538 |
| 60-010-0110-0000 | 31000404 | OIL & GAS PRODN - FUEL-FIRED EQPMNT - PROCESS HEATERS - NATURAL GAS | 4612, 4911 |
| | 31390003 | ELECTRICAL EQPMNT - FUEL FIRED EQPMNT - PROCESS HEATERS - NAT GAS | 7694 |
| | 39990003 | INDUSTRIAL PROCES - MIS IND-FUEL EQPT - PROCESS HEATERS - NAT GAS | 4212, 7218, 7532 |
| 60-012-0110-0000 | 40201001 | ORGANIC SOLVENT - SURFACE COATING - OVEN HEATER - NATURAL GAS | 2759, 5511, 7336, 7532, 7629 |
| | | | 4612, 4813, 4922, 4923 4924 4941 |
| | | INTERNLCOMBUSTION - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - | 4952, 4971, 5141, |
| 60-040-0110-0000 | | RECIPROCATING | 5311, 5541, 5651, |
| | | | 5945, 6022, 7389, 8062, 8069 |
| | 20300301 | INTERNLCOMBUSTION - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - GASOLINE - RECIPROCATING | 9532 |
| 60-045-0110-0000 | 20300202 | INTERNLCOMBUSTION - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - TURBINE | 4612, 4922, 4931, 4952, 9223 |
| 60-070-0110-0000 | 39000689 | INDUSTRIAL PROCES - INPROCESS FUEL - NATURAL GAS - NOT CLASSIFIED | 4952, 5511, 7532 |
| | 20100202 | INTERNLCOMBUSTION - ELECTRIC GENERATN - NATURAL GAS - RECIPROCATING | 4841, 4932, 9221 |
| 60-995-0110-0000 | 20300201 | INTERNLCOMBUSTION - COMMERCL-INSTUTNL - NATURAL GAS - RECIPROCATING | 4111, 9224 |
| | 30291001 | FOOD/AGRICULTURE - FUEL-FIRED EQPMNT - BROILING FOOD - NATURAL GAS | 2011, 5812, 7996 |
| | 30390013 | PRIMARY METALS - FUEL-FIRED EQPMNT - INCINERATORS - NAT GAS | 7694 |
| 0000-01-0-001-000 | 39990013 | INDUSTRIAL PROCES - MIS IND-FUEL EQPT - INCINERATORS - NAT GAS | 4953, 7261 |