MEETING STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

CALEPA HEADQUARTERS BYRON SHER AUDITORIUM SECOND FLOOR 1001 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2018

9:15 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

BOARD MEMBERS: Ms. Mary Nichols, Chair Ms. Sandra Berg, Vice Chair Dr. John Balmes Hector De La Torre Mr. John Eisenhut Senator Dean Florez Assembly Member Eduardo Garcia Ms. Judy Mitchell Mrs. Barbara Riordan Supervisor Ron Roberts Supervisor Phil Serna Dr. Alexander Sherriffs Professor Dan Sperling Ms. Diane Takvorian STAFF: Mr. Richard Corey, Executive Officer Ms. Edie Chang, Deputy Executive Officer Mr. Steve Cliff, Deputy Executive Officer Mr. Kurt Karperos, Deputy Executive Officer Ms. Ellen Peter, Chief Counsel Ms. Emily Wimberger, Chief Economist Ms. Veronica Eady, Assistant Executive Officer

A P P E A R A N C E S

APPEARANCES CONTINUED STAFF: Ms. La Ronda Bowen, Ombudsman Mr. Gerhard Achtelik, Manager, Advanced Clean Cars Branch, Emission Compliance, Automotive Regulations and Science Division (ECARS) Ms. Brieanne Aquila, Branch Chief, Program Planning and Management Branch, Industrial Strategies Division(ISD) Mr. Michael Benjamin, Division Chief, Air Quality Planning and Science Division (AQPSD) Ms. Analisa Bevan, Assistant Division Chief, ECARS Mr. Ben Carrier, Attorney, Legal Office Mr. Dave Edwards, Assistant Division Chief, AQPSD Mr. Rhead Enion, Senior Attorney, Legal Office Mr. Jason Gray, Branch Chief, Climate Change Program Evaluation Branch, ISD Ms. Alexandra Kamel, Attorney, Legal Office Ms. Elise Keddie, Manager, ZEV Implementation Section, ECARS Ms. Elizabeth Melgoza, Air Pollution Specialist, Central Valley Air Quality Planning Section, AQPSD Mr. Rajinder Sahota, Assistant Division Chief, ISD Ms. Abajh Singh, Air Pollution Specialist, Emission and Data Quality Assurance Section, ISD Mr. Mark Sippola, Manager, Program Development Section, ISD Mr. Webster Tasat, Manager, Central Valley Air Quality Planning Section, AQPSD Ms. Sylvia Vanderspek, Branch Chief, Air Quality Planning Branch, AQPSD

APPEARANCES CONTINUED STAFF: Mr. Floyd Vergara, Division Chief, ISD Mr. Daniel Whitney, Senior Attorney, Legal Office Mr. Mark Williams, Air Pollution Specialist, ZEV Implementation Section, ECARS ALSO PRESENT: Bishop Lovester Adams, Baptist Ministers Conference of Los Angeles Mr. Reed Addis, Valley Clean Air Now Mr. Will Barrett, American Lung Association Mr. Terry Bassett, Yolo Bus Ms. Susie Berlin, Northern California Power Agency, Golden State Power Cooperative Mr. Brian Biering, Turlock Irrigation District Mr. Steve Bloch, ABB Mr. Bill Boyce, Sacramento Municipal Utility District Mr. Tony Brunello, EV Box Mr. Abdellah Cherkaoui, Volta Mr. Josh Cohen, SemaConnect Mr. Peter Cooper, Lucid Motors Mr. Danny Cullenward, Near Zero Mr. Manuel Cunha, Jr., Nisei Farmers League Ms. Tanya DeRivi, Southern California Public Power Authority

APPEARANCES CONTINUED ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Matt Dessert, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District Mr. Matt Dulich, University of California, Davis Mr. Joel Espino, Greenlining Institute Ms. Anna Fero, Paul Hastings Mr. Luis Flores, Mexicali, Baja California Mr. Simeon Gant, GreenTech Mr. Johnny Garcia Mr. Juan Garcia, PG Cutting Services Ms. Hannah Goldsmith, California Electric Transportation Coalition Ms. Jennifer Gress, Office of Sacramento Mayor Darrell Steinberg Mr. Matthew Hargrove, California Business Properties Association Mr. Jarett Hausske, Eleven, Inc. Mr. Brian Henderson Ms. Lois Henry, BizFed Central Valley Mr. Paul Hernandez, Envoy Technologies Ms. Ashey Horvat, Greenlots Ms. Obrie Hostetter, Hubject, Inc. Mr. Alex Jackson, Natura Resources Defense Council Ms. Lourdes Jimenez, San Diego Gas and Electric Ms. Michelle Kaus, BrixMor

APPEARANCES CONTINUED ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Konrad Konczeuski, BTCPower Mr. Mark Krausse, Pacific Gas and Electric Mr. Minh Le, City of Los Angeles Mr. Lloyd Levine, Sacrament Electric Vehicle Association, Sacrament Plug-In Vehicle Collaborative Ms. Maryline Lewett, Black and Veatch Mr. Bill Magavern, Coalition for Clean Air Mr. Paul Maggay, SoCalGas Ms. Eva Maina, Assembly Member Kevin McCarty Ms. Xavier Maltese, AAA Ms. Ayaka Matsuo, Marubeni Mr. Frank Meza, BTCPower Mr. Matt McClory, Toyota Ms. Casey McFall, Self-Help for the Elderly Mr. Mark McLanahan, MaxGen Mr. Derek Middleton, Innogy Mr. Luis Olmedo, Comite Civico Del Valley Ms. Danielle Osborn Mills, American Wind Energy Association Mr. Max Perry, City of Long Beach Mr. Michael Pimentel, City of Los Angeles Mayor's Office Ms. Sara Rafalson, EVgo Ms. Angelina Rahimi, Franklin Neighborhood Development Corporation

APPEARANCES CONTINUED ALSO PRESENT: Ms. Jessica Rhodes, 3fold Communications Mr. Judy Robinson, County of Sacramento Mr. Katelyn Roedner Sutter, Environmental Defense Fund Ms. Ellah Ronen, LA n Sync Mr. Spencer Saks, The Gualco Group Ms. Phoebe Seaton, Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability Mr. Jeffrey Serfass, California Hydrogen Business Council Mr. Michael Shaw, California Manufacturers and Technology Association Ms. Robin Shropshire, Panoche Energy Center Ms. Anne Smart, ChargePoint Ms. Stephanie Tsai, Climate Justice Program Association Mr. Timothy Tutt, Sacramento Municipal Utility District Ms. Iris Verduzco, Urban and Environmental Policy Institute Mr. Steven Wallauch, Platinum Advisors Mr. Peter Weiner, Paul Hastings Ms. Emily Weissinger, Ramboll

INDEX PAGE Call to Order 1 Pledge of Allegiance 1 Roll Call 1 2 Opening remarks by Vice Chair Berg Item 18-10-1 Chair Nichols 5 Motion 6 Vote 6 Tribute to Board Member Roberts 6 Item 18-10-9 Chair Nichols 15 15 Executive Officer Corey Staff Presentation 16 30 Mr. Palazzo Board Discussion and Q&A 37 57 Ms. Maina 59 Ms. Robinson Ms. Gress 61 Mr. Pimentel 62 Mr. Le 64 Ms. Smart 66 Mr. Serfass 68 Mr. Wallauch 70 Mr. Hernandez 70 Mr. Garcia 72 Mr. Dulich 73 Mr. Bloch 75 77 Mr. Addis 78 Ms. Jimenez 79 Ms. Matsuo Mr. McClory 81 82 Mr. Cooper Mr. Maltese 84 Ms. Rhodes 84 Mr. McLanahan 86 Ms. Hostetter 87 89 Ms. Kaus Ms. Rahimi 90 92 Mr. Saks Ms. Seaton 92

INDEX CONTINUED	PAGE
<pre>Item 18-10-9(continued) Mr. Hausske Mr. Levine Mr. Gant Mr. Bassett Mr. Henderson Ms. McFall Ms. Osborn Mills Mr. Cohen Mr. Boyce Mr. Hargrove Ms. Roedner Sutter Ms. Rafalson Ms. Horvat Mr. Magavern Mr. Espino Ms. Lewett Ms. Verduzco Ms. Goldsmith Mr. Barrett Mr. Middleton Mr. Konczeuski Mr. Meza Mr. Brunello Mr. Magay Mr. Cherkaoui Mr. Ronen Motion Board Discussion and Q&amp;A Vote</pre>	94 96 97 99 100 101 103 104 106 107 109 110 112 115 116 118 119 121 122 124 125 125 126 127 128 130 131 131 155
Afternoon Session	158
Items 18-10-6 and 18-10-7 Chair Nichols Executive Officer Corey Staff Presentation Ms. DeRivi Ms. Roedner Sutter Ms. Fero Mr. Weiner Mr. Weiner Mr. Tutt Mr. Maggay Ms. Berlin Mr. Cunha Mr. Cullenward	158 159 161 176 176 178 179 181 183 185 187 189

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D PAGE Items 18-10-6 and 18-10-7 (coontinueed) 192 Mr. Adams Mr. Garcia 194 195 Mr. Biering 196 Ms. Shropshire 197 Ms. Henry 198 Mr. Jackson Mr. Krausse 200 Mr. Tsai 202 Mr. Shaw 203 Mr. Perry 205 205 Board Discussion and Q&A 206 Motion Board Discussion and Q&A 206 Vote 226 Item 18-10-4 Vice Chair Berg 226 227 Executive Officer Corey Staff Presentation 228 Mr. Flores 242 Ms. Dessert 248 Ms. Weissinger 252 255 Mr. Olmedo Board Discussion and Q&A 258 Motion 266 Vote 266 267 Adjournment 268 Reporter's Certificate

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	VICE CHAIR BERG: Good morning, everyone. Chair
3	Nichols along with a couple of other of our Board members
4	are making their way in. I understand there is an
5	accident on the 5 freeway, so we're going to go ahead and
6	just get started, and then welcome them. And the meeting
7	will, of course, then be turned over to Chair Nichols.
8	So good morning the December 13th, 2018 public
9	meeting of the California Air Resources Board will come to
10	order. And we will start the meeting with the Pledge of
11	Allegiance.
12	(Thereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was
13	Recited in unison.)
14	VICE CHAIR BERG: And if I can have the clerk
15	call the roll, please.
16	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Dr. Balmes?
17	BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Here.
18	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Mr. De La Torre?
19	BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Here.
20	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Mr. Eisenhut?
21	BOARD MEMBER EISENHUT: Here.
22	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Senator Florez?
23	Assembly Member Garcia?
24	Supervisor Gioia?
25	Senator Lara?

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Ms. Mitchell? 1 BOARD MEMBER MITCHELL: Here. 2 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Mrs. Riordan? 3 BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Here. 4 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Supervisor Roberts? 5 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: Here. 6 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Supervisor Serna? 7 BOARD MEMBER SERNA: Here. 8 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Dr. Sherriffs? 9 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Here. 10 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Professor Sperling? 11 BOARD MEMBER SPERLING: Here. 12 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Ms. Takvorian? 13 Vice Chair Berg? 14 VICE CHAIR BERG: Here. 15 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Chair Nichols? 16 CHAIR NICHOLS: Here. 17 (Laughter.) 18 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Madam Chair, we have a 19 20 quorum. VICE CHAIR BERG: Well, welcome. 21 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 22 VICE CHAIR BERG: And especially since you're 23 just getting back from your trip to Prague and the 24 climate. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1	CHAIR NICHOLS: I wish it had been Prague It was
2	not Prague. It was Katowice, Poland, a city where people
3	still burn soft coal mixed with garbage to heat their
4	homes. This is a way of life in a number of cities in
5	Poland. And one of the opportunities I had on this trip
6	was to meet with the representative of an organization
7	that's working hard on air pollution, as well as climate
8	issues in Poland. And they're still struggling to convert
9	a society where many, many people live in essentially
10	uninsulated homes, and have this horrible quality of fuel.
11	You step off the plane in Katowice and you just you can
12	smell the coal smoke. It's quite something. But I know
13	we're not scheduled to have a report on my trip to Poland
14	this morning, but
15	(Laughter.)
16	VICE CHAIR BERG: No, but we're grateful the fact
17	that you got off a plane late last night. And we have a
18	two-day Board meeting. And so great to have you here safe
19	and sound.
20	CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you very much.
21	VICE CHAIR BERG: We're just starting the opening
22	remarks, and shall I finish that up for you, and let
23	you
24	CHAIR NICHOLS: Sure, why don't you go ahead
25	why don't you go ahead and do that, please.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

VICE CHAIR BERG: Okay. Great. So we do have 1 some opening remarks this morning. 2 Interpretation services will be provided today in Spanish for Item number 3 18-10-6, the Proposed Amendments to the Regulation for the 4 Mandatory Reporting of the Greenhouse Gas Emissions, along 5 with item number 18-10-7, Proposed Amendments to the 6 7 California Cap-and-Trade Greenhouse Gas Emissions and the Market Based Compliant Mechanism Regulation, and 18-4 --8 I'm sorry, 18-10-4, the PM10 State Implementation Plan for 9 Imperial County. Headsets are available outside of the 10 hearing room at the attendant sign-up table and can be 11 picked up any time. 12 And we will have our translator also translate 13 that in Spanish. 14 (Thereupon the interpreter translated 15 in Spanish.) 16 VICE CHAIR BERG: Muchas gracias. 17 For safety reasons, please note the emergency 18 exits are to the rear of the room. In the event of a fire 19 alarm, we are required to evacuate this room immediately, 20 go downstairs, and out of the building. When the 21 22 all-clear signal is given, we will return to the hearing room and resume our hearing. 23 One other safety announcement, many of you travel 2.4 and bring your bags in with you, which is great. 25 We

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 appreciate you being here. But for safety reasons, we 2 need you to keep your bags with you so that our safety 3 officers will know that they belong to people.

And finally, anyone who wishes to testify should 4 fill out a request-to-speak card also available in the 5 lobby outside of the Board room. Please turn that into 6 7 the Board Assistant Clerk of the Board, prior to the commencement of the item. Speakers we will have a 8 three-minute time limit. And please state your first and 9 last name, as you're coming up to the podium. Both sides 10 of this podiums are being used today. Put your testimony 11 in your own words, because it's really easier for us to 12 follow along your line thinking, as well as we do get your 13 written comments. 14

And with that, then I will turn the meeting over to Chair Nichols.

17 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you so much Vice Chair 18 Berg. I think they've added a new line to this script 19 about taking the bags with you. This is a change.

(Laughter.)

20

21 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Great. Nice to know that 22 we can be flexible and improve.

23 We have one consent item on our agenda this 24 morning. That is the Proposed Revision to the South Coast 25 One-Hour Ozone State Implementation Plan. I'd like to ask

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

the clerk if any witnesses have signed up to testify on 1 this item? 2 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: (Shakes head.) 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: They have not. 4 Are there any Board members who would like 5 Okav. to see this item removed from the consent calendar? 6 7 Seeing none. We can close the record, and I will ask if all 8 the Board members have had an opportunity to review this 9 resolution, and if so, may I have a motion and a second. 10 BOARD MEMBER SERNA: Move the item. 11 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Second. 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you very much. 13 All in favor please say aye? 14 (Unanimous aye vote.) 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Any opposed? 16 Great. Well, our first item of business is done. 17 Now, for the second item, which is an unscheduled 18 item, it's impossible to resist the opportunity to 19 embarrass one of our fellow Board members, who has 20 received so many resolutions and accolades. I follow him 21 22 on Twitter, so I know that he's spent at least the last month making the rounds of San Diego, and being --23 receiving accolades from many, many quarters for his long 2.4 career in public service. And we cannot possibly resist 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 the opportunity to join in that.

I personally have benefited from his occasional criticisms, and from his occasional good suggestions as well about how we could improve our operations here. But more importantly, I have really enjoyed and benefited from watching him work as a local elected official and a member of this Board.

8 So I'm going to read the resolution that has been 9 drafted. And this is on behalf of all of us, of course. 10 And I will start from the top.

11 "Whereas, after 20 years of a successful career 12 in architecture, Ron Roberts embarked upon career in 13 public service that has spanned more than three decades.

14 "Whereas, in 1987, Ron was elected to the San 15 Diego City Council, and in 1994 to the San Diego County 16 Board of Supervisors, where he has served the 4th District 17 with great distinction.

18 "Whereas, during this time, Ron has personally 19 spearheaded, through savvy, skill, commitment, and 20 dedication, enumerable efforts that have benefited his 21 constituents, San Diegans, and all Californians.

Whereas, for decades, Ron has worked to improve public health, especially among underprivileged youth, through a range of initiatives including Fit to Learn, Fit for Life, the San Diego County Childhood Obesity

Initiative, Healthy Works, and the creation of Linda Vista Boys and Girls Club, and the Pro Kids Golf Academy and 2 Learning Center. 3

1

"Whereas, for 19 years, Ron led "Mowing Down 4 Pollution", the State's most successful annual lawn mower 5 and lawn equipment exchange program that has replaced 6 7 nearly 10,000 pieces of dirty equipment with clean zero-emission alternatives, resulting in the elimination 8 of tons of air pollution in San Diego. 9

"Whereas, Ron's leadership has been instrumental 10 to the expansion of cleaner mobility options throughout 11 the San Diego, including developing new trolley lines, the 12 acquisition of buses that operate on renewable fuels, and 13 last mile transit connections. 14

"Whereas, in 1995, Ron Roberts was appointed by 15 Governor Pete Wilson to the California Air Resources 16 Board, where he has served with great distinction for 23 17 years helping to lead the development of innovative and 18 effective ways to reduce air pollution. 19

"Whereas, in addition to his service on the 20 California Air Resources Board, Ron ably served on the 21 22 Boards of the San Diego Air Pollution Control District, the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, the San Diego 23 Housing Authority, the San Diego Workforce Partnership, 24 and the San Diego Association of Governments. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

"Whereas, Ron has received numerous awards for his public service efforts, including the Home Aid Humanitarian Award, the American Society of Landscape Architect Stewardship Around, and the Distinguished National Public Transportation Award from the American Transportation Association, and,

7 "Whereas, Ron and his wife live in the same 8 Mission Hills home they built more than 50 years ago. He 9 and Helene are proud parents of three daughters and three 10 grandchildren.

"While not working in the public interest, Ron can be found rooting for his beloved San Diego Padres, cruising the aisles at Costco, where most employees know him by name, grabbing a bite to eat at Jack in the Box, or listening to his extensive music collection, including Bob Marley among his favorites".

17

18

I knew you were a good man.

(Laughter.)

19 CHAIR NICHOLS: "Now therefore, be it resolved, 20 that the Board and staff of the California Air Resources 21 Board acknowledge and thank Supervisor Ron Roberts for his 22 important and enduring contributions to carrying out the 23 CARB mission, and for his tireless leadership to protect 24 and improve air quality and public health for all citizens 25 of California".

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Ron, I know you need another resolution like 1 this, because you have a few walls that may not be 100 2 percent covered with them. 3 But in all seriousness, it's all that we can do, 4 other than personally just to extend to you our 5 congratulations and gratitude for your great work on this 6 7 Board. So thank you so much. 8 (Applause.) 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: And please, you may say a few 10 words. Yes, you may. 11 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: I won't be too lengthy. 12 But it's too late for me to fire the staff person who 13 14 helped you write this. (Laughter.) 15 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: As I'd have to give him 16 two weeks notice and that would cover him. 17 I've been here for a long time. I've seen 18 enormous changes. And I would tell you all for the good. 19 This has been just something I've done with pride and with 20 passion. And, yes, we're proud of our lawn mower trade-in 21 22 program. (Laughter.) 23 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: You know, I've been trying 2.4 to convince at least one of my colleagues that they've got 25

to take that over and keep it going, because it -- it's 1 successful because you have to promote it. You can't just 2 announce you're going to do it. But that's like a small 3 And I think the importance of that is that it helps item. 4 to inform people and drive home the message. There are 5 things we can do that might even seem awfully small, but 6 7 it creates that ethic of focusing on how do we make the quality there better and how do we reduce greenhouse gas? 8

9 These are things that all of us believe in. We 10 may have different ways of going about it. I won't 11 mention the black automobile fiasco that we went through.

(Laughter.)

12

BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: But sometimes we hit a 13 bump and we recognize that we have to do it different. 14 One of the most difficult things I think we were faced 15 with on this Board in all the years I've been on is when 16 17 we realized the electric car mandate wasn't supported by very good battery technologies in electric cars, and we 18 had to postpone it. And we were heavily criticized. Ι 19 have a recording of who killed the electric car. 20

Of course, we were blamed for that. The electric car is alive and thriving. And I'm sure everybody here knows that, so -- but you've got to take that kind of criticism at times, even though in the end we know it -it's brought us out right.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Lincoln said years ago if at the end it doesn't 1 come out right, even a choir off angels singing your 2 praises won't help you. 3 (Laughter.) 4 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: That came out right. 5 Ιt was the right thing to do. I had friend of mine who had 6 7 the very first General Motors -- if you remember, it was called the Impact, until they realized the insurance 8 companies didn't like that name, Impact, for a car --9 (Laughter.) 10 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: -- and they changed it to 11 EV 1. 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. 13 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: And he became the first 14 person in the nation, as a surprise to him, to get his EV 15 And the car -- he was so embarrassed that he -- it 16 1. hardly would run. He got a second one, so that nobody 17 would notice that the first one was in the garage most of 18 the time. 19 20 (Laughter.) BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: That is what we were faced 21 with. And I think it's -- you know, I think it, in some 22 ways, is typical. There are times when things don't work 23 and you have to be willing to make adjustments, and say, 2.4 okay, let's have a course correction, and let's do 25

something a little different. Fortunately, those have not
 been often.

I think my greatest joy was -- here was coming to 3 a meeting when the staff we were talking about diesel, and 4 as diesel being a toxic contaminant, but nobody wanted to 5 really say that in a firm way. It was a woman from Los 6 7 Angeles who also served on the Board, at that time Lynne Ethridge -- Es -- anyway. Lynne was here, and before the 8 meeting we had kind of agreed why don't we push this a 9 little bit. And to the surprise of the staff, we actually 10 declared diesel to be a toxic contaminant at a meeting 11 where nobody was thinking that that's what we were going 12 to be doing. It needed doing. Probably still needs doing 13 14 and reinforcing.

But I just mention these things as highlights. 15 This Board and the staff has done an incredible job. 16 17 You've been open to listening to people. You know, we've had issues. We've tried to work with people. We've tried 18 to work with organizations. You want to achieve goals, 19 but you don't -- and, you know, you shouldn't take pride 20 in destroying anybody in getting there. There will be 21 people who disagree, and will -- you know, will maybe feel 22 differently about that. 23

But I -- I think it's important to keep a -- you know, a truly wide perspective, always try to do the right

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

thing and make sure that each year we're making 1 improvements, and we'll get there. As a state, we're -- I 2 can you I just returned from China. We had discussions 3 about some of the things we're doing with some high 4 ranking officials there. We're providing assistance, as 5 many of you know in so many ways, and I've never seen the 6 7 air cleaner in Beijing when I was there just a couple months ago. I've never ever seen it that -- now, I 8 don't -- it's not all over with. You know, and you see 9 the products of their regulations all over. 10 They're doing an awful lot. They're not there 11 yet, but you know that we have, in a sense, had a hand in 12 that. 13 So thank you for tolerating me, Madam 14 Chairwoman --15 (Laughter.) 16 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: -- and the rest of you. 17 And I -- honestly, you'll hear me rooting for you and 18 cheering. We want you to succeed. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you so much. 21 22 (Applause.) CHAIR NICHOLS: Now, in some places, that might 23 be, you know, prelude to adjournment. But instead, it's a 24 rallying cry to get to work, because we have an agenda and 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

some work to be done.

So let us start -- we will know, I think, 2 hopefully at least convince you to stick around and have a 3 piece of cake when we have a chance at the break. 4 There's -- next item on our agenda is a 5 continuation of an item from the November Board meeting. 6 At that meeting, CARB staff presented Volkswagen 7 subsidiary Electrify America's Proposed Cycle 2 8 Zero-Emission Vehicle, or ZEV, Investment Plan, and their 9 assessment of how well the plan aligns with the 10 requirements of Appendix C of the Volkswagen 2.0 liter 11 partial consent decree, and with Senate Bill 92, and Board 12 Resolution 17-23. 13

14 So after a short staff presentation and 15 discussion, we will move towards a resolution to approve 16 or disapprove, in whole or in part, the proposed Cycle 2 17 plan consistent with the requirements of the consent 18 decree.

Mr. Corey, would you please introduce this item? 19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: 20 Yes. Thanks, Chair. As you noted, last month staff summarized key 21 22 provisions of the proposed Cycle 2 plan, and provided an assessment of how well the plan aligns with the 23 requirements set forth in Appendix C of the Volkswagen 2.0 2.4 liter partial consent decree and its consistency with 25

Senate bill 92, and Board Resolution 17-23. And as you 1 noted, last month, the Board did not take action but 2 agreed to -- for further discussion. 3 So last week, staff conducted a meeting with 4 interested stakeholders to gather feedback informing 5 staff's next report to the Board on the Electrify 6 7 America's progress towards achieving the objectives of the consent decree. So in addition to providing a short 8 summary of the proposed Cycle 2 plan, staff will summarize 9 comments heard at the stakeholder meeting. 10 With that, I'll now ask Mark Williams of the 11 Emission Compliance, Automotive Regulations and Science 12 Division to give the staff presentation. 13 Mark. 14 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was 15 presented as follows.) 16 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Thank you, 17 Mr. Corey. Good morning Chair Nichols and members of the 18 Board. 19 Today, I will review the 2.0 liter consent 20 decree, the ZEV investment commitment, which is contained 21 22 in Appendix C of the consent decree, and is designed to address the impact to California's zero-emission vehicle 23 market and the Cycle 1 plan. I will then provide an 2.4 update on the Cycle 1 plan investment and a summary of the 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

proposed Cycle 2 plan, including a staff assessment of how the proposed Cycle 2 plan aligns with the requirements of the consent decree. I will also summarize comments received during our December 7th, 2018 stakeholder meeting. I will then present staff's recommendation to the Board.

#### -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: On October 9 25th, 2016, the United States District Court for the 10 Northern District of California approved a 2.0 liter 11 partial consent decree between CARB, Volkswagen, or VW, 12 and the United States Department of Justice. There are 13 four elements of the consent decree each described in a 14 separate appendix.

7

Appendices A and B are considered punitive 15 measures and address consumer issues in noncompliant 16 17 vehicles. Appendix D is also considered a punitive measure and ensures that the emissions caused by the 18 noncompliant vehicles are mitigated. As part of the final 19 settlement, but separate from, and in addition to, the 2.0 20 liter consent decrease and these punitive appendices, 21 22 penalties were also levied.

That brings me to Appendix C, which is also known as the ZEV Investment Commitment. Appendix C is not punitive, but is instead intended to address VW's impact

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 to California's ZEV market by requiring VW to accelerate 2 its ZEV market investments in California to support 3 increased ZEV availability and use in the state, a total 4 of \$800 million over ten years.

5 There are four categories of allowable 6 investments: ZEV infrastructure, public awareness, and 7 increasing ZEV access partially through the establishment 8 of green cities.

9 Volkswagen created a subsidiary, Electrify10 America, to carry out the Appendix C investments.

11

-----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: At its July 13 27th, 2017 Board meeting, the Board approved Electrify 14 America's first \$200 million ZEV investment plan, the 15 Cycle 1 plan -- the Cycle 1 plan, which committed:

\$120 million for corridor charging stations along 16 17 highways and metro area charging in Fresno, Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, San Diego, and San Jose; \$44 18 million for Green City initiatives like ZEV car sharing, 19 ZEV transit and taxi fleets, and ZEV delivery fleets all 20 21 in Sacramento, to improve access to clean transportation 22 options, especially for low-income and disadvantaged community members; \$20 million for ZEV awareness 23 activities; and, up to \$16 million for allowable 24 operational expenses. 25

I'd now like to provide a brief update on Electrify America's progress on the Cycle 1 plan. The next two slides are from our November Board hearing. Mr. Giovanni Palazzo, CEO of Electrify America, will provide the Board an updated review of Cycle 1 progress immediately following my presentation.

7

19

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: This graphic 9 shows the status of Electrify America's ultra-fast 10 charging station sites in California. As you can see from 11 the top row, 110 sites have been licensed or leased, 63 12 are in the permitting process, 19 have been permitted, and 13 the site work is complete on five, three of which are now 14 operational.

The bottom row reflects Electrify America's assessment that low-income and disadvantaged community sites represent more than 50 percent of the total projects at each stage of the site development process.

--000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Additionally, Electrify America has ordered 600 fast chargers, and the first nine have been delivered to their sites. In addition to fast charging, Electrify America is installing Level 2, or 240 volt, infrastructure. Sixty-four Level 2 sites been acquired and three are operational, two of

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

which are located in disadvantaged communities. 1 Each of Electrify America's Level 2 vendors is 2 contractually obligated to install 35 percent of its Level 3 2s in low-income and disadvantaged communities. 4 That brings me to the Green City Initiative in 5 The Sacramento City Council has approved 6 Sacramento. 7 permits for the Gig free float car share program and 260 Chevy Bolt EVs have been ordered by Gig. 8 The Envoy car share program, which will 9 ultimately be situated in 72 multi-unit dwellings in the 10 Sacramento area has initiated permitting at 30 locations. 11 And the first Level 2 unit has been installed at Creekside 12 Village in South Sacramento. 13 Finally, 12 EV charging site leases have been 14 signed to support the car share vehicles. 15 On the education and outreach front, Electrify 16 America's California media campaign has targeted almost 17 4,000 disadvantaged community census tracts, approximately 18 half of all census tracts in California. 19 -----20 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Now, I will 21 22 turn to summarizing the Cycle 2 investment plan as proposed by Electrify America. The proposed Cycle 2 plan 23 would provide \$153 million for fueling infrastructure, \$17 2.4 million for ZEV awareness and education, \$10 million for 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

marketing efforts to drive station utilization, and up to 1 \$20 million for allowable operational expenses. 2 Let's take a closer look at these investment 3 proposals. 4 -----5 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Ninety-five 6 7 to 115 million dollars would go toward metropolitan community charging, including in three new communities: 8 Riverside/San Bernardino, Santa Cruz/Watsonville, and 9 Santa Rosa. Academic research cited in Electrify 10 America's proposed Cycle 2 plan reflects that the nine 11 metropolitan communities selected represent approximately 12 80 percent of California's population, and are projected 13 to account for 89 percent of California's 2022 battery 14 electric vehicle population. 15 -----16 17 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Twenty-five to thirty million dollars would go toward increasing 18 charging equipment density along those highways and 19 regional routes identified by Electrify America as having 20 the greatest expected plug-in electric growing. 21 This would include building out infrastructure in 22 northern San Joaquin Valley and adjacent mountain 23 communities, California's Central Coast, and the Inland 2.4 Empire. 25

-----1 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Electrify 2 America also proposes innovative infrastructure 3 investments first in: 4 Level 2 home chargers, 2,500 to 3,300 zero money 5 down units, including installation, and the development of 6 7 an incentive web tool targeted toward low-income car buyers. 8 Second, transit charging infrastructure. They 9 will identify opportunities to support zero-emission buses 10 and shuttles. 11 Third autonomous vehicle charging. Ιn 12 anticipation of the inclusion of ZEVs in shared mobility 13 services, such as Uber and Lyft, they will invest with 14 partners to facilitate -- to facilitate charging. 15 Fourth, Level 2 rural charging. Separate from 16 its fast-charging investments in rural areas, Electrify 17 America proposes a \$2 million rural pilot program to 18 invest in 35 to 50 Level 2 charging station sites in rural 19 areas of California including, but not limited to, the 20 Central, Imperial, and Coachella Valleys. 21 22 And finally, installation of renewable generation at select sites. 23 -----2.4 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: It's 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

important, when talking about this level of infrastructure 1 investment, that we understand California's projected 2 charging infrastructure needs, and the proposed Cycle 2 3 plan's anticipated contribution to those needs. The 4 California Energy Commission's report, "2018 California 5 Plug-In Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Projections: 2017 6 7 to 2025", estimated California needs 229,000 to 279,000 chargers to support 1.5 million ZEVs by 2025. 8

9 To date, the State has approximately 18,000 10 chargers installed, representing seven percent of the 11 State's needs, as indicated by the green wedge in the 12 chart to the left.

As part of SB 350 investments, charging infrastructure pilot programs, and other transportation electrification proceedings, investor-owned utilities have proposed or approved plug-in electric vehicle infrastructure projects totaling over \$1 billion, as indicated by the orange wedge in the chart to the left.

Electrify America's anticipated infrastructure contribution, via their Cycle 1 and proposed Cycle 2 investments in the State will account for just over two percent of the anticipated 2025 infrastructure vision, as indicated by the red wedge in the chart to the left.

24 Other public and private investments are still 25 necessary to close the State's 2025 infrastructure gap of

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 46 percent, as indicated by the blue wedge in the chart to 2 the left.

CARB staff extrapolated the 2025 charging 3 infrastructure projections described in the chart to the 4 left to estimate that we will need approximately one 5 million chargers to support approximately 4.4 million 6 7 plug-in electric vehicles anticipated by 2030. Electrify America's infrastructure investments, via their Cycle 1 8 and proposed Cycle 2 investments, will comprise less than 9 one percent of the 2030 infrastructure projection, 10 indicated by the thin red wedge in the chart to the right. 11

Further, by 2030, the State's infrastructure gap, indicated by the blue wedge in the cart to the right, is projected to grow to approximately 86 percent. Other public and private investments will be necessary to close this gap.

17

### -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: I'll turn now 18 to Electrify America's proposed Cycle 2 public awareness 19 Electrify America proposes to continue to use 20 efforts. traditional and social media to increase public awareness 21 22 of ZEVs and their benefits. Electrify America's Cycle 2 media efforts would continue to be brand neutral and 23 feature both battery electric and fuel cell electric 2.4 vehicles. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Electrify America would also use marketing to boost station utilization. Messaging would communicate for each charger its location, charging speed, acceptable payment methods, and nearby conveniences, as well as affordability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

#### -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: CARB posted Electrify America's proposed Cycle 2 plan on October 3rd, 2018. The public was invited to provide comments on the proposed plan through a CARB hosted comment docket that closed on October 26th. Comment letters that have been received since that date have also been added to the comment docket.

14 Staff conducted an assessment of the proposed 15 Cycle 2 plan taking into consideration the requirements of 16 the consent decree, as well as Senate Bill 92, and Board 17 Resolution 17-23, and the comments received from the 18 public.

19 Staff publicly posted its assessment of Electrify 20 America's proposed Cycle 2 plan on CARB's website on 21 November 9th. Staff, in its assessment, concludes that 22 the proposed plan meets the requirements of the consent 23 decree and reaffirms Electrify America's commitment to the 24 content of both Senate Bill 92, and Board Resolution 25 17-23.

I'd now like to summarize the comments that CARB 1 received during last week's stakeholder meeting. 2 --000--3 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Board 4 Resolution 17-23 directs staff to report to the Board at 5 least twice a year on progress towards achieving the 6 7 objectives of the 2.0 liter consent decree. Staff is to consult with stakeholders, including environmental justice 8 groups, labor organizations, automakers, electric vehicle 9 charging equipment companies, and others to inform these 10 reports. CARB staff has engaged with many stakeholders 11 throughout Cycle 1 development and implementation. 12 Additionally, staff convened a stakeholder consultation 13 meeting on December 7th, 2018. 14

The vast majority of the 40 plus stakeholders who 15 spoke at the consultation meeting were encouraged by 16 Electrify America's partnering efforts, cited examples of 17 projects and benefits including job creation resulting 18 from the private industry investment, and expressed that 19 Electrify America was, in many instances, the first to 20 reach into disadvantaged communities that hadn't seen 21 22 prior investment. They supported Cycle 2 approval without 23 delay.

A few commenters identified areas where they thought the program could be improved, including

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

diversifying outreach, making ride-and-drive events available on weekends, and allocating much more than \$2 2 million to rural infrastructure. 3

1

Electrify America and other stakeholders 4 identified that outreach materials were already available 5 in two to three languages, and explained that the \$2 6 million rural investment is additional to rural 7 investments under the community and corridor charging 8 investments. 9

Other commenters suggested that the Los Angeles 10 metro area should receive investments in proportion to the 11 damage done, and that the second Green City should be 12 identified in Cycle 2, and that coalitions of cities be 13 considered for the selection. 14

Finally, two electric vehicle service providers 15 requested that quarterly reports provide greater spending 16 detail to include quantifying spending in low-income and 17 disadvantaged communities. CARB staff expressed that 18 these spending details are provided in the annual reports. 19 --000--20

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: Several 21 22 stakeholders identified hurdles that applied not only to Electrify America, but to other service providers as well. 23 Those hurdles include long permitting times and the 2.4 impacts of the Americans with Disabilities Act 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

requirements on siting -- on siting, and demand charge
 effects on sustainability.

There was also a discussion to identify those 3 efforts CARB may undertake to help address siting 4 conflicts. CARB, along with its agency partners, the 5 Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development, or 6 7 GO-Biz, the California Energy Commission, or CEC, and the California Public Utilities Commission, or CPUC, committed 8 to share permitting best practices to overlay CEC and 9 Electrify America siting lists to identify potential areas 10 of conflict, and ensure sited infrastructure is additional 11 and complementary. 12

Several stakeholders expressed appreciation for the opportunity to meet and suggested that meetings happen periodically. Staff concurred that it would be appropriate to convene regular general infrastructure meetings.

Finally, staff provided the service providers a 18 direct contact for reporting specific siting concerns. 19 -----20 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: CARB will 21 22 ensure it continues to receive quarterly and annual reports from Electrify America, and that the public 23 reports continue to be posted. 2.4 In turn, CARB will report annually to the 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 legislature. CARB will continue its EV charger planning 2 coordination with such entities as GO-Biz, CEC, CPUC, 3 Caltrans, the utilities, clean cities coordinators, air 4 quality management districts, local officials, and EV 5 service providers.

Finally, as required by the consent decree, the independent third-party auditor will continue to provide annual reports to CARB and will review Electrify America's implementation and accounting records, conduct select onsite audits, and review all expenses and approve only those that are found to be creditable.

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST WILLIAMS: In conclusion, CARB staff recommends that the Board adopt Resolution 18-54, approving Electrify America's proposed Cycle 2 ZEV Investment Plan. Approval of the plan will allow Electrify America to continue its investments providing benefits to California's air quality and ZEV drivers.

12

That concludes my presentation. At this time, I would like to invite Mr. Giovanni Palazzo, CEO of Electrify America, to address the Board.

CHAIR NICHOLS: And we do have your written report as well. So thank you for providing that in advance. Good morning.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was Presented as follows.)

MR. PALAZZO: Good morning. Good morning, So I hope everybody can hear me now. everyone. Okay.

Chair Nichols, and Vice Chair Berg, and members 6 of the Air Resources Board, My name is Giovanni Palazzo, and I am the President and the CEO of Electrify America.

So let me grab the chance also in my name, 9 Supervisor Roberts, and also in the name of Electrify 10 America to thank you for your long-term public service and 11 contribution to the air quality on California. 12

13

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

So thanks for that.

And thank you also for having me back to present 14 a second time today. As you may know, I began working in 15 electric mobility at Mercedes-Benz of Daimler in 2003, 16 where I led the launch of the Mart Electric Drive. I have 17 been in the clean transportation space ever since. 18

Before taking this job, I was responsible for the 19 eMobility strategy of VW Group at the global scale. 20 Ιn this position, I contributed to develop and propose the 21 ZEV investment commitment as part of the consent decree. 22

That's how I had my first extremely positive 23 experience with the CARB staff. I am honored to have this 24 unique opportunity to drive ZEV adoption, reduce 25

pollution, and help the drivers, the people, and the 1 workers of California. 2 --000--3 In Cycle 1, we are making MR. PALAZZO: 4 tremendous progress. Just a few highlights include: 5 Dozens of workplaces and MUD Level 2 charging 6 7 stations are now under construction. We are building ultra-fast charging stations as fast as permits allow. We 8 have leased 120 sites in only 14 months, 76 ultra-fast 9 charging stations have been designed, 66 permit 10 applications have been submitted, and 28 permits have been 11 approved. Six stations are done with construction. And 12 very important let me emphasize that last week in 13 Livermore we opened California's first 350 kilowatt 14 station, which is capable of charging an EV at 20 miles of 15 range per minute. That's unprecedented in the industry, 16 17 and, of course, also in the U.S. Deploying first-of-kind technology is, of course, 18 extremely challenging. But we believe it would be and can 19 be a game changer for the EV drivers. Much more than 35 20 percent of this DC fast charging stations at every stage 21 22 of development are in disadvantaged or low-income communities, as you clearly can see in this chart. 23 -----2.4 MR. PALAZZO: Beyond infrastructure, last month, 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

we launched our Green City car sharing programs here in Sacramento. Our brand neutral advertising spot featuring the music of the Flintstones and the Jetsons and the Chevy Volt is getting massive views. I hope you heard it or seen it, and visited plugintothepresent.com.

6 Our campaign is coordinated and shares the tag 7 line of Veloz recently launched Electric For All Campaign.

8 Finally, we have created partnerships with six 9 community-based non-profit organizations to build 10 education and awareness in disadvantaged and low-income 11 communities.

17 MR. PALAZZO: Over the past year, Electrify America conducted a massive outreach and stakeholder 18 engagement process in order to ensure we included the best 19 ideas in our Cycle 2 plan. We considered more than 800 20 comments and suggestions, held dozens of online 21 22 presentations, and community meetings, and spoke individually with more than 100 stakeholders. We talked 23 to every category of stakeholder from academic and 2.4 25 national lab experts to community groups.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

So many of the people in this room have been 1 generous with their time, their data, and their wisdom, 2 and we thank you all for that. 3 ------4 MR. PALAZZO: Last week, as mentioned already, we 5 joined a stakeholder meeting held by CARB that continued 6 7 these 12-month process. We were pleased by the level of engagement, and we were humbled by the overwhelming 8 support for both Cycle 1 and Cycle 2 investments. 9 As you can see, more than 60 nonprofit 10 organizations, ZEV industry players, municipal leaders, 11 elected officials, and community groups have written to 12 CARB to urge rapid approval during the comment period. 13 And last week, many of these organizations 14 traveled from all parts of the state and country to 15 express support for the Cycle 2 plan. 16 ------17 MR. PALAZZO: I believe this tremendous support 18 is in place, because we listened to Californians when 19 designing our Cycle 2 plan. Based on stakeholder and CARB 20 feedback, we made major changes from Cycle 1 and early 21 22 Cycle 2 drafts. Each change, including new communities for investment, increased funding for community-based 23 organizations, new investment categories like ride hailing 24 and transit, and rural Level 2 charging, were a direct 25

result of stakeholder and CARB input.

1 2

Thanks for that.

We also committed to making economically 3 sustainable investment in disadvantaged and low-income 4 communities. Specifically, we will again strive to ensure 5 that 35 percent of all investment in Cycle 2 is in 6 7 disadvantaged and low-income communities. We will expand community charging station investments to nine metro areas 8 home to 32 million people, and include heavily 9 disadvantaged communities in Santa Cruz, Riverside, and 10 San Bernardino. 11

Corridor charging will be targeted in the Central 12 Valley, Sierra Mountain region, the Inland Empire and the 13 Eastern Mojave Desert. A new ZEV infrastructure use cases 14 include: A rural pilot program located in Central, 15 Imperial, and Coachella Valleys; investments to support 16 transit services and ride hail drivers suggested by Los 17 Angeles governments; and a program to help Californians 18 add residential charging. While some of these investments 19 are at pilot scale in Cycle 2, they have the potential to 20 expand in Cycle 3. 21

Finally, we have committed that 35 percent of brand neutral media will be geotargeted in disadvantaged and low-income communities. And we will keep on funding the work of effective community-based organizations.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

2 MR. PALAZZO: There were only a very few critical 3 comments submitted to CARB regarding the Cycle 2 plan. 4 But myself and Electrify America we take these concerns 5 very seriously.

-----

1

6 First, a few firms in the hydrogen industry 7 encouraged us to fund hydrogen projects. The strategy 8 team of Electrify America started a very productive 9 dialogue with these companies and we remain open to any 10 economically sustainable investment opportunity may come.

Second, commenters suggested that Electrify America has been underinvesting in rural areas. As detailed in my letter on December the 7th to Chair Nichols, we are investing strongly in rural areas in both cycles. We believe, and I believe, this was a misunderstanding.

Finally, while more than a dozen companies in the EV charging industry endorsed the Cycle 2 plan and highlighted the positive impact we are having on competitions, a few comments suggested CARB direct our investment away from the markets in which EV charging is most needed.

23 We believe that knowingly building charging 24 stations where they are unlikely to be used would be 25 inconsistent both with the consent decree and with the

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

mission of the team of the Electrify America.

1

7

2 Some companies might benefit from Electrify 3 America being excluded from certain markets. But we 4 believe that reducing competition, dividing markets, or 5 excluding competitors from markets is not in the public 6 interest and would undermine ZEV adoption.

## --000--

MR. PALAZZO: Building out the largest ultrafast 8 known proprietary charging network in the United States is 9 a monumental task, and we will not be successful alone. 10 Our team could not have developed a Cycle 2 California ZEV 11 investment plan were for your such solid support without 12 tremendous stakeholder input. For many stakeholders, we 13 know this is a passion not a profession. And we thank you 14 all for your contributions. 15

And over more than six months of dialogue, meet-and-confer sessions, and data mailings, CARB leadership and staff have provided us with invaluable input. Guidance and suggestions that made the plan better. We are grateful, very grateful, for your time and assistance.

CARB staff found in their report that the Cycle 2 plan exceeds the goals and the requirements of the consent decree, and recommended approval. I hope today you follow their recommendation. So thank you again for your time

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

and support.

1

2

Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you, Mr. Palazzo. I hope 3 you'll stay to answer any questions that the Board members 4 may have. It is clear that you've been listening and 5 paying attention to the comments you've received from our 6 7 staff. And while certainly you haven't succeeded in making everyone support your proposal, you have come an 8 impressive way in terms of gaining support. So that's 9 helpful. 10

But I do want to make sure that Board members who have any questions now have a chance to raise them. Yes.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Great. 14 Thank you. I know we have a lengthy list of participants today, but I do 15 want to ask -- and thank you for showing up. You know, I 16 do have some questions for the CEO. And thank -- we've 17 been having a bit of exchange. And I appreciate the 18 timeliness of your responses. So I want to hone in on 19 some outstanding items that you've mentioned. 20

I do want to put it in context though. You know, the last time this Board approved this plan, there was another CEO standing right where you were. His name was Mark McNabb.

25

MR. PALAZZO: Yeah, sure.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And I started that by 1 asking the question why should we trust you? 2 MR. PALAZZO: Yes. 3 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And I think the answer was 4 I'm going to be here a very long time. 5 MR. PALAZZO: Yes. 6 7 (Laughter.) BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And this plan is going to 8 be reflective of, you know, in some sense, the experience 9 that you bring to this. So just forgive me a little bit, 10 but not focusing in on the personality of the CEO at the 11 time, but the plan. 12 MR. PALAZZO: Yes. 13 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Because I think that's what 14 this Board has to really focus on. 15 Maybe my first question would be you've seen --16 we had a pause from the time you were here last meeting to 17 this, so like 30 days. And we had a session that staff 18 characterized very well, as I understand it. But I guess 19 my question is from what you've seen today that the staff 20 offered in terms of that pause meeting, or that 21 22 get-together, were there any items on that that you feel that you would incorporate or put into this current plan? 23 MR. PALAZZO: So I think -- so the first point is 2.4 that I understand you may have also some concerns --25

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Yeah. 1 MR. PALAZZO: -- because I am popping up like 2 new guy from Germany. 3 What speaks I think, and I hope in my favor, is 4 the long veteran on the ground on the EV space. 5 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Um-hmm. 6 MR. PALAZZO: And I started with it when I was 7 much younger, let's say. And I think my career can speak 8 and has -- has been backboned on too many elements, one is 9 the interests for EV to making this happen. The second is 10 integrity and performance. 11 So I hope that the Board can trust me. I have an 12 incredible willingness to make and to help through 13 Electrify America eMobility happen in the U.S., and of 14 course in California. 15 So regarding the stakeholder meeting, I can 16 simply, first of all, thank you, because of -- it's 17 because of your request last time that we made -- we made 18 this happen. I think it was extreme beneficial for all of 19 And Mark from the CARB staff highlighted core 20 us. elements in my view. 21 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Um-hmm. 22 MR. PALAZZO: So the first one is that I think we 23 need to have and to confirm such emitting where we have 2.4 the whole industry trying to make efforts to make sure 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 that eMobility takes place in California all together in 2 one place meeting at the same time.

I think another couple things that have been shown when we can work all together as an industry to really expedite and make sure that we keep on winning pace, in terms of, you know, increasing ZEV adoption. This is, at the end of the day, also the final biggest commitment of the consent decree.

9 So I would say that Electrify America will be 10 more than happy if the stakeholders meeting keep on taking 11 place, first of all. I think it should look more into the 12 common challenges that we all as, let's say, charging 13 companies and companies who are making business in the EV 14 space, can identify, you know, to try to, you know, 15 somehow accelerate EV adoption like I told you.

You have seen four elements in the list of the 16 17 staff. I think the most interesting one for all of us, when I talk also to the other colleagues, is permitting. 18 So permitting is when we all -- some -- it's a little bit 19 pain point for us, because it's where we lose control. So 20 21 you have a site acquisition in place, and then you have 22 your site leased. You have design going on. Actually, everything is ready to be built and then you stop a little 23 bit into Permitting. 2.4

25

What we are doing, we are doing a lot, I think,

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

on the -- to try to make sure that we can join forces 1 regarding this. I need to admit that the permitting time 2 that we see in California at the moment, it's much longer 3 than what we are witnessing on the national level. Ιn 4 some cases, it's way more than 50 working days. So, in 5 some cases, we have sites blocked for a couple of months. 6 7 And this is not Electrify America only. Of course, you know, it's also all the other company in the space. 8

9 So I do not want to, you know, bring too much 10 attention on that, but I think I can commit Electrify 11 America to go on on that.

Regarding the second question that you --

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Well, I think my major 13 14 questions, just to cut to it is maybe staff can put up the public comment recommendations you had up earlier. I'm 15 not sure what pages those were, but your summary. 16 And my question is of what is on the summary do you support and 17 can we include all of those recommendations in this 18 particular resolution? 19

20 MR. PALAZZO: I think for one of them -- some of 21 them actually we can agree. And some other like having 22 closer look and, for instance, also increase the 23 reporting, I'm not sure that if I can commit to that in 24 this occasion.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ:

12

25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Okay.

MR. PALAZZO: And us you may know, the -- and I think as the CARB staff highlighted very briefly, the scope of the meeting today is to assess if Cycle 2 plan meets the requirements of Cycle 2 plan.

1

2

3

4

5

25

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Okay.

6 MR. PALAZZO: Very Frankly, senator Florez, I'm 7 not in the position to commit to additional reporting to 8 the consent decree, because I cannot represent all the 9 settlement defendants here, and I can speak for only to 10 Electrify America.

So my suggestion is that we can -- and I defer to 11 the CARB team for that, but Electrify America is willing 12 to discuss each of these elements. I think it's a little 13 bit of cold shower to commit to all of them today. 14 Diversification of our reach, we need to understand what 15 is meant for that. We are happy to deep dive and to keep 16 on having this open dialogue that we're having to 17 understand more. 18

But some of them I could say a big yes, but we need more information for a fuller commitment.

21 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Okay. Well, that's fair. 22 But I would say that the plan got a little better than it 23 did the last time we were here. 24 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And I think the pause

1 created quite a good amount of positive suggestions that 2 our staff has laid out here. 3 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 4 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And particularly, your

5 predecessor, when presenting the plan last time, mentioned 6 the inclusion of a Green City. And it was kind of part 7 and parcel of Sacramento had just been part of that Cycle 8 1. This plan does not include a Green City, is that 9 correct?

10

MR. PALAZZO: It is absolutely correct.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And what's the -- what am I missing from the first CEO to you that somehow this particular concept, which seemed quite popular and had the support, I believe, of a lot of the same groups on this list, why isn't it here in this plan?

MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. Thank you for the question, 16 Senator Florez. I think we discussed also CARB staff that 17 the reason why you're not seeing a second city at 18 several -- several elements. The first one is that, as 19 you may know, we are talking about \$44 million investment 20 in Sacramento. It's again something like unique, 21 22 especially coming from a private company. Let me analyze for, you know, the use of the people here and the friends 23 that are joining us today, which are the main elements of 2.4 the Green City. 25

1 So first of all, you have two car sharing 2 programs. The one with Envoy is online. I hope that 3 you've seen it already. We working beautifully with the 4 City of Sacramento with this act to zero activity. We're 5 going to have here, because I've seen everybody, some 6 friends from the Sacramento City to testify then.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Um-hmm.

7

8 MR. PALAZZO: The good news is that we are 9 starting the second car sharing program in February this 10 year. It's Gig. Another company, other cars. We're 11 going to use Chevy Bolt. You have seen the Electrify 12 America is using a lot of Bolts, so we seem -- we like a 13 lot this car.

We're going to have two shuttle and bus services. 14 Of course, you know, electric and fueled by electric 15 charging installations. This is also something pretty 16 unique. And we are having to be fair with you. Some 17 challenges in getting the contracts for these buses, and 18 we are looking to alternatives in some cases to speed up a 19 little bit. That's one of the examples without doing any 20 kind of finger pointing at all. 21

When you see an lapse sometimes also in the charging site that, in some cases, the industry is not even ready to somehow support the effort of Electrify America.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Okay. I quess my question 1 is the --2 MR. PALAZZO: I'm coming to it. 3 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: -- the 30 -- it's a 4 We're 18 months into this. We're, you 30-month plan. 5 know, halfway through the first investment cycle. 6 The 7 next investment cycle will come up and we have 30 months in that period. 8 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 9 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: So I'm wondering, as was 10 portrayed to us the last time, that Green Cities would be 11 a very large part --12 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 13 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: -- of the investment cycle. 14 And I'm just wondering, you know, that dropped off. 15 Whv did it drop off? 16 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 17 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: When will it come back? 18 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 19 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: And will it come back? 20 MR. PALAZZO: You're right. I'm going to read up 21 22 a little bit. And instead of highlighting the plan, I come to the answers. 23 So the first one is that the reason why, and was 2.4 the reason why I was explaining all the activities, you 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

will see the highest impact of the Green City in Cycle 2 1 actually. We would like to take the opportunity to learn 2 from the activities in Cycle 2. We already committed to 3 CARB that we are happy to identify a second Green City in 4 Cycle 3 already. And I'm pretty sure that you saw in the 5 annual report, we explained in detail all the methodology 6 that we used for identify Sacramento. Actually, we will 7 work a lot with the CARB staff, as well as with all the 8 stakeholders to identify the second city already in the 9 course of Cycle 2 plan. 10

11 So the information is that, yes, we're going to 12 start to selecting the city in Cycle 2, and we are 13 committed to have the second Green City in Cycle 3.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Okay. Well -- okay. 14 Well, I think that will be part of the record, but I just --15 just for the record, the passed cite will give us a 16 different picture. So I know these cycles will keep 17 going, but I think, at some point, the Board will wonder 18 what a plan is that we're approving that changes so 19 significantly, and particularly on the large investments 20 21 like a Green City.

22 So I would just simply say if that's the 23 commitment, we should begin having stakeholders begin to 24 talk about that particular aspect.

25

Just two other questions. I don't want to

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

dominate the conversation. But most of the plan that was 1 put up a moment ago by staff, I was struck by the -- this 2 -- the chart that showed the percentage of how far we have 3 to go. And two percent for VW and the other seven percent 4 for all the other manufacturers. So the way I read that 5 chart was simply that you're two out of seven. You're --6 you know, you're some 28 percent. That's a big percentage 7 in terms of your participation actually in this particular 8 endeavor. 9

So given that, how do you view -- we've been 10 talking a lot about disadvantaged communities, because I 11 look at the maps that we're put up by both yourself and 12 the staff, are these locations making it easier to drive 13 through rural communities or are these locations making it 14 easier for those people who live in rural communities to 15 connect a Leaf, or connect a car that may not have Tesla 16 capabilities? How would you view that as being part and 17 parcel of the solution? And I'll just preface it by 18 saying, I view this many -- I mean, the punishment thing 19 was very pronounced by staff. It was in red and making 20 the distinction between a punishment and investment the 21 22 points made.

But in some sense, I've always thought the goal was for you to put charging stations in places that were not being led, in some cases, by the metropolitan area. I

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

thought the private sector was doing that. I thought, 1 in some sense, CEC was doing that. PUC is doing that. 2 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 3 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: They're incentivizing folks 4 into that category. How do you fit into that ecosystem --5 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 6 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: -- if you are also racing 7 into those areas, and therefore leaving some of these 8 areas that may be on a spine, but will never be able to 9 truly connect from a small rural community to, if you 10 will, a highway. 11 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. So great question again. 12 Thanks for that. 13 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Um-hmm. 14 MR. PALAZZO: Let me use one minute to highlight 15 the whole rural investment that Electrify America is 16 overtaking. There's different elements in Cycle 1 and 17 Cycle 2. 18 I start with Cycle 1. In Cycle 1, you've seen 19 that we are overtaking \$75 million investment on the 20 highway. The point is that it's on a highway, but we have 21 22 a lot of location, which, as you said, they are touching a lot of rural areas. I'm thinking about some -- I'm 23 thinking about all the CA-99, as you mentioned, a question 2.4 city. So these are a pure rural region. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Out of the \$75 million, 40 percent we calculated are completely going into rural areas. The good point is that we will be able in Cycle 1 to build up very large amount of highway charging giving us the chance to have a spacing between the stations of not more than 48 miles, coming to your point.

7 So what does it mean? That we have the ability 8 in Cycle 2, and I think the luxury, to only filling 9 spaces. And actually we have two additional investment 10 cycles behind that.

Coming to Cycle 2 specifically, we are investing 11 25, 30 million dollars in Cycle 2 and 80 percent, 80 12 percent, of this goes into rural areas. So why I'm 13 telling you to do that. First of all, because if you 14 combine -- the math is pretty easy. It's more than \$50 15 million going into rural areas. And I think, which is 16 17 important, is the way we are designing the stations is that, of course, they are beneficial for people moving on 18 a highway, but they are much more highly beneficial also 19 for the people living in rural areas. 20

21 Why that? Because it's a combination of highway 22 and metro station, you know, that we are building with 23 Walmart, Target. So these are locations where rural areas 24 people, you know, need to go. And we think that's the 25 best place actually we can combine this approach.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

And one additional point is that we are not happy enough, let's say, and we -- we wanted not only to invest on DC fast charging station in rural. And that's the reason why we not only plan, but even increased, the level of the investment on Level 2 charging in rural area. This will go in Imperial, Central, and Coachella Valley.

And while the amount of money could, you know, be small -- or be seen as small, actually two million for Level 2 a lot of money. So we are talking about more than 40 stations, hundreds of chargers, and we are going to locate them into educational and health centers.

12 The reason why we did that is because there are 13 some DOE researchers based in California that seeing that 14 when you start working in these location, historically, EV 15 adoption is waiting.

So if you combine all that, and then I'll give a minute to you, we are talking about more than 50 million investment in rural areas, investment on highway plus metro charging, which is high beneficial for both use cases, and we added a new piece on Level 2 site.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Okay. Just my -- I don't want to dom -- this is my last question. Just -- not a question, maybe a comment. I think the issue is, going back to my -- the Board is -- you're the CEO and CEOs come and they stay sometimes long periods of time, kind of like

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Mary Nichols around for a long time. And then there's some CEOs that are trans -- kind of move. So you're going to be here for a little bit.

Next 30-year plan -- next 30-month plan -- here's 4 what I think is lacking. And I'd really like the staff to 5 work with you on this. I think we lacked the data to 6 7 support most of what you've just told us. And I'm going to hold it at face value. I'm going to say that 8 everything you've given is absolutely a correct point. 9 But I don't think we have enough data for the Board itself 10 and the staff to really, in some sense -- a once-a-year 11 check-in again is problematic. I applaud the fact that 12 you want to have more reporting in some sense or 13 get-togethers. 14

But I think data accessibility, would you commit to somehow helping us with the data that would be necessary for us to continue monitoring how this investment is going? It is an investment. You look at it that way. If we're looking at it that way from the other side of the table --

21

MR. PALAZZO: Yeah, yeah.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: -- we need lots of data. We need lots of accessibility. We need transparency. We need to see the data that you're looking at. We need to be able to evaluate were you're doing like any company

1 would or board of a company.

2

21

22

23

MR. PALAZZO: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: So I'm just wondering if -that's what seems to be lacking, particularly in this case. We have lots of presentations, but very little data.

7 MR. PALAZZO: I -- let me comment also briefly But I'm a little bit surprised, because if you look here. 8 at Cycle 2 plan and the annual report, I think we're 9 having a pretty scientific approach there. I understand 10 that it's almost 100 sites document. It's difficult to 11 navigate, and we think we are a data-driven company that 12 takes also position based on data, but I take the point 13 with me. 14

15 If you -- actually, perception is true, so if you 16 think that we need to increase the quality and the amount, 17 I'm very happy to deep dive with you, understand work we 18 can improve, and together also with CARB team try to --19 try to do if we need to do a better job to increase the 20 transparency and the quality.

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Thank you. CHAIR NICHOLS: I think I'm -- thank you. This has been a long colloquy, and I didn't make

24 any attempt to stop it, because I thought it was useful.
25 I found out, just as I was on my way here this morning,

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

that two of our Board members had written a piece which 1 was published at about 3:00 a.m., I believe, today on this 2 same topic. And so I wanted to make sure -- it was 3 published in the Sacramento Bee actually. And I think the 4 points are obviously reflecting deep concerns and wanting 5 to make sure that the rest of the conversation goes well. 6 7 So for that reason, unless there are other Board members that really feel a need to speak at this point, I 8 would like to move directly to the public comment, if I 9 may. And then we'll have some time at the end for other 10 Board members also to check in. 11 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Can I ask just one 12 question? 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 14 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: It will be short. 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. 16 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Thank you. Thank you 17 for your -- you work, and really I think your -- you --18 you hear the message and understanding that -- and 19 flexibility and changing. It's very important, because 20 the rural intent is very good. And the question is but we 21 22 need to prove it to ourselves that it's actually doing what we intend it to do. 23 MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. 2.4 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: My question is in terms 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 of the Cycle 1 and Cycle 2 when are they done, or what are 2 the benchmarks when we get to Cycle 3? Well, where do we 3 expect to be in Cycle 1 in terms of what we've 4 accomplished?

MR. PALAZZO: Yeah. So in Cycle 1, I do not want 5 to complain, because it was also, you know, on -- let's 6 7 say on the ability of -- of this ability of Electrify America at that time. But in Cycle 1 we commit to have 8 100 city sites in place DC fast charging station by the 9 end of the cycle, which is only -- which is only, let's 10 say, mid of next year. And let me underline only that you 11 understand the magnitude of the effort that we are doing. 12

We are committing to this target, and we are not asking CARB Board to any kind of, let's say, postponement to that, despite the fact that you know that we have an approval eight months after the start of the cycle.

17 So what is happening is that Electrify America is 18 doing all these figures that we are bringing into the 19 funnel. You have seen that we opened Livermore last week, 20 250 kilowatt charger, which is amazing in the space, in 21 only 14 months.

22 So my only request to you is that I hope that you 23 see what Electrify America is providing in terms of 24 construction pace and quality on the national level.

25

So on the APA side, Electrify America is almost

more than 55 sites online, and more than 70 are ready to 1 be energized. So my goal, and the goal of the team, is 2 that -- and that's the reason why it's important to have a 3 green light from you today, we want to show this pace also 4 in California. Give us the chance to show us what 5 Electrify America is able to do in a bunch of months, 6 because we are able to confirm to you that pace that you 7 are seeing on a national level also in California, if you 8 give the approval today. 9

So the combination from Cycle 1 and Cycle 2 would 10 be an unprecedented number of DC fast charging station, 11 Level 2 charging, metro areas, workplaces, which would be 12 an unprecedented pace in the space. While I not want to 13 14 be too, let's say, to -- do not show the eqo of Electrify America here, but let me emphasize that nobody was able in 15 the EV space before to build up so fast like Electrify 16 America is doing over the last months. 17

So I'm committing that we are going to build up 18 and fulfill all the obligation on Cycle 1. And if you see 19 to the variety and the diversity of the Cycle 2 plan, 20 which I agree with Senator Florez, is much, much more 21 22 mature. So if you compare the two plans, the second one we are having so much more complexity. We are having 23 autonomous driving, we are having -- we are having 24 residential. We are having all elements that actually 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

will make our life not easier. But the reason why we are doing that is because we think we need it, if we want to move on and increase EV adoption in the space. 3

1

2

So I think at the end of Cycle 2, we will be able 4 to deliver the promise that CARB staff mentioned to be 5 able to cover more than 85 percent of the EV cars in the 6 7 market only through Electrify America.

The question I have not to you, but to the whole 8 team, is that you have seen the chart, despite the 9 tremendous impact of the two billion investment of 10 Electrify America -- and actually we are going to achieve 11 only two percent of the charging needs in California. 12 Together with the other colleagues and company which are 13 working, we're going to achieve the 46 percent. 14 So the question is that who is covering all the rest? 15

The way -- the reason why I'm telling to you that 16 17 is that there is enough space for other companies to come And potentially, we need other companies to work with 18 in. Electrify America, all the other players, to achieve the 19 qoals of California. 20

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. I was going to ask the 21 22 staff to put up that slide again that showed 2025 and 2030, and the fractions of the charging that actually we 23 think we have covered, as well as what's not covered. 2.4 And before we finish this item today, I also want to ask the 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

staff to be -- to add some more thoughts about how we can 1 work more effectively to make sure that that big gap is 2 filled, because it's enormous. It's not just on ARB. 3 It's not just on Volkswagen obviously, but we need to be 4 working with our colleagues at GO-Biz, at the PUC, the 5 Energy Commission and others to make sure that we're 6 helping to steer investments in the direction that's 7 needed for the -- to support the kind of market that we 8 are very much hoping to achieve here in California. 9

All right. I'd like turn to the witnesses. And because we've got 50 of them, and because this item has been covered quite extensively, I'm going to ask all of you to -- beginning right now, to limit your comments to two minutes, if you -- if you will do that.

All right. We have a list up on the Board, so let's get started. And if you can be moving along, when you see your name is coming up on the list and moving down towards the front, that will also save us some time. Thank you.

20 MS. MAINA: Hello. My name is Eva Maina. I'm 21 here on behalf the Assembly Member Kevin McCarty, who 22 asked me to read following statement:

23 "Chairwoman Nichols and Board members, thank you 24 for the opportunity to speak in support of Electrify 25 America's Cycle 2 investment plan. The State of

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

California has enacted robust climate change and air quality policies. In order to make the substantial reduction in emissions of greenhouse gases and harmful particular[SIC] matter required to meet California's climate and clean air goals, we need substantial investments in transformative technologies and infrastructure.

"As a representative of the City of Sacramento 8 and the California State Assembly, I was very pleased to 9 see that Electrify America designates Sacramento as its 10 first Green City in Cycle 1, which will continue through 11 Cycle 2. Electrify America has partnered with the City of 12 Sacramento and the Sacramento Regional Transit District to 13 launch two EV car share programs and an EV car transit 14 service in early 2019. 15

"This transit service will connect the cities of 16 17 Sacramento and Davis, and will expand higher education access and economic development opportunities in the 18 greater Sacramento region. Cycle 2 will focus on 19 continuing the build-out of the charging infrastructure, 20 as well as implementing an innovative residential charging 21 22 program. I believe that these prudent investments, especially in disadvantaged communities, will put us on a 23 path to reach our ambitious climate goals. 2.4

25

"For these reasons, I respectfully urge you and

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

your fellow CARB Board members to approve Electrify 1 America's Cycle 2 investment plan". 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 4 MS. ROBINSON: Good morning, Madam Chair, Board 5 members. My name is Judy Robinson and I chair the 6 7 Sacramento Area Plug-In Electric Vehicle Collaborative. On behalf of the PEV collaborative I want to thank you for 8 the opportunity to speak to you today and extend our 9 appreciation for selecting Sacramento as the first Green 10 City. 11 We've been incredibly busy since you made this 12 decision, and we hope that our supportive comments for 13 Cycle 2 investment will result in approval by your Board 14 today. We still have much to do. 15 The PEV Collaborative comprised of the City and 16 17 County of Sacramento, SMUD, the Air Quality Management District, SACOG, Sacramento Clean Cities, Sacramento 18 Electric Vehicle Owners Association, and numerous others 19 have been working together since 2015 to increase the 20 deployment of electric vehicles and related 21 infrastructure. 22 In June 2017, we completed an electric vehicle 23 readiness and infrastructure plan that outlines the 2.4 current and forecasted demand for charging infrastructure 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

and prioritizes their locations across Sacramento.

Electrify America has also joined and actively 2 participates in our collaborative. Because of Green City 3 and Electrify America investment, we've been able to 4 leverage their investment with other funding to start 5 implementing the EV readiness plan. Charging 6 7 infrastructure, new electric mobility with the Franklin neighborhood shuttle bringing much needed clean and new 8 mobility options to this disadvantaged community, and 9 numerous other investments are already transforming the 10 electric vehicle environment across Sacramento and the 11 region. 12

The Cycle 2 plan is well thought out and strategic. We're pleased that there will be ongoing support to advance Green City work, along with increasing education, outreach, new mobility options, and growing charging infrastructure particularly in our low-income and disadvantaged communities.

19 The Sacramento Area PEV Collaborative committed 20 to the success of the Green City Initiative and Cycle 1 21 and 2 investments, and urge your support of the Cycle 2 22 plan. We will continue to do whatever might else be 23 necessary to ensure the success of the investments going 24 forward.

25

1

Thank you.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. GRESS: Good morning. My name Madam Chair and members. It's great to see you all. Jennifer Gress on behalf of Sacramento Mayor Darrell Steinberg. We're here in strong support of this plan. Home to Electrify America's first Green City.

This plan is thoughtful, demonstrates significant 7 outreach and learning over time, and provides the 8 necessary level of support to continue the Green City 9 Initiative in Cycle 2. To date, Electrify America has 10 been a collaborative and responsive partner with a strong 11 commitment to addressing Sacramento's community needs, 12 with a strong focus on low-income and disadvantaged 13 communities. 14

On that point, there is significant planned 15 investment in those communities, particularly for car 16 sharing and the ZEV shuttles. For example, about 70 17 percent of Gig's home zone for free flow car share is 18 in -- going to be in disadvantaged or low-income 19 communities. And 75 percent of Envoy's planned 20 investments are going to be in low income and 21 22 disadvantaged communities. So we're very, very pleased with that level of investment. 23

Over the past year, the city has been working quickly to establish the policy frameworks, and permitting

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

process -- processes to enable the expeditious 1 implementation of Green City investments, including a --2 adopting a car share policy, developing permitting fees, 3 and establishing expedited permitting processes. 4 With respect to permitting, we have permitted 5 four fast charging depots and three are under construction 6 now with a fourth scheduled to start after the holidays. 7 And we've also permitted 13 Level 2 chargers at 8 multi-family buildings, and six are under construction or 9 operational. 10 We look forward to continuing our partnership in 11 implementing the Green City Initiative, and we're happy to 12 share what we are learning with the Board and with other 13 communities moving forward. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thanks. 16 MR. PIMENTEL: Madam Chair and Board members, 17 Michael Pimentel here to support the Cycle 2 plan on 18 behalf of the City of Los Angeles's Mayor, Eric Garcetti. 19 We think -- we want to thank Electrify America 20 for working with the Los Angeles region over the past nine 21 22 months to get this Cycle to 2 plan to roll out. The necessity for concentrated funding to keep pace with 23 demand in the city are critical, and we appreciate the 2.4 continued open dialogue with the Electrify America team. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 The tremendous need for the Cycle 2 investments 2 within the City of Los Angeles cannot be underscored 3 enough. As you know, despite making significant 4 improvements over the last decade, Los Angeles still has 5 some of the worst air quality in the country, mostly 6 concentrated in underserved communities.

Los Angeles County is home to over 50 percent of the state's top 25 percent disadvantaged communities as ranked by the CalEnviroScreen, and over 60 percent of the state's top 10 percent of disadvantaged communities. In all, over four million people in Los Angeles County live in disadvantaged communities.

Now, addressing the emissions from our transportation sector, as you know, is essential to addressing our pollution challenges and for serving the needs of some of our most disadvantaged and overburdened communities.

The Electrify America funding is critical for 18 addressing these needs. Some evaluations show that the 19 need for infrastructure to support electric vehicles is 20 100 times greater in Los Angeles than in other parts of 21 22 the State. Currently, there are about 2,000 publicly-available chargers. Although, there are 23 approximately 143,000 EVs in Los Angeles. About five 24 percent of new car sales in Los Angeles are electric 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

vehicles. And we are the third largest market in the
 world for EVs after Shanghai and Beijing. And that demand
 is expected to grow.

For these reasons, we are supportive of ARB's goals to use these funds to accelerate ZEV adoption. And we think the level of investment in the plan for metropolitan areas like Los Angeles fairly corresponds to our level of need. And we ask the Board to approve this proposal today.

10

Thank you so much.

MR. LE: Good morning. My name is Minh Le. 11 Ι serve as the general manager for energy environmental 12 services or the County of Los Angeles with broad 13 responsibility over the deployment of EV infrastructure 14 and ZEV infrastructure across county facilities, as well 15 as in partnership with other public agencies in the region 16 in harmonizing and identifying challenges and 17 opportunities for ZEV adoption. One of the key areas that 18 we observed is actually the permitting as other speakers 19 before us have already identified. 20

My first comment really has to do -- and I'm coming from a position as a technologist and someone who has studied, and participated, and led technology sectors in making profound societal and environmental impact, growing sectors as high as 150 times.

And so one of the key aspects here that I want to point out is that we are at a critical phase in EV adoption and infrastructure investments. We'll help increase that moving beyond that early need of that S curve. So what's critical here, I believe, is that the investments are targeted in areas where it will have maximal impact.

8 And the region of the Los Angeles and other 9 metropolitan regions, we have very significant deployments 10 of EVs, as pointed out earlier by the City of Los Angeles. 11 We have roughly 50 percent of the disadvantaged 12 communities, you know, across the state, and about 25 13 percent of the EV registered according to the CVRP 14 program.

15 So, in short, I believe we should be dedicating 16 the majority of our investments and funding in areas with 17 greatest unmet need, and that being in the major 18 metropolitan areas, and L.A. in particular, has not seen 19 the level of investments that we need in order to grow EV 20 adoption significantly.

So demand in the L.A. region is increasing exponentially, as pointed out by the Luskin Center. Where we see investments, we will see increased adoption as well.

So I think that's my time.

25

1 2

3

4

5

(Laughter.)

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you so much. I appreciate the digression. It was -- it was really very helpful, so thanks for your help.

Okay.

MR. SMART: Hi. Anne Smart with ChargePoint. 6 7 Thanks for the opportunity to have the stakeholder meeting last week. We appreciate Analisa coming forward as the 8 point of contact for our competitive concerns. And we 9 continue to have significant concerns, particularly around 10 the notion that these are incremental and additional 11 stations, as implied by the Venn diagram that staff put up 12 earlier. 13

Just last week, one of my sales representatives for Silicon Valley reached out to me in a panic, because one of our workplace customers, a tech company headquartered in Cupertino with dozens of our stations already installed was in the middle of working out a deal with us, and then was approached by Electrify America with the offer of free stations.

Now, of course, this tech company, which would have otherwise spent its own private money, is going to move forward with taking the free stations instead and working with Electrify America.

25

This is an ongoing issue for us. It's something

that my company internally would like me to figure out a solution for. But I've let them know that it doesn't seem that the incremental and additional guidance that CARB provided at the beginning of this process is being implemented. And I expect this is going to continue over the next few years.

7 We're not asking for leg up in the marketplace. We're just asking for a level playing field. We know this 8 money is going to be spent in metro areas. We understand 9 that there's a need, as was just addressed by L.A. But we 10 may need to reconsider our own position in those markets. 11 We can't use the State funding even to compete against 12 free. We tried to apply utility programs. We've tried to 13 14 apply rebate programs. But we don't have the ability to offer free charging stations, and that's currently what's 15 occurring in this marketplace. 16

Yes, there's a greater need for stations in California than either us or Electrify America could alone do. But if one company's actions are displacing another company's ability to sell a station, then you're not going to see the growth that California needs to meet its goal.

Our concerns remain in place and we look forwardto working with CARB on this. Thanks.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

2.4

25

MR. SERFASS: Chair Nichols, Vice Chair Berg, and

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

members of the Board, thank you. I'm Jeff Serfass. I'm Executive Director of the California Hydrogen Business We've provided comments before. I want to Council. 3 update those comments here verbally with you today.

1

2

4

8

Since the last ARB Board meeting, we have pursued 5 a dialogue with Electrify America in a def -- in a deffort 6 7 -- in an effort to identify investment decisions and criteria that they've applied. Many of our members have attended the call that we had with EA. We hope that EA 9 will continue to find ways to ensure a robust hydrogen 10 station plan as they have alluded to before. 11

But with regard to the learnings about the 12 hydrogen industry, we had over 250 people here in town in 13 the last two days at our hydrogen fuel cell summit. 14 And it would have been a great time for EA to attend and hear 15 the business speakers covering a broad array of the 16 17 industry and learn about the business details in our industry. 18

We need them to provide a balanced ZEV investment 19 plan, not just a battery electric vehicle investment plan 20 we. Ask ARB to review their -- EA's financial feasibility 21 22 and cost effectiveness criteria. Are they the same for charging stations as for hydrogen stations? 23

Automobile manufacturers have made large 2.4 investments in fuel cell electric vehicles, billions of 25

dollars, and it amazes us that EA has not found good investment options for hydrogen stations, as both EV charging and hydrogen stations face financial feasibility 3 and cost effectiveness challenges at this early stage.

1

2

4

In Cycle 1, we were told there were going to be 5 hydrogen investments. This investment plan continues to 6 7 not support hydrogen infrastructure. This approach continues the unequal treatment of hydrogen when ARB 8 itself says fuel cell electric vehicles are critical to 9 meeting greenhouse gas goals. And ARB should question the 10 fuel and brand neutrality of this ZEV investment plan. 11

There is a need for heavy-duty deployment. 12 There's an important synergism between Heavy-duty and 13 light-duty fuel cell vehicles, because hydrogen production 14 for heavy-duty will drive down the cost of hydrogen. 15 The number of vehicles in light-duty will drive down the cost 16 of the fuel cells. 17

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 18 MR. SERFASS: So we ask you to address this 19 inequality issue, whether you approve the plan or not --20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Please. 21 MR. SERFASS: -- after. 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: I hear you. Thank you. 23 Your time is up. Thanks. 24 MR. WALLAUCH: Good morning, Steve Wallauch on 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

behalf of the County of San Bernardino. We are here to express the support of the County on behalf -- for Electrify America's Cycle 2 investment plan, in particular the addition of the Inland Empire into the investments for fast charging stations.

6 You know, as you know, the Inland Empire suffers 7 from the highest ozone and PM air quality in the country, 8 particularly from the air quality that blows in, as well 9 as, you know, produced from locally generated emissions. 10 Expanding the charging infrastructure will further 11 stimulate customers to select zero-emission vehicles.

12 And for these reasons, we urge your support for 13 the expenditure plan.

Thank you

14

15

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning, Board and members. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Paul Hernandez. I'm the head of public policy and government relations with Envoy Technologies. Envoy is here to provide support for Electrify America's proposed Cycle 2 ZEV investment plan, and encourage the Board to pass approval of it today.

As outlined in Appendix C of the partial consent decree, Electric America will invest \$2 billion in ZEV infrastructure, education, and access efforts over the

course of a decade, enabling millions of Americans to discover the benefits of driving an electric vehicle and the added goal of increasing overall ZEV adoption across the U.S. with \$800 million of investment.

1

2

3

4

As part of the Green City Initiative, Envoy is a proud partner and has been selected by Electrify America to support increased ZEV adoption in the City of Sacramento. In the city, Envoy is providing community based EV car sharing systems as an amenity in apartment buildings. And Envoy's fleet will deploy 142 vehicles across 71 locations, each with a dedicated EV charger.

What is unique about Envoy's community based 12 platform is that every community has different mobility 13 needs. And we can identify those needs and cater to them, 14 whether it's the need of students accessing vehicles or 15 caregivers supporting low-income seniors going to doctors' 16 offices, or any number of scenarios, including just simply 17 going to the grocery store. Envoy can be used as a tool 18 to be leveraged for the community. 19

20 With 75 percent of the fleet expected to deployed 21 in disadvantaged communities, Envoy's services will 22 provide equitable transportation for all drivers. And as 23 Cycle 2 rolls out, we will seek to work closely with 24 Electrify America in supporting the deployment of that 25 program as well.

1 So I am running out of time. I had a little bit 2 more, but I wanted to close by saying thank you for the 3 opportunity to speak today. And in closing, I would like 4 to introduce the next speaker, who specifically is Mr. 5 Johnny Garcia, who is one of our Envoy ambassadors, and 6 is -- will speak to you today about his experiences in 7 using the Envoy system.

> So thank you very much for your time. CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

10 MR. GARCIA: Hi. Sorry. My name is Johnny 11 Garcia. And like he said, I am a regular Envoy user. Oh, 12 and also thank you for the opportunity to speak here. 13 Thank you.

And as he stated, the electric vehicles are a great experience for me, because I'm a college student and I don't own a car. It's very hard to maintain, you know, a job, and school, and then, you know, save up money for a car. It's all very hard.

And what they offer is a great service, because I use it all the time to go to Costco or, you know, the grocery store to -- you know, there's some cheap stuff everywhere also, other than Costco.

(Laughter.)

8

9

23

24 MR. GARCIA: And it's very -- it saves a lot of 25 money. And it's very great. I don't know what --

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

6

7

8

(Laughter.)

2 MR. GARCIA: Sorry, I'm very nervous to be up 3 here right now, but -- yeah, like I said, it's very great. 4 It's cheap, and it's a great alternative to owning a car, 5 in the meanwhile, until we get up on our feet.

> Yeah. Thank you so much for letting me speak. BOARD MEMBER SERNA: Good job.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Good job.

9 MR. DULICH: Good morning. Good morning, Chair 10 Nichols and the entire CARB Board. Thank you very much 11 for this opportunity to speak. My name is Matt Dulich. 12 And on behalf of the Government Relations Office of the 13 University of California at Davis, I am here to support 14 the ongoing efforts of the Electrify America investment 15 plan.

16 Through the Electrify America Green City 17 Initiative in Sacramento, a unique partnership has emerged 18 consisting of UC Davis, the local transit districts, and 19 the City of Sacramento. This partnership proposes a 20 public transit service from the UC Davis main campus in 21 Davis through downtown Sacramento, and to the UC Davis 22 hospital and health campus in Sacramento.

This new public transit service will replace an existing charter bus service that uses diesel vehicles along a corridor with high demand for more frequent

From the existing hourly service, the service. partnership effort with Electrify America will achieve 2 high-frequency service up to every 15 minutes during peak 3 periods. 4

1

This type of transit service using battery 5 electric buses will reduce greenhouse gas emissions, 6 provide increased convenience to riders and attract new 7 riders, further reducing vehicle emissions. Many of our 8 current UC Davis students and employees utilize 9 single-occupancy vehicles to connect from Sacramento to 10 Davis because the existing transit service options are 11 infrequent. 12

We are projecting that the new service could 13 quadruple ridership. To support this new service, the 14 Electrify America contribution will fund 12 full-sized 15 electric buses and the charging equipment to support those 16 buses. We are leveraging this initial investment with 17 additional funds to operate the service. 18

Additionally, the Electrify America proposal has 19 prompted UC Davis to invest further with construction of a 20 new transit mobility center at the UC Davis Hospital. 21

22 For UC Davis, thank you for this opportunity to comment. Our students and employees need more transit 23 options, and Electrify America has been a great partner. 2.4 Thank you. 25

1

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MR. BLOCH: Honored Board members, good morning. 2 My name is Steve Bloch, and I'm the Western Regional Vice 3 President for ABB. ABB would like to thank the Air 4 Resources Board for inviting comments in an important step 5 that California will take toward enabling electrification 6 7 in its cities and along its highways, a step that will support the State's clean air mission and improve quality 8 of life for all Californians. 9

California is home to hundreds of our 24,000 10 U.S.-based employees, along with two important product 11 groups ABB Digital and ABB Wireless, both of which deliver 12 the benefits of the industrial internet of things to our 13 customers, including EV charging infrastructure users. 14 At ABB, we've been deploying thousands of fast charging 15 stations around the world for nearly a decade. Because of 16 this experience, we deeply understand the challenges 17 related to investing in and managing large charging 18 networks. 19

Yet, we already see the right ingredients in Electrify America's plan to build not just high-quality charging sites, but sites with long-term operational accountability that will meet driver's needs today and well into the future, especially as millions more electric vehicles hit California's roads in the coming years.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

More specifically, ABB was award a contract by 1 Electrify America to supply both 150 kilowatt and 350 2 kilowatt charging stations. In deploying our high-powered 3 charging systems, Electrify America is offering EV drivers 4 the opportunity to benefit from the technology by reducing 5 charging times and making EV ownership easier. 6 Due to economies of scale, we've seen investment 7 in high power accelerate electrification adoption by other 8 markets, such as by medium- and heavy-duty fleets, which 9 is a critical sector for the state of California to reduce 10 emissions and meet its clean air targets. 11 In summary, the plan's focus on deploying 12 charging infrastructure to diverse communities and vehicle 13 types, while driving awareness of the numerous benefits of 14 EVs, will make significant strides in accelerating the 15 level of EV adoption. 16 For these reasons, we welcome and support CARB's 17 timely approval of Electrify America's plan. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 20 Both of these are working, right, just to be 21 22 sure. 23 Okay. MR. ADDIS: 2.4 Sorry. CHAIR NICHOLS: Great. No problem. 25 Just want to

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

make sure.

MR. ADDIS: Good morning, Chair and members. 2 Reed Addis on behalf of Valley Clean Air Now also known as 3 We are in support today. Valley CAN. In particular, 4 based on our experience both partnering with your 5 organization and the regional air district in the San 6 7 Joaquin Valley, we've had the pleasure of implementing State programs and local programs that have benefited 8 disadvantaged community members with new and used clean --9 or, excuse me, used clean vehicles. 10

11 That effort has allowed us to have some expertise 12 on how to deploy these types of programs in these 13 disadvantaged communities, and therefore, have had the 14 opportunity to work under Cycle 1 on working with 15 education and awareness programs in the San Joaquin Valley 16 in disadvantaged communities.

We have found the efforts in Cycle 1 have allowed 17 us to actually enhance and almost double the number of 18 vehicles going into citizens' hands. Based on that 19 experience and working and providing comments on Cycle 2, 20 we've seen not only the continued commitment in the 21 22 education and awareness area to these disadvantaged communities, we've seen an increase in funding and are 23 therefore very supportive today. 2.4

25

Thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 1 MS. JIMENEZ: Good morning, Chair Nichols and 2 Board members. My name is Lourdes Jimenez, and I'm here 3 on behalf of San Diego Gas and Electric in support of 4 Electrify America's Cycle 2 zero-emission vehicle 5 investment plan in California. 6 7 Also, just as a quick side note, as a native San Diegan, I want to congratulate Supervisor Roberts for all 8 his contributions to the San Diego region and to the 9 State. I've basically grown up with you, so --10 CHAIR NICHOLS: You get extra time for that. 11 (Laughter.) 12 BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: Give her an extra minute. 13 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Very clever. Yeah, exactly. MS. JIMENEZ: San Diego Gas and Electric service 15 territory accounts for approximately 50 percent of all 16 greenhouse gas emissions. Light-duty vehicles in 17 particular make up approximately 97 percent of all 18 registered vehicles in San Diego county, and are 19 responsible for approximately 80 percent of combined 20 on-road and off-road GHG emissions. 21 22 Both, CARB and the Governor have proposed lofty goals to assist with significant GHG reductions. 23 То achieve these goals, we need to increase the zero-emission 2.4 vehicle market across California through thoughtful 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

policies, legislation, incentives, and charging programs. 1 San Diego Gas and Electric is doing its part by 2 implementing an innovative infrastructure program and 3 engaging stakeholders in the creation of rate design, that 4 will incentivize zero emission adoption. 5 San Diego Gas and Electric believes that 6 7 Electrify America's Cycle 2 plan will help accelerate the ZEV market across California including within 8 disadvantaged communities. 9 Again, we support their investment plan and 10 respectfully request the Board to approve -- to approve 11 today's plan. 12 In closing, we do want to recommend -- offer 13 14 recommendation for subsequent investment cycles, and this is to -- we would like to see a greater investment in San 15 Diego Gas and Electric service territory, as we have one 16 of the largest metropolitan regions in the state. 17 San Diego and -- San Diego Gas and Electric is 18 currently -- oh, thank you. We look forward to working 19 with you guys. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Two minutes is a 21 22 really short amount of time. Thanks. MS. MATSUO: Thank you very much for giving 23 chance to speak here to support Electrify America 2.4 investment plan. I'm Ayaka Matsuo from Marubeni America 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Corporation. And Marubeni is one of the biggest trading companies from Japan. And we are distributing electric vehicle charging stations to the -- all over the world. And our partner manufacturer is Signet EV, Inc. It is from South Korea. And Electrify America selected Marubeni and Signet as a supplier of super high powered charging stations in Cycle 1.

And in Cycle 1, we already delivered 200 chargers to the site, and in -- and we will deliver another 200 units in next six months. According to the California, we will start deliver from January -- next January.

I think the project is really going well. And we sincerely support the Electrify America Investment Plan Cycle 2 because, first, they're -- they are target future proof and user-friendly charger. I communicate Electrify America members for more than two years, and we know -- we know they are really trying to make better chargers from hardware side and software side both.

And their concept is, as you know, park, charge, and go, so it's very easy. And I visited some Electrify America sites and it's very clear and easy to use.

Second is charging speed. Our charger of maximum charging speed is 350 kilowatts. And it means by one minute charging, the charge -- electric vehicle can drive 25 20 miles. It's very fast, and it is enough for driving

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 2

3

4

and competitive compared with gasoline fueling.

So I think Electrify America charging, in our view, make us to buy electric vehicle. And, yeah, thank you very much.

5

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thanks.

6 MR. McCLORY: Good morning, Chair Nichols, Vice 7 Chair Berg, and members of the Board. My name is Matt 8 McClory with Toyota Motor North America. And I really 9 appreciate the opportunity to make a comment today. My 10 remarks will be brief, and almost a repeat of our comments 11 from Toyota that was made at the Board hearing for the 12 first investment plan.

Toyota appreciates California's technology-neutral approach to vehicle electrific -electrification. And we strongly believe that the investment plan should follow the same principle and support the rollout of both plug-in and fuel cell technologies.

As ARB staff have recognized, both battery and fuel cell technologies will be critical and necessary to meet the State's clean air and climate goals. And Toyota supports the use of plan funds to expand the market for both. We believe that the lack of investment in hydrogen of both the first and now the second investment plan will negatively impact the volume scale-up of fuel cell

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

vehicles in relation to battery electric vehicles. 1 Further more, exclusion of hydrogen from the 2 investment plan ignores the essential role that hydrogen 3 will play in the electrification of not just the 4 light-duty vehicle fleet, but also across the 5 transportation spectrum, including medium-duty and 6 7 heavy-duty vehicles, and seems to follow only a one pathway for electrification while shutting out another. 8 In this sense, the proposal appears to not only 9 fall short of being technology neutral, but also arguably 10 not brand neutral, which, as we understand it, is a 11 requirement for the consent decree. 12 Toyota therefore urges the Board to instruct 13 staff to work with Electrify America to assure that 14 hydrogen is included in this investment plan, and if not 15 possible, to be included in the next series. 16 17 Thank you. MR. COOPER: Good morning, Chair Nichol[SIC] and 18 members of the Board. My name is Pete Cooper. I'm the 19 Vice President here on behalf of Lucid Motors in support 20 of Electrify America's Cycle 2 investment plan. 21 Lucid Motors is an electric vehicle OEM with our global 22 headquarters and our development team here in Newark, 23 California. We launch our first electric vehicle here in 2.4 California from a U.S. factory in 2020. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

In September of this year, we announced that we had entered into an agreement with Electrify America for 2 them to provide our customers access to their ultrafast 3 charging network across California and the United States. 4

1

2.4

25

Electrify America's infrastructure is a critical 5 element of our go-to-market strategy. And our selection 6 7 came after an extensive and thorough review of our alternatives. Central to this decision are Electrify 8 America's high power levels, nationwide coverage, and a 9 very well developed charging experience. 10

In our close work with Electrify America, both 11 before and after this announcement, their technical, 12 commercial, and operational credentials have been 13 resoundingly reinforced and their support of us has been 14 extent. 15

Lucid Motors is proud to be bringing a 16 zero-emission car to market here in California, but it's a 17 highly capital intensive process, and additional capital 18 for charging can be overwhelming. Working with EA to 19 provide electric ultrafast charging to our customers is 20 21 critical to our business plan.

22 We strongly support Electrify America's Cycle 2 investment plan and recommend approval. 23

> Thank you for the opportunity to speak. Thank you. CHAIR NICHOLS:

> > J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

MR. MALTESE: Good morning, Board -- Chair 1 Nichols and Board Members. Thank you for having me today. 2 My name is Xavier Maltese. I'm the Government Affairs 3 Director for AAA. And on behalf of AAA and our 5.8 4 million members and Gig car share, we would like to 5 express our support for the Electrify America's Cycle 2 6 California ZEV Investment Plan. 7

Gig is the only free floating car share
program -- car share operation in Northern California.
This is a shared mobility venture introduced by AAA, a
not-for-profit member benefit organization. AAA is proud
to be a part of the Green City Initiative to support the
increased ZEV access in the City of Sacramento.

In the spring of 2019, Gig will launch a fleet of 260 EVs in coordination with the City of Sacramento's Transportation and Planning Department. As a traffic safety organization with over 100 years of history in traffic safety and mobility innovation, bringing new green mobility options to communities in need is a priority of AAA and Gig, and we are here to support Cycle 2.

Thank you.

MS. RHODES: Good morning, members of the Board.
And thank you for what you do for our state and for
letting us speak today.

25

21

My name is Jessica Rhodes, and I'm the Director

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

of Strategy at 3fold Communications. 3fold is a local marketing agency with a passion for the Sacramento region. Our role with the Green City program is to positively impact public awareness of zero-emission vehicles, including car sharing, buses, shuttles, and infrastructure locations with a focus on targeting underserved and disadvantaged communities.

8 So how do we reach the hardly reached community 9 members not typically targeted by the ZEV industry? We 10 have formed partnerships with the Health Education Council 11 to engage low-income and disadvantaged communities; Impact 12 Foundry to mobilize the nonprofit sector; and Unseen 13 Heroes, a local event activation team for face-to-face 14 community engagement.

We started by evolving Green City to have a local feel, launching Sac-to-Zero umbrella campaign, a Sacramento movement towards zero tailpipe emissions. Sac-to-Zero was created to educate and inform local citizens about electric vehicles from start to finish.

20 We first met with Electrify America on August 21 22nd. And in just a short time we've launched the 22 Sac-to-Zero campaign website and social media accounts, 23 and produced multiple events, including launching Envoy 24 and activating DOCO last night for the Kings game. 25 In January, we will launch Sac-to-Zero's paid

1 media campaign bringing the EV message to community 2 members via transit, Light Rail, billboards, digital, and 3 supporting facilities.

To complement this promotional campaign, our event strategy will directly engaged residents across Sacramento through activations at local events they're already attending.

One of the most important contributions and 8 components of our work is to facilitate marketing 9 collaboration across multiple stakeholder groups for Green 10 City, the City of Sacramento, the County through the PEV 11 Collaborative, Sacramento Regional Transit, Yolo County 12 Transit District, Franklin Boulevard Neighborhood 13 Corporation, and also external stakeholders including Gig 14 and Envoy. 15

By centralizing the feedback loop in this way, we've established great work.

Thank you.

18

19

20

(Laughter.)

CHAIR NICHOLS: Impressive.

21 MR. McLANAHAN: Good morning, Chair Nichols and 22 Board members. My name is Mark McLanahan. I'm the CEO of 23 MaxGen Energy Services. We are based here in California 24 and are the nations largest clean Energy service provider. 25 We build EV charging infrastructure and provide operations

and maintenance services to wind and solar power plants, and to EV charging infrastructure throughout the United States. In the last three years, MaxGen has grown 10X to 700 employees, many of whom are in California in order to support our partners as they build their portfolios.

MaxGen has worked closely with Electrify America 6 7 to bring about safe, high-quality, and reliable infrastructure to support the adoption of EVs here in 8 California and nationwide. We strongly support the 9 efforts being taken by Electrify America in Cycles 1 and 10 2, because they are growing jobs in California 11 transforming transportation here at home and across the 12 U.S., and lastly are supporting many of the objectives of 13 California's Air Resources Board. 14

Electrify America's Cycle 2 plan will give California the opportunity to stay at the forefront of clean energy and electric vehicle adoption and will support a long-term skilled workforce in the State. Thank you.

MS. HOSTETTER: Good morning, Obrie Hostetter with Hubject. And I first want to start by thanking Chairwoman Nichols and the Board for the opportunity to support the Electrify America Cycle 2 Investment Plan. We also want to thank the California Air Resources Board to its commitment to interoperability.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

We strongly support any and all infrastructure in California that is committed to functional interoperability. This improves access for EV drivers and accelerates the adoption of zero-emission vehicles and helps meet the Governor's goal of five million ZEVs in California by 2030.

7 To give you a little bit of background, Hubject is an electric vehicle charging platform that was formed 8 in 2012 to enable -- enable, excuse me, seamless 9 interoperability or roaming between EV charging networks. 10 Our vision is seamless EV charging for everyone 11 everywhere. We've currently connected over 100,000 charge 12 ports in more than 27 countries. And we utilize a 13 protocol called the Open InterCharge Protocol, or OICP. 14

We strongly support the Electrify America's 15 investment plan, because of its commitment to enhanced EV 16 17 driver experience. There's two things that stand out to The first is its commitment to interoperability and 18 us. using both OICP, and OCPI, which is a the Open Charge 19 Point Interface protocols. This will give network 20 providers the option to choose which protocol is est for 21 22 them. And it will also enhance EV driver experience and access to stations. 23

In addition to that, we also support the inclusion of ISO 15118. This is a global standard that

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

will enable plug and charge, and will create a seamless driver experience. Additional, use, cases for this are 2 also smart charging by directional charging and inductive 3 And we're very excited to see this as part of charging. 4 the plan. 5

1

Hubject is excited about the growing and 6 7 competitive EV charging industry in California. And we thank you very much. And we urge you to approve the plan. 8 Thank you. 9

MS. KAUS: Hello. My name is Michelle Kaus. I'm 10 a senior property manager with BrixMor Property Group. 11 BrixMor is the second largest owner of shopping centers 12 across the country. I manage ten centers in Northern 13 California from Bakersfield to Vallejo. We work with a 14 variety of EV charging companies. And we see value in 15 working with Electrify America and the others, basically 16 for bringing that amenity to the shopping center. 17 We believe we get customers driven to the center, of course, 18 because they need the service. And then they will visit 19 the other tennants at the shopping center. 20

We, of course, want to be good stewards of the 21 22 environment, so the stations support our broader sustainability plans. 23

I did want to set the record straight with 2.4 respect to rent, because there may be a misconception that 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Electrify America or others are overpaying us for rent for the real estate. And that's not true. Our brokers tell me that on our outparcel deals, we get as much as eight times more rent than we are getting from the Electrify America deals and other deals.

And last, we want to encourage open markets. 6 One 7 reason we're very happy with the partnership with Electrify America is because the agreements do not require 8 any exclusivity. And that is not true of our other 9 partnerships, which means we are -- then have the 10 capability of bringing in multiple providers to are larger 11 shopping centers, which will probably be the case as more 12 and more consumers need the service. So we like that 13 flexibility. 14

And in the future, we don't know how these operators are going to maintain their equipment and who's going to have the best technology. And we like that flexibility that our agreement with Electrify America gives us.

20 So BrixMor sent me here to say that we are in 21 support, and we ask for the approval of the Cycle 2 ZEV 22 plan.

Thank you.

23

24 MS. RAHIMI: Good morning, Chair Nichols, Vice 25 Chair Berg and CARB Board members. My name is Angelina

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Rahimi. I am the Community Development Director of the
 Franklin Neighborhood Corporation, which is serving
 disadvantaged Sacramento neighborhood along Franklin
 Boulevard.

5 I am here to support Electrify America 6 initiative. In 2018, we responded to Electrify America's 7 request for zero-emission vehicle bus and shuttle 8 proposals. We teamed up with Sacramento RT to propose 9 electric on-demand microshuttle service in our 10 neighborhood.

Franklin Boulevard has been without a bus line 11 since 2008, which had an adverse impact on our low-income 12 residents' access to jobs and services, as well as air 13 14 quality, and the air quality of life in general. In June 2018, Electrify America invested in our idea. In August, 15 we launched the on-demand shuttle using a three shuttle 16 fleet. It's already a success with residents. 17 And with Electrify America's investment, we will soon replace the 18 shuttle with new quiet and comfortable electric shuttles, 19 and, of course, supporting DC fast charging 20 Infrastructure. 21

The average passenger per day has increased from 64 in August to 148 in October. We had days with over 200 trips. And the maximum rides a month has reached to 3,400 rides.

The Electrify America shuttle service is expected 1 to provide about 26,000 rides in its first year. 2 Consumers are able to request a ride using their 3 smartphone computer or by phone. More than 90 percent of 4 the microshuttle service territory is in low-income or 5 disadvantaged Sacramento neighborhood. 6 7 I would like to highlight two characteristics of our community. Based on CalEnviroScreen 3.0 the southern 8 portion of our neighborhood is in census tract 98 9 percentile for air quality and 98 percentile for 10 unemployment. 11 So the need is great. Thank you so much. 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 13 Good morning, Chair Nichols and Board. 14 MR. SAKS: I appreciate the opportunity to speak. My name is Spencer 15 Saks with the Gualco Group on behalf of the City of Santa 16 Cruz, and I will keep this very brief for you. 17 The City of Santa Cruz is a large metropolitan 18 area that has a lot of SEV growth in this area. And we 19 encourage the Board to approve this resolution. 20 21 Thank you. CHAIR NICHOLS: Good work. 22 (Laughter.) 23 MS. SEATON: Hi, Chair and Board. Good morning. 24 Phoebe Seaton from Leadership Counsel for Justice and 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Accountability. We've been engaged in this process for the past two years and have engaged and appreciate the month that has passed since the last discussion to further discuss some of the concerns and opportunities we see for this program.

As has been discussed I think quite a bit this 6 7 morning, we kind of reiterate our kind of concern and interest in seeing more infrastructure electrical --8 electric vehicle support. In rural disadvantaged 9 communities, there's huge opportunity. We work with --10 we're currently working with two ride share programs in 11 rural San Joaquin Valley and developing programs in the 12 Coachella Valley. And there's just incredible demand for 13 community driven ride share programs, and would like to 14 see more investment there. 15

We hear that there is significant amount of 16 investment to date in what is considered rural areas. 17 Ι think we continue to be concerned that those are 18 supportive of through-way traffic and freeway traffic 19 corridors, and not necessarily in service to the 20 community. We look forward to seeing more information and 21 data as to the extent that those investments have and will 22 support community -- community development and community 23 transportation needs, and would like to see as -- even 24 more investment in disadvantaged communities. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

We think it's very important that investments in disadvantaged communities are in service to disadvantaged communities, not simply placed in disadvantaged 3 communities to the service of through-way drivers.

Thanks so much.

1

2

4

5

MR. HAUSSKE: Good morning. My name is Jared 6 7 Hausske. I am the -- one of the partners and Chief Strategy Officer of creative agency in San Francisco 8 called Eleven. We employ about 100 people in the Bay Area 9 that work predominantly with California based companies. 10 Also have the good fortune of working with Electrify 11 America to develop the national campaign and the 12 California campaign for the Cycle 2 efforts in July. 13

The ambition for the campaign that we're 14 developing and the ambition for Electrify America is 15 aligned with our collective ambitions here in California 16 to drive adoption of zero-emission electric vehicles with 17 a much wider more economically socially and culturally 18 diverse audience. 19

The work we've been developing is based on a very 20 clear belief, I think a belief that we all share, that 21 22 electric vehicle adoption and electric vehicle marketing has been too focused on a very small subset of the 23 population, affluent, urban, environmentally focused 2.4 consumers. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

For this subset, owning an electric car seems like an obvious and positive thing. Zero emission is good for the environment, sticking it to the establishment, if you will.

1

2

3

4

25

5 But for mass adoption, this is exactual -- the 6 exact perceptual barrier that we need to overcome. For 7 mass adoption, we need to broaden the message and appeal 8 to a much broader mass audience. And to appeal to a mass 9 audience, we need to overcome a wide emotional barrier 10 that exists, the wide belief within people the electric 11 cars are for other people, not like them.

And we believe this emotional barrier is about self-identity, and is what we need to overcome, if we want to accelerate growth of zero-emission electric vehicles.

The work we'll be developing is intended to do just that, to open people's minds to the idea of owning a zero-emission electric car by making it feel normal, by normalizing it and feel like a normal car and not something unique or new for a subset of the people, but the right car for everyone.

We'll be ready to launch in July. The campaign will be heavily digital and social in nature. It will launch and appeal to a broad audience, including rural, low-income, and disadvantaged communities.

So, in short, we believe it would be a missed

opportunity for cost efficiencies and expediency to not 1 approve and move forward with the support for this. 2 So thank you. 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 4 Hello. 5 MR. LEVINE: It's not green. Oh, there. 6 Okay. 7 It says green light must be on, but wasn't green. CHAIR NICHOLS: Uh-oh. 8 MR. LEVINE: Chair Nichols and members, Lloyd 9 Levine here representing the Sacramento Electric Vehicle 10 Association, sacramento Electric Vehicle Plug-In 11 Collaborative, and just recently was told the National 12 Electric Automobile Association's representative couldn't 13 be here and asked me to speak. 14 We are in strong support of the Cycle 2 15 investment plan. All three organizations I spoke of 16 really focus on infrastructure deployment and driver 17 perspective. And we think particularly as it relates to 18 charging station deployment, this plan both enables 19 current drivers and fosters the development of burgeoning 20 market for future drivers. It takes into account the 21 22 changing structure of electric vehicles. When electric vehicles first came to the market, most cars had an 85 to 23 100 mile range, with the exception of the Tesla, the very 2.4

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

expensive Tesla.

Now, we've seen about four affordable vehicles, 1 five affordable vehicles in the 200 plus mile range. 2 As those vehicles come to market, and more and more companies 3 release vehicles of extended range, charging behavior will 4 change. We can learn from the past, however, as we look 5 to the future. Most people charge at home. This plan 6 7 recognizes that most people will charge at home and provides charging on corridors to enable longer commutes 8 not inter-neighborhood commutes. It also invests heavily 9 in inner-city urban areas, where people live in 10 multi-family dwellings. 11

And those are really the two areas we need to 12 focus on going forward as we look at charging 13 infrastructure deployment, enabling commutes on longer 14 corridors, such as Interstate 5, Interstate 80, Interstate 15 10 east to west, where you're not just commuting in your 16 neighborhood, and focusing on those Californians who don't 17 have charging infrastructure -- the ability to have 18 charging Infrastructure at home, who live in multi-family 19 dwellings. 20

This plan nails it for both current and future deployment. We want to thank the staff and Electrify America and urge your strong support. Thank you.

24 MR. GANT: Good morning, Madam Chair and 25 distinguished Board members. My name is Simeon Gant. And

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

I'm here representing the nonprofit Green Technical Education and Employment, as well as the California Black Health Network. And we are here in strong support of the Electrify America's Cycle 2 proposal.

1

2

3

4

And for the reasons that -- for -- specifically for the GreenTech Education Program, where we're teaching high school and college students about career opportunities in clean energy, energy efficiency, and sustainable living strategies. And our tag line from way back from 2008 was to give our young people an echo advantage.

And what we're talking about here with Electrify 12 America moving into and assisting disadvantaged 13 communities, GreenTech is giving them an echo advantage. 14 And so if, in fact, we are working with Electrify America 15 where we just started conversations to work together for 16 education and outreach in these disadvantaged communities, 17 our goal is to create and give them an advantage. 18 And bringing this technology to communities like Del Paso 19 Heights, and communities like Oak Park here in Sacramento, 20 we will, in fact, give our students, and our young people, 21 and our communities, those that are ethnically diverse, 22 many that are actually of low income, we will give them an 23 advantage by reducing vehicle miles traveled, as well as 24 reducing emissions and improving our health conditions in 25

1 those communities. So we ask for your support on 2 Electrify America's proposal. Thank you. 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 4 MR. BASSETT: Good morning, Chair Nichols and 5 members of the Board. I'm Terry Bassett, Executive

6 Director of the Yolo County Transportation District. 7 We're not naive to alternative fuels. We've got -- we put 8 on enough miles on our CNG buses to go from here to Mars 9 on a good day anyway.

I'd like to mention that we've been working for three years with UC Davis and Sacramento officials trying to improve bus service between the UC Med Center and UC Davis. And we've now reached the point where it appears that we'll be successful in that.

Electrify America, RT, the City of Sacramento, UC 15 Chancellors -- Davis Chancellors office, and SACOG, along 16 17 with the Yolo Country Transportation District have worked together to pull this off. We've negotiated most terms 18 and conditions with Electrify America. The way the deal 19 will work is Sacramento RT will purchase 12 battery 20 electric buses, half will go to them, half will go to the 21 22 Yolo County Transportation District. A critical thing we just want to keep reminding everyone of is it's important 23 that we get approximately a 300-mile range per charge on 2.4 these vehicles in order to operate the level of service 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

that we're talking about exclusively with electric
 technology.

The other interesting thing we're doing is we're 3 going halves with RT, where they're running half the 4 service and the Yolo County Transportation District is 5 running half the service. The reason we're doing that is 6 7 to avoid a war between the two agencies, so that we can both go over the river and go into the other county and 8 work in a synergistic manner. So we're quite excited 9 about that. 10

And the last thing I want to make mention of is there's also leveraging that's happening. We've been successful getting \$3 million set aside by SACOG to pay for the operations of this service, plus UC Davis is going to be pledging a similar amount as well. So there were a lot of connecting points that will benefit this project.

17 So, in summary, we're very happy with Electrify 18 America and what they've shown to date in terms of their 19 commitment to make this sort of project work.

20

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MR. HENDERSON: Hi. I'm Brian Henderson. I'm an EV driver and EV advocate from Tacoma, Washington. Yesterday I drove my Kia Soul down from Tacoma down to Sacramento here, about 750 miles coming down I-5 and then through rural northern California down Highway 99, because

1 that's one of the few corridors you can actually travel 2 these days.

I'd just like to note to CARB how important electric transportation is, not just to Californians, but to people outside of California, the neighboring states, and tourism, and hopefully future commercial transportation as well, because there's a lot of trucks go up and down I-5 with diesel fumes and stuff.

9 My biggest concern with electrification is 10 experience for the EV driver. And that's why I'm 11 voicing -- here to voice my comments today. My travels 12 yesterday involved four different networks over the 750 13 miles, so knowing each network. Finding chargers, there's 14 very little signage on the highways today.

So I know -- I realize this is beyond the proposal, but this is stuff that CARB needs to look into going forward.

18 So I'm in approval of the proposal today. I'd 19 like to support it, but I think there's more work. And I 20 think CARB needs to hear the voice of the EV driver more 21 going forward.

Thank you.

22

23

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

24 MS. McFALL: Good morning. I'm Casey McFall from 25 Milestone Consulting, and I'm here representing Self-Help

for the Elderly, and our coalition of ten community-based organizations that are receiving Cycle 1 Electrify America 2 funding for awareness and education in low-income and 3 disadvantaged communities. 4

I wanted to, first of all, support Electrify 5 America's round 2 proposal and give you a quick update on 6 7 what we're doing in round one. We are a coalition of 25 community-based organizations that reach from the San 8 Ysidro border to here in Sacramento. For the purposes of 9 this proposal or this project that we're doing, we've 10 selected ten of our best community based organizations, 11 and are providing direct community education -- consumer 12 education averaging about 40 minutes in length on five 13 different ZEV topics, and doing culturally competent, 14 linguistically capable outreach and education through 15 ethnic media outlets that we anticipate will reach 930,000 16 people in the next six months. 17

This is important information for the communities 18 They won't get that kind of information we serve. 19 anywhere else. We're doing outreach in languages like 20 Swahili and Hmong that does not have a written language, 21 22 cambodian, a lot of different languages. Our coalition has the capacity to serve people in 49 different 23 languages. 2.4

25

1

And with Cycle 2, if we are chosen to continue,

we would anticipate adding all of those languages and be table to reach people probably in a year's time at about five million. We've found working with Electrify America to be wonderful. We've found them to stress brand neutrality every step of the way. And they've been very open to our ideas.

7 We also work directly with the CPUC and are 8 meeting with them tomorrow to see how we can connect those 9 efforts.

10 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you and thank you for 11 coming.

MS. MILLS: Good morning, Chair Nichols and 12 members of the Board. I'm Danielle Mills here on behalf 13 of the American Wind Energy Association of California in 14 support of approval of the Cycle 2 investment plan, as it 15 acknowledges key and really obvious linkages to the --16 between the electricity sector and the transportation 17 sector, which we think are really critical to moving 18 toward our greenhouse gas reduction goals, and our air 19 quality improvement goals. 20

In addition to the \$5 million of allocations to renewable generation at specific sites, we want to acknowledge that wind, at both the distributed level and particularly at utility scale, can provide really low cost clean power to all Californians, keeping rates down for

everyone, keeping charging costs low, and really helping the State double down on the investments that it's making in disadvantaged communities and elsewhere throughout the 3 State, regardless of income level. 4

Wind is the cheapest form of generation 5 throughout the country, according to Lazard's Levelized 6 7 Cost of Energy. It is cheaper than natural gas in most parts of the country right now. And we think that wind 8 for California can also complement California's really 9 robust solar profile by picking up in the evening hours, 10 when we tend to run our gas plants, and generating 11 throughout the night when it's most convenient for 12 consumers to charge their cars. 13

14 So while we haven't been deeply engaged in the development of this plan, we do want to work as partners 15 in the future, and really appreciate your work on this. 16

Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thanks.

MR. COHEN: Good morning, Chair Nichols, members 19 Thank you for your time and attention this 20 of the Board. morning. My name is Josh Cohen SemaConnect in strong 21 22 support of the Cycle 2 investment plan. SemaConnect is a national manufacturer of smart networked charging 23 stations. 2.4

25

17

18

1

2

We're one of the three companies that won the bid

to help Electrify America deploy its Level 2 workplace and multi-family charging network. We're responsible for about 90 locations in Cycle 1 here in California.

1

2

3

SemaConnect provides the charging stations and 4 the back-end network to manage them. Our territory 5 managers work with property owners in their territories to 6 7 qualify and locate the sites. We rely on local businesses, local employees to actually do the installs. 8 CSI electrical is one of the contractors who does a lot of 9 our installs in Southern California. Sprig Electric, 10 which is a union shop, does a majority of our installs 11 here in Northern California. 12

SemaConnect submitted written comments that are on your docket -- that are in the docket. But I do want to address one of the points that one of the previous speakers made about incrementality.

In our view, there's no question that additional 17 funding is necessary to deploy charging stations at scale, 18 whether it's utility funding, whether it's government 19 funding, whether it's private dollars like Electrify 20 America. And so as an example, we have a number of 21 22 relationships with property managers across the country and here in California. And there will be an office 23 building owner, a multi-family property owner that may 24 have a portfolio of 20 or 30 properties. And even if they 25

are willing to spend -- to write a check to deploy charging stations, you know, six charging stations at one property, another six or eight charging stations at another property, the private investment is not there yet, even for brands that recognize the value of charging stations at their properties. That's why investment such as Electrify America really is so critical.

8 So we're in strong support. Thank you very much 9 for your time.

10

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MR. BOYCE: Hello. My greetings to the Chair and Board. My name is Bill Boyce with SMUD. I'm here to support Electrify America's Cycle 2 plan.

I want to touch on a couple things I don't think 14 anyone else has mentioned today, which is really some of 15 the new aspects of Cycle 2 versus Cycle 1 to really focus 16 on things like DC fast charging in areas that have 17 primarily multi-unit dwelling areas. We think that this 18 is a very important element that really has not been done 19 much before. So this is kind of new to the industry. 20 We've actually been promoting it for quite awhile. And we 21 22 think it will help address a lot of the challenges in underserved areas, where there's no charging, and also in 23 some of those disadvantaged community areas that are so 2.4 tough to reach on. 25

Some of the other areas that are new that we 1 think are very important is the fact that it includes new 2 elements to support charging for shared mobility and TNC 3 operations, and also autonomous vehicles. We think it's 4 very important to try to support those new forms of 5 transportation from the get-go to get them to be 6 electrified to get the air quality benefits later on going 7 forward. 8

The last thing I'll touch on, of course, with the 9 Green City in Sacramento, we work very closely with 10 Electrify America. I can tell you they push us very, very 11 hard on schedule. So as far as being aggressive, we're 12 working with them right now on about 11 separate 13 installation construction areas. We meet regularly team 14 to team to try to figure out how to improve schedule all 15 the time. And, in essence, we are trying to cut our 16 normal construction schedules in half for them. 17 So appreciate the opportunity to make comments today. 18 Thank you. 19

> CHAIR NICHOLS: That's helpful. Thank you. Mr. Hargrove.

22 MR. HARGROVE: Madam Chair and Board members, I'm 23 Matthew Hargrove with the California Business Properties 24 Association. Before I get into my testimony, I just want 25 to say on a personal note, the work that you do here on

20

21

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

this Board has a direct impact on folks like myself. I traded in my full size four door supercab pickup three years ago for a hydrogen vehicle made by Toyota. So I've -- myself have been personally impacted by this, and I personally thank Dan Sperling for all of his work on there.

But I say that before I get into my actual testimony, because ARB has a track record of being able to move markets, get people into these types of alternative fuel vehicles, and we really appreciate it.

I represent commercial real estate. I represent the International Council of Shopping Centers, BOMA California, which is Class a office buildings, NAIOP of California, which is industrial properties, and lots of other private commercial spaces in the State of California.

We're here today the strongly support the Cycle 2 ZEV investment plan that's before you, and we hope that you will adopt it as is. We have over 10,000 companies and lots of parking spaces in the State of California. We think that the plan that is put before you makes a lot of sense.

Parking spaces aren't free space in the state of California. To develop a property, a parking space can be valued anywhere from \$20,000 to \$60,000, depending on

where you're trying to develop that project. For one of 1 my members to be able to work with Electrify America 2 and/or other charging companies, being able to make the 3 freedom of choice of who they're going to work with, how 4 they're going to work with them, and how long it's going 5 to take them to make their project work are things that 6 7 our companies do every single day. We can't have timelines on them. 8

9 We want to make sure that some of the ideas that 10 have been brought before you at the -- last week at the 11 stakeholder meeting we had about dividing up the markets 12 is rejected. We think that dividing up the markets and 13 limiting the choice, for which my members choosing who 14 they can work with, is not a good way to move forward.

Thank you very much.

15

16

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. ROEDNER SUTTER: Good morning. I'm Katelyn Roedner Sutter with Environmental Defense Fund. And I just want to say we appreciate all the time and work that has gone into this plan. And, in general, we're pleased to support adoption with a couple of recommendations.

EDF believes this is a comprehensive well thought out roadmap. And we're particularly encouraged to see the well placed emphasis on consumer education, the focus on energy rates specific to the DC fast chargers, the plan to

have renewably powered energy stations, and the
 facilitation of demand response programs.

While we do encourage adoption, we believe the plan could be further strengthened by including a couple of additional elements. First, we'd like to see an increased focus on medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. Those sectors are substantial contributors to harmful pollution in this state.

9 EDF also encourages inclusion in the plan to work 10 with utilities to develop rates that strengthen the grid 11 and help to integrate renewables. And finally, we ask for 12 care and some more consideration around deployment of 13 chargers to ensure that they're placed where they're going 14 to be most useful and beneficial to residents, especially 15 residents in low-income and rural communities.

So with these considerations taken into account,
EDF does encourage adoption of the plan.

18

19

Thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. RAFALSON: Good morning, and thank you, Chair Nichols and Board members for the opportunity to comment today. I'm Sara Rafalson here on of behalf of EVgo. EVgo is the largest public fast charging company. And we strongly supported the Cycle 1 investment. However, after through the implementation period of that, our policy

1 position has changed based on what we've seen in the 2 market.

And as a result, we would ask that CARB work hand-in-hand with Volkswagen on modifying the plan to serve more underserved segments, invest Far more in rural California, and ensure that Volkswagen's investments will complement not hinder other efforts to install EV charging.

For example, as was mentioned in the presentation 9 by Electrify America earlier, they have recently installed 10 a 350 kilowatt charger. We also announced, by the way, 11 that we had our first 350 kW commissioned this week in 12 Baker, California actually. But as related to the one 13 that was mentioned earlier by Electrify America, it 14 happens to -- that charging station takes place in 15 Livermore in a place where that parking lot already has 16 two EVgo fast charging stations. 17

And across the street, in fact, and you can confirm this by looking PlugShare, there are two EVgo DC fast charging stations across from that parking lot again, and four Level 2s.

So when we're looking at efforts to complement and have additionality so that there's more charging for all Californians, I'd -- this is just one example that we can point to there.

Also, I think, as was alluded to earlier, there's been no suggestion by other companies to scrap or even divide markets. I think what we have called for and hope to see with modification as was done in Cycle 1 is to just have a more balanced approach to the way that the investment plan is structured.

7 Citing another example. So while there's about 8 two million being spent on rural Level 2 charging, there's 9 \$10 million spent on marketing for utilization of 10 Electrify America's own charging stations. And again, 11 that's something that's very challenging for other players 12 in the market. And I did raise that at the stakeholder 13 meeting.

14

Thank you for your time.

MR. HORVAT: Looking at the clock to see if we're 15 morning of afternoon. Good morning, Chair Nichols and 16 members of the Board. Thank you for having everybody here 17 My name is Ashley Horvat. I work for Greenlots. 18 todav. I'm the Vice President of Partnerships. And Greenlots is 19 the leading electric vehicle charging software and 20 services company that is actually headquartered in Los 21 22 Angeles.

I've been in the industry for almost a decade and I can tell you resoundingly that there is a lot of work to be done as we all know. And having worked in the public

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

sector and private sector both at PlugShare, Greenlots, 1 and the State of Oregon, and working with just a wide 2 diverse range of stakeholders outside of California and 3 California, this process through the settlement has not 4 only ignited investment, it has also ignited 5 conversations. And communities are, I don't want to say 6 7 forced, but propelled to actually decide what they want for their community, and taking an audit essentially of 8 the infrastructure that they have, and where Electrify 9 America can fill in the gaps, where companies like 10 Greenlots, and ChargePoint, and EVgo can come in and help 11 augment that. 12

So we stand in strong support of Electrify
America's Cycle 2 investment plan, and we do urge the
Board to approve the plan.

The notion that -- so this investment is coming -- this is in my remarks, but the investment is coming at a time when the market does need motivated capital. It was mentioned by SemaConnect, Josh, that the private sector is just not there in terms of investment.

And that's to say that, you know, some of our colleagues would say that it's there because they've proven it. But outside of settlement and outside of government funding, it's very difficult to make this work at this juncture.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

And so we strongly believe in the need for 1 competition. Collaboration over competition. 2 Sometimes competition is good. And the investment from Electrify 3 America has really propelled our company to invest in 4 California higher workers. We've grown tremendously over 5 the past year and a half. We work with our partners 6 across the aisle. We've worked with several 7 manufacturers, some of the same manufacturers that 8 Electrify America works with. 9 And so when you're thinking about some of these 10 fast charging technologies that are going out, just the 11 fact that we have multiple entities working on that. 12 So thank you for your time and I strongly support 13 this. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. I meant to comment 15 when the gentleman who drove his car down from Oregon was 16 speaking, that we've had really great partnership with 17 Oregon on electric vehicle issues, and the State of 18 Washington as well. There's a lot of interest up and down 19 the Pacific coast. And while, you know, there has to be, 20 I think, a recognition of the urban piece of this 21 22 infrastructure, it is not at the expense at all of the rural areas. So I think, if anything, the partnership is 23 working to really enhance that focus. 2.4 25 Okay. Next.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

MR. MAGAVERN: Good morning. Bill Magavern with the Coalition for Clean Air. I love the new adjustable podium.

## (Laughter.)

4

5 MR. MAGAVERN: This Board has done so much to 6 reduce diesel pollution. And there's certainly a lot more 7 to do. But Supervisor Roberts reminded us of that really 8 pivotal moment when you declared diesel exhaust to be a 9 toxic air contaminant.

And then years later when you caught Volkswagen in their criminal cheating on diesel emissions, you showed the importance of really rigorous enforcement and on-road testing. And now with Electrify America, we have an opportunity to increase the momentum towards truly clean transportation in this state.

So we support this proposal. We appreciate the fact that Electrify America is trying to learn from their actual experience. The fact that they reached out to us and many others, and they listened and actually because of our input and that of others, they increased the commitment to disadvantaged community outreach.

There are other features about this plan that we're particularly enthusiastic about, including the charging for transit buses, the commitment on renewable energy, and the addition of more metro areas.

So in conclusion, we do urge Electrify America to 1 try to go above and beyond their equity commitments. 2 And I think you'll hear more about that from my colleague Joel 3 Espino with Greenlining. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thanks. 6 Should be Steve Ellis of Honda. 7 Are you here for this? 8 MR. SERFASS: Chair Nichols, he asked me to say 9 he had to leave. 10 CHAIR NICHOLS: So Joel Espino. 11 MR. ESPINO: Good morning, Chair and members of 12 the Board. I'm Joel Espino with the Greenlining 13 We've been advocating for racial and economic 14 Institute. justice in California for 25 years. We appreciate the 15 progress Electrify America has made on including efforts 16 to benefit low-income and disadvantaged communities in its 17 Cycle 2 plan. 18 While we support this progress, we withhold full 19 support, because we see areas for improvement and missed 20 opportunities. And we outlined those in our October 26th 21 22 letter, and I'll highlight a few of those here. We see opportunities to increase transparency, 23 reporting, and clarity on activities benefited --2.4 benefiting low-income people and disadvantaged 25

1 communities. We see an opportunity to increase investment 2 and partnership in poor rural communities in the Central 3 Valley and in low-income communities in the San Diego 4 region.

5 We see an opportunity for bolder action to 6 promote high quality jobs that are accessible to poor 7 folks, and individuals with barriers to employment. And 8 we see an opportunity for bolder action in low-income 9 outreach efforts, and in designing projects that increase 10 access to electric mobility for low-income individuals.

You know, the public and poor communities of color hurt most by Volkswagen actions were not at the table when this deal was struck. And so as a result, Electrify America and the Board have a duty to the public and to remedy these harms.

And I'll end with quoting the prominent lawyer and social activist Bryan Stevenson when he said you can judge the character and values of a society by how it treats its poor and vulnerable.

20 We respectfully urge the Board and Electrify 21 America to push harder and leverage -- and leverage these 22 investments so that California's vulnerable and poor can 23 benefit most.

Thank you.

2.4

25

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. LEWETT: Good morning, Chair Nichols and Board member. My name is Maryline Lewett. I represent Black and Veatch. It's a large engineering, permitting, and construction company from Kansas City, as you can hear by my accent.

(Laughter.)

6

MS. LEWETT: And I'm based in the Bay Area of San Francisco. We do have seven regional offices in California. We've been in the EV business and hydrogen business for over ten years building infrastructure. We're also in the clean energy industry, and we support all technology. We are technology agnostic.

So today, I'm here in support of Cycle 2 for my 13 And we -- we were awarded the first DC charging 14 company. deployment for highway and metropolitan areas in 15 California. So we're currently building 165 sites on your 16 behalf. And I can testify that Electrify America is 17 pushing us very hard to meet deadlines, so we've been 18 adding employees. We've been hiring in California. We 19 have an additional 30 employees actually throughout the 20 state. And we're working with 700 contractors --21 electrical contractors and civil contractors in order to 22 meet the deadline. 23

24 So we are participating in the training. We 25 believe it's incredible effort for California to prepare

1 this state for future technology and clean technology.

We are adding experts in the field to duplicate, 2 of course, this model to add EV charging stations. And 3 they apply to cars but also to buses and trucks. We 4 are -- we're looking forward to the new plan where we're 5 adding medium- to Heavy-duty vehicles, very important, 6 7 including school buses. And we -- we -- well, I'll stop here. So thank you, and we're looking forward to work on 8 the Cycle 2 as well. 9

10

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. VERDUZCO: Good morning, Chair Nichols and members of The Board. My name is Iris Verduzco, and I'm a resident of the City of South Gate, and I presently work with the Moving Forward Network, which is based out of the Urban and Environmental Policy Institute at Occidental College.

17 The Moving Forward Network is a community-led 18 national network of over 50 organizations in more than 20 19 U.S. cities that protects communities that are 20 disproportionately suffering from the negative impacts of 21 industrial pollution, such as that that result from the 22 freight transportation system.

I am here today to provide some recommendations on the Electrify America's California ZEV Investment Plan. I would like to say that Electrify America has a profit

1 motive in where they select locations. We need to make 2 sure more funding goes to disadvantaged communities, and 3 to not just go to cities like Beverly Hills and Santa 4 Monica.

5 It's Air Resources Board's duty to make sure that 6 they spread the benefits more broadly given communities 7 like mine suffer disproportionately from air pollution.

8 This plan should include more funding for 9 charging medium- and heavy-duty vehicles like buses and 10 trucks in disadvantaged communities. There is a need to 11 generally electrify railyards and ports. Additional 12 funding allocated to this goal would go to provide a place 13 for trucks to plug in as they are entering or leaving 14 railyards and ports.

Electrify America needs to build their competency in these sectors and adding more of this investment, especially in disadvantaged communities, as this is important

Our communities need zero-emissions technology now. I came up from Los Angeles to support the Innovative Clean Transit regulation that will be discussed tomorrow. I'm invested in the work that I engage in, not only on a professional, but on a personal capacity as well.

24 We need relief from toxic emissions. I ask the 25 Board that you protect public health, advance clean air,

and environmental justice. Please consider these recommendations as you move forward in considering and 2 advancing this investment plan. 3

Thank you.

1

4

MS. GOLDSMITH: Good morning, Chair Nichols 5 members of the Board. And thank you, Supervisor Roberts, 6 7 for your many years of thoughtful and committed service.

I'm Hannah Goldsmith. I'm with the California 8 Electric Transportation Coalition. And we submitted more 9 detailed support and recommendations for Electrify 10 America's Cycle 2 plan. But today, we want to highlight 11 how essential adequate ZEV fueling infrastructure is to 12 reaching the State's zero-emission vehicle goals. 13

As it relates to electric vehicle charging 14 infrastructure, CalETC found that California will need to 15 invest over \$2.2 billion beyond known public utility, and 16 non-Electrify America's settlement funding by 2025 to 17 ensure adequate public light-duty infrastructure for 18 electric vehicle charging. 19

Electrify America's proposed second investment in 20 ZEV fueling infrastructure of about \$153 million is a step 21 22 in the right direction to reduce California's gap in ZEV fueling infrastructure. However, because there is such a 23 large need, Electrify America's investment will continue 2.4 to leave room for significantly more private and public 25

1

8

25

sector investment.

We do support Electrify America thoughtfully investing in charging infrastructure in a way that complements existing investments being made. We thank Electrify America for involving us in the development of this plan, and following up with us on our feedback. We support approval of this plan today.

Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: Good morning -- or good afternoon, 9 I guess. Will Barrett with the American Lung Association 10 of California. I wanted to say first off that we do 11 support moving forward with the proposal today. I was 12 happy to participate in the dialogue that staff held last 13 I thought that was very productive and raised a lot 14 week. of issues. And I think staff gained a lot from that 15 additional discussion. And we would echo the calls 16 earlier today to continue that kind of dialogue going 17 forward. 18

The key strengths that we see with this proposal, really we seem them for the proposal as it is, and for a foundation going forward for the next cycles, investment in medium- and heavy-duty, investment in the rural areas, and increasing that investment going forward, grants to and outreach specific to disadvantaged communities.

I think we've heard the example of Valley Clean

Air Now, and the real benefit that that program has gotten
 through this ongoing dialogue and investment.

Also, I wanted to say that a key strength was that Electrify America did conduct a tremendous amount of outreach, and we were happy to be on the receiving end of that outreach and that dialogue. So I think there were a lot of good strengths that we can build on, as we move forward from approving today.

9 In closing, I think generally -- restate that we 10 support the proposal today and urge you to move it 11 forward. We encourage the enhanced monitoring and 12 reporting on progress to hitting all the benefits on all 13 the projects that we want to see. Stay on track 14 essentially. We want to make sure we're not going too 15 long. So it was helpful to hear that dialogue.

And then really ensuring that the investments 16 are -- that we're targeting are hitting our air quality 17 benefit goals that we need to hit for air quality and 18 climate, as well as ensuring that those benefits are 19 accruing to disadvantaged communities, going as far as we 20 can with the investments, and making sure we stay on 21 track. That those benefits are being accrued as we expect 22 23 them to.

24 So we look forward to working with the staff of 25 Electrify America and other stakeholders going forward.

of going into the future. 2 So thank you very much. 3 MR. MIDDLETON: Hello. Thank you, Chair Nichols 4 My name is Derek Middleton. I'm here on 5 and the Board. behalf of Innogy eMobility to support our --6 CHAIR NICHOLS: I can barely hear you. 7 I'm not sure what the -- maybe you could raise the --8 MR. MIDDLETON: I'm sorry about that. Is that 9 better. 10 CHAIR NICHOLS: Maybe you could raise the table 11 on your side, too. And you do need to speak right into 12 the microphone. Yeah. 13 MR. MIDDLETON: Sounds good. Is that better? 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: That's much better. Thank you. 15 MR. MIDDLETON: Wonderful. Thank you. 16 My name is Derek Middleton. I'm here on behalf 17 of Innogy eMobility to show our support for Cycle 2. 18 Innogy is a large German utility company. It's three 19 times the size of Edison, and it's providing only 20 21 renewable energy to Europe. 22 We have a large eMobility network. We've been doing it for ten years. And we employ over 150 people in 23 the technology solutions industry for electric mobility 2.4

We think this is an important step, and one to build off

1

25 around the globe. We have a keen interest in the U.S.

And it has led to us to invest in two different market. 1 companies, BTCPower and also Recargo PlugShare. Our 2 interest is driven by the consistent policy and our 3 knowledge of the automakers. 4 With your support and Electrify America's Cycle 2 5 plan, we would like to resent -- it represents a reliable 6 7 pillar of investment in the industry that attracts capital from companies like ours. 8 Thank you. 9 MR. KONCZEUSKI: Chair Nichols, distinguished 10 members of the Board, I'm representing Innogy. Konrad 11 I'm also representing Broadband Power TelCom Konczeuski. 12 and Recargo. Broadband Power TelCom and Recargo were 13 acquired wholly owned subsidiary of Innogy as of now. 14 I just would like to mention that we fully 15 support Cycle 2 implementation. 16 Thank you very much. 17 MR. MEZA: There we go. 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: It's fun, isn't it? 19 20 (Laughter.) MR. MEZA: Good morning. My name is Frank Meza. 21 I'm the CEO of BTCPower. BTCPower is one of the partners 22 that have been selected by EA. And we build electric 23 vehicle DC chargers. 2.4 We are a minority -- we started as a minority 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

business enterprise. And we build our product in Santa 1 Ana California, and we've been building EV chargers for 2 the last eight years in California. With the investments 3 from EA, we have now grown to become probably the largest 4 EV charger manufacturer in the United States. And we have 5 increased our employment in California by 100 percent, 6 7 basically doubling our staff this last year. And we project to double our staff again this next year due to 8 the -- due to the investments of -- from Cycle 1 and 9 hopefully with your approval in Cycle 2. 10 Thank you very much. 11 MR. BRUNELLO: Dear, Chair Nichols, Board 12 members. And Supervisor Roberts, Congratulations. 13 I wish I was from your district, but I'm not. 14 (Laughter.) 15 MR. BRUNELLO: My name is Tony Brunello. And I'm 16 speaking on behalf of EV Box today. EV Box is a global 17 manufacturer of EV charging equipment and related 18 cloud-based services with an installed base of over 60,000 19 Level 2 and 700 DC chargers in 45 countries. We're doing 20 our part for the ZEV movement, and we're aligned with the 21 22 efforts of Electrify America today and support their 23 proposal. In fact, at the California Global Climate Action 2.4 Summit, we committed to place at least one million EV 25

1 charging stations by 2025 to help reduce CO2 emissions and 2 improve air quality.

We strongly believe the Electrify America's 800 million investment in California helps bridge the gap in current and future EV infrastructure needs. With all the numbers that were put out today, this means we're going to need a 15-hold -- fold increase in current infrastructure in just six years.

Every charger counts. Therefore, immediate 9 adoption of the Cycle 2 plan is essential, so we can meet 10 these infrastructure goals. The plan strikes a balance 11 between investment in charging infrastructure, market 12 development, and education and awareness. We particularly 13 appreciate the plan's increased emphasis on metro fast 14 charging and residential charging. With 90 percent of 15 drivers expected to be in metro areas and 80 percent of EV 16 charging currently happening at homes, these investments 17 will provide maximum benefits to EV drivers. 18

We urge you to support and approve the currentplan. Thanks for your time.

21

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

22 MR. MAGGAY: Good afternoon, Chair Nichols, Board 23 members. And thank you Supervisor Roberts for a native 24 San Diegan and someone who went to high school in your 25 district. Go Saints.

My name is Kevin Maggay. I'm with SoCalGas. And I've spoken to this Board several times on -- urging for 2 technology neutrality and funding balance. And vou're 3 going to get a little bit more of the same, but with a 4 little different twist. 5

Hydrogen, because of its range and its fast 6 7 fueling time, is critical to reaching long-term zero-emission future, and we are very, very disappointed 8 that Cycle 2, similar to Cycle 1, had no investments into 9 hydrogen. We urge you not to put all of your 10 zero-emission eggs into one basket. 11

An effective way to accelerate massive change, 12 like large-scale deployment of zero-emission vehicles, is 13 to give people options, to let users decide which 14 technology fits for them, and to not completely shut-out 15 one single technology. We recommend reconsidering the 16 plan to include investments into hydrogen infrastructure. 17 Thank you. 18

MR. CHERKAOUI: Chair Nichols, Honorable Board 19 members. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. 20 Congratulations Supervisor Roberts. I've been debating 21 22 whether to use my two minutes to entertain you with bad rendering of Bob Marley's redemption song, but I'll spare 23 you this. 2.4

25

1

(Laughter.)

MR. CHERKAOUI: My name is Abdella Cherkaoui, and I work for Volta Charging. Volta is a nine-year old 2 company based in San Francisco. Our mission is to 3 accelerate the electrification of transport. We do this 4 by building, operating, and maintaining for the long term 5 a unique media platform that is combined with EV charging 6 7 or actually electric vehicle charging networks that are combined with a unique media platform.

1

8

We do this at convenient public urban sites, 9 where the community at large goes outside of work at home. 10 And our model catalyzes EV adoption like no other. 11 The majority of socially independent commissioned studies have 12 shown that the wide majority of non-EV drivers who see 13 Volta Charging stations at the place where they shop, eat, 14 or play, between 70 to 80 percent of them say they will 15 consider an electric vehicle after seeing a Volta station 16 for their next car purchase. 17

It's also a unique model thanks to the strategy 18 of essentially siting that deliver most utilization on a 19 per port basis. We deliver four times more kilowatt hour 20 or electric miles per port, based -- compared to industry 21 22 average.

We're still a small network. But last year, 23 Volta delivered 14 million electric miles, half of which 2.4 in California. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

I would like to make two short comments. First, 1 I would like to thank and applaud the leadership of the 2 California Air Resources Board and the staff for your work 3 on supporting and implementing California's plan on 4 getting drivers out of their gas cars and into 5 zero-emission vehicles. And as part of this, your 6 7 leadership and hard work with Electrify America zero-emission investment plan. 8 And second, I would like to express Volta's 9 support to Electrify America's Cycle 2 plan. While Volta 10 and Electrify America have been working together to deploy 11 better EV charging, we've found them to be very open to 12 exploring and finding creative way to do this together 13 accelerating the impact of their plans. 14 Thank you. 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 16 MS. RONEN: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is 17 Ellah Ronen. I'm here on behalf of LA n Sync at the 18 California Community Foundation. We're a funders 19 collaborative that supports meaningful and responsible 20 investment to L.A. County, specifically with a lens on 21 low-income communities. 22

23 We've been partners with the City of Los Angeles, 24 the County of Los Angeles and a wide range of stakeholders 25 ranging from nonprofits, to utilities, to private sector

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 partners in Los Angles really working together with 2 Electrify America to ensure that the plan reflects the 3 needs of the community, and that the investment made in 4 Los Angeles County is reflective of the potential and the 5 growth in the EV market in Los Angeles.

6 So I want to stand here on behalf of my -- the 7 members of LA N Sync and the Community Foundation, but 8 also the stakeholders that we've convened extensively in 9 support of this plan.

10

11

25

Thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

12 That concludes the list of witnesses that I have. 13 And so I am going to close the record at this point, 14 and -- close for further -- close it to any further 15 comments from anyone other than the Board members.

And I think to move this along, while there may be some more discussion, I would like to see the resolution that's before us put on the table.

19BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS:I'll make that motion.20VICE CHAIR BERG:Second.

21 CHAIR NICHOLS: We have a motion. We have a 22 second.

23 Al right. Are there additional comments or 24 questions that Board members have?

Dr. Sperling?

No. Okay.

1

BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Sure. Yes. 2 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 3 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Thank you. Thanks to 4 everyone for their testimony. Boy, I see we had an hour 5 on the agenda for this item and that time -- that hour 6 just flew by. Good work. 7 (Laughter.) 8 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: But obviously a very, 9 very important topic, and appreciate everybody's input. 10 You know, I guess three things. One, the rural 11 issue, two, the fuel cell issue, and three, the permitting 12 issue that I wanted to speak to. 13 In terms of the rural issue, I think a lot of 14 important things have been said. Yes, I would support the 15 Cycle 2, understanding that Cycle 3 is going to pay more 16 attention to the rural issue. And we ought to be doing 17 some catch-up not just increasing the commitment there. 18 The vision for electric transit that the State has set 19 includes rural. And it's certainly a tougher nut to 20 crack. But this is a great opportunity to be diving into 21 22 that and gaining some experience and knowledge. So I -my expectation, I think all the Board members, is that 23 there would be an increased emphasis on what goes on on 2.4 rural. 25

And really, if only at the level of being -understanding that located in rural, what does that mean? How does that serve the rural community. Does it just increase tourism or are rural people actually increasing their buy-in to electric or what it means, so at the very least?

7 The fuel cell issue, at the very least, it reminds us as Board members that we need to pay more 8 attention to it and other items that come to us. But I --9 I hear the plan where it says we're open, but the 10 economics haven't been right. And I guess I would ask I 11 understand that the settlement says that the economic 12 model is the station should be profitable. So I guess my 13 question, well, they don't need to necessarily be more 14 profitable than another alternative to be looking at it, 15 to be moving in that direction. 16

I guess one thing I would hope staff are paying attention to whatever understanding we're gaining about getting fuel cell technology out there. So what are we learning from the VW settlement about what those barriers are and how we can help overcome them?

And the permitting issue has come up. And certainly as serving on a local air district, permitting is a huge issue. I guess I would ask the staff, so what can we do to help with that process? And it's important

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

not just for this, but many things that we try to
 undertake. And whenever we try to introduce a new
 technology, permitting is always an important issue.

4 So I would certainly ask the staff what can we 5 do. And I'm not necessarily expecting an answer today. 6 Although, gee, if you've got an answer, we'll take it.

(Laughter.)

7

10

20

21

22

BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: But ask away, you know,9 it's the holiday season.

(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Which reminds me, I'm 11 thinking of writing a heartwarming story about the -- you 12 know, the coming of age story of the EV -- because I have 13 a battery powered. And I just charge at home. 14 I'm one of those 99 percent residential. But everywhere I go, I'm 15 looking where could I charge? Not that I'm having range 16 anxiety, but partly, you know, it's that coming of age 17 Story. It's EV that wants to get out of the neighborhood 18 and dreams of what else is out there. 19

(Laughter.)

CHAIR NICHOLS: All right. Thank you.

Dr. Sperling.

BOARD MEMBER SPERLING: Just very briefly. I think that we should clearly approve this. They -- you know, Electrify America has gone out of their way to be

responsive. We've heard that from many. You can always 1 make things different. You know, at this point, it's not 2 a question of better, it's just different. And all of us 3 could come up with ideas of how we might want it a little 4 different. I'd like a hydrogen station in my 5 neighborhood, but I acknowledge that there's cost and 6 other issues. 7

So -- and on the hydrogen, you know, side, I've 8 been a strong supporter. In fact, I gave a keynote talk 9 at the Hydrogen Business Council two days ago here in 10 Sacramento, and certainly support them -- you know, 11 support those initiatives. But we, on the Board, have 12 done a lot to support hydrogen, and support -- you know, 13 most recently, we created special credits for -- through 14 the LCFS for them. 15

So you can't do everything for everyone all the 16 17 And I think this is about as good as it gets. time. And so I have -- they have -- this has my strong support. 18 19

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

Yes, Mr. Serna.

20

21

BOARD MEMBER SERNA: Thank you.

22 So first off, I want to thank all the speakers, but I especially want to thank all those that provided 23 their testimony and indicated their support from 2.4 Sacramento, from City, from SMUD, from Mr. Levine, Mr. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

I think it's pretty obvious by the nature of that Gant. testimony that the City's designation as a Green City and 2 as a recipient of the Cycle 1 investment by Electrify 3 America is paying dividends. 4

1

And I just wanted to stress -- and I, too, am 5 going to certainly support this, but I want to stress that 6 7 the 35 percent is -- it's not a ceiling, that's a floor, right? So -- and as was indicated in the staff 8 presentation, or maybe it was the presentation by 9 Electrify America, that we're actually investing in excess 10 of that in places like Meadowview and here along the 11 Broadway corridor and near downtown Sacramento. Places in 12 the district that I have the good fortune of representing 13 as a member of the Board of Supervisors. 14 So I can tell you firsthand, especially when it comes to the ride share 15 programs that have taken off, it really is very satisfying 16 to see that that intent is being met and being met in 17 excess of that 35 percent. So I really do appreciate 18 everyone that's involved with this. 19 20 Thanks. CHAIR NICHOLS: Mr. De La Torre. 21 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: 22 Thank you. I'll start with the fuel cell hydrogen thing. 23 Ι had a conversation with Electrify America about this. And 2.4 apparently -- and with staff following up on that. 25 And

apparently, there's some work to be done. There was money, and there's some work to be done in terms of getting the right kinds of folks to step up and apply for it. Obviously, in tranche 3 and 4, we'll want to make improvements going forward on that. That was a concern.

I'm must admit, as recently as this summer, I was 6 7 very frustrated, maybe as -- maybe as frustrated as Dean is. But at that -- since then, in talking to staff, in 8 seeing the movement that's taken place here with the 9 package, and, you know, frankly a good faith effort to 10 move along, I'm also frustrated that we don't have data, 11 but we're building the car as we're driving it. So I 12 understand that. I appreciate it. We have a couple more 13 tranches to go. 14

And in this next few months I think is where the analysis is really going to have to kick in for us to see if we're doing things correctly or incorrectly, where it's, where it's not working et cetera. So I think the place to be more vigilant is going to be in these next -so that's kind of a warning to you.

I appreciate the movement that's taken place to this point. And so with that, I will be supportive today. CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. All right. Mr. Roberts and then Ms. Mitchell. BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: Yeah, I'll be brief. Just

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

looking ahead and wondering how and where we get the hydrogen part of the equation. How do we engage maybe more in dialogue with them, how do we get maybe a more 3 robust program going?

> CHAIR NICHOLS: Т

1

2

4

5

6

7

BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: And I understand what the focus is here.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yeah, I understand. I think 8 there's a lot going on on the hydrogen front, both at the 9 level of manufacturers and of deployment of fueling 10 stations. But of course, it's lagging considerably behind 11 where we are with the battery electric vehicles. And as 12 we saw from the chart and other comments, we are so far 13 behind in terms of what we need to be investing right now 14 in the plug-in vehicles, that I just -- I'd hate to see us 15 been diverting much from -- from the path that we're 16 17 already on.

I thought it was a step forward when Electrify 18 America agreed -- and I would welcome staff's comment on 19 this, but they made a commitment that -- and any new 20 stations that they were opening, that there would be the 21 22 possibility at least of adding hydrogen to that, if there was an opportunity to do it, and, you know, somebody who 23 had the hydrogen there, and, you know, the ability to 2.4 actually bring it in. 25

I would welcome any correction if that is not the 1 case. But it seems to me that at this moment, that's a 2 pretty big thing to be committing to considering that, you 3 know, as a state, we're rolling out these stations in an 4 orderly fashion, but there's still only, what, 50, 60 of 5 them or so statewide. And that matches, frankly, the 6 7 deployment of vehicles right now. And we're beginning to see that there may be some shifting in the manufacturers' 8 plans for where and how they want to be putting out fuel 9 cells as well. 10 So, Analisa, maybe you can enlighten us a bit 11 more. 12 ECARS ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF BEVAN: Sure. 13 14 Yes, they have been open to incorporating hydrogen and open to investment opportunities that involve hydrogen 15 infrastructure as part of there looking at where they want 16 to invest in in ZEV infrastructure. 17 CHAIR NICHOLS: But the rollout of the hydrogen 18 vehicles is very much a -- from a center to an outer rim 19 approach, rather than trying to sprinkle them around the 20 state, because there just aren't enough of them to make 21 22 that a feasible strategy at least at the moment from what I can see. 23 Ms. Mitchell. 2.4 BOARD MEMBER MITCHELL: Thank you, Madam Chair. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

I also am in strong support of the Cycle 2 plan. I do want to mention one thing that came up earlier was 2 that Cycle 1 actually began eight months later. And so 3 the results that we might have expected to see have been 4 delayed, and I think we need to recognize that. 5

I am concerned, as some others are, about the 6 7 permitting issue. And I have to confess guilt. I think my city is one of those that is very hard to get permitted 8 in. 9

10

1

(Laughter.)

BOARD MEMBER MITCHELL: And I've heard that 11 complaint not just recently, but years ago from people in 12 the EV charging market. So I'm interested in that and 13 interested in finding a cure for it. I'm wondering if 14 there is out there somewhere a model ordinance for EV 15 charging stations. Does anyone know of that? And I 16 wonder if we can -- okay. Analisa. 17

ECARS ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF BEVAN: Thank you. 18 There's a few things happening in California to 19 address that. First, there was a law passed that 20 identifies charging stations as not needing a change in 21 22 use or a change in zoning, and that permitting should only consider health and safety considerations. That should 23 have streamlined the process. 2.4

25

But what we're hearing from jurisdictions -- or

1 from charging companies is that jurisdictions aren't 2 recognizing that law. So we have some work to do to 3 outreach to permitting officials about that law, and 4 educate them about what should be under consideration when 5 reviewing a permit.

The second is that GO-Biz is working on a 6 7 permitting guide book, which is close to being published. And so that should help identify what the considerations 8 are in looking at EV charging permit applications, and 9 also provide resources to permitting officials if they 10 have questions if something looks like it's different, or 11 they're just not sure what is normal or standard, who can 12 they ask questions of, so that maybe the process can be 13 sped up a bit. 14

BOARD MEMBER MITCHELL: Thank you. I'm glad to 15 see that there's some work being done on this. And I see 16 GO-Biz is here with us today. So I do think one of the 17 problems that we need to address is the outreach to all of 18 the local agencies that are -- have this task of 19 permitting. And we have some connections with the League 20 of Cities, with the Beacon Program that I think we might 21 22 use to get the word out, and start working with them a little more closely. 23

And I'd be happy to work with our staff and with the GO-Biz folks to pull that together and get the -- get

1 the program going, get the outreach going. So I offer my 2 help on that.

3 4 And I recommend approving the Cycle 2. CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Mr. Garcia.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: Thank you, Madam Chair.And thank you, staff, for bringing the item forward.

7 I know that it's been mentioned already the -- I 8 think the feeling that the rural communities in California 9 are kind of getting the short-end of the stick. But I 10 think it's worth restating that in the last presentation 11 for Cycle 1, we were told that we would get you next time.

And although I know that we have some letters in support from colleagues of mine in the Assembly, Assembly Member Reyes, who represents the San Bernardino area, Assembly Member McCarty, we do also have a letter from 12 legislators, from both the Senate and the Assembly who are deeply concerned with the level of investment going towards rural California.

19 They made a recommendation that of the 200 20 million, perhaps 13 percent of that, mirroring the 21 population percentage of California, would be the level of 22 investment. Whether that be the most appropriate formula 23 to use or not, we could leave that up for debate or 24 deliberation, but nevertheless, the attempt to get greater 25 investment.

It kind of reminds me of when I take my little girl to the store every time she asks for a toy, and I always tell her next time. I tell her next time.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

8

5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: And she's four years 6 old. And she now starts to ask and say, hey, you told me 7 that last time.

(Laughter.)

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: And so that's a similar 9 message that we're hearing today from Electrify America 10 representatives. And although, I will recognize that 11 there are some strides being made to place the 12 infrastructure in key places of California, I will be the 13 first to confess and admit our district will be a 14 recipient of some of this infrastructure. But, you know, 15 nevertheless, I do have to highlight that there are a 16 tremendous amount of members in both houses of the 17 Legislature who are deeply concerned. 18

I also want to just point out that the last time we were here deliberating or considering Cycle 1, we talked about a plan for workforce training and development. And that's something that's not included in this plan as well. And so I'm hopeful that we can bring forward some detailed approach. We had many of the workforce training organizations and their partners here

before us the last time looking for something that would also incorporate people from disadvantaged communities to be able to integrate themselves into the space of installment and the technology side of EV charging stations.

I do want to just state someone said where you 6 7 see investments, you'll see adoption. That was a comment made by one of the public speakers. And I truly believe 8 that by making strides to invest in rural California, 9 along with my colleagues who signed onto the letter, we 10 think that we'll move closer to meeting, you know, 11 California's goals as it relates to a cleaner 12 transportation system in our state. 13

14 So I just wanted to make those comments. 15 Clearly, you know, I'm not asking that we not approve this 16 project, that we put on hold \$200 million of investment in 17 California, but certainly that we be mindful moving 18 forward of the commitments that were made Cycle 1 and the 19 commitments that are being made here Cycle 2.

I appreciate my colleague and coauthor to the op-ed, Senator Florez, in saying that, you know, today, we have a presentation from one CEO and making commitments, and we may or may not see the same person before us in Cycle 3. And we have to kind of keep that in mind and not forget about, you know, the objectives that we put

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

forward.

1

And can the plan be better? Absolutely. It most definitely can. But this is the plan that's before us, and I just wanted to make sure that I expressed those comments on behalf of my colleagues in the legislature.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, thank you. I think, Mr. 6 7 Garcia, you reflect very admirably the level of concern and involvement that we've seen on the part of the 8 Legislature. And it remains a high interest topic for 9 people from around the state, which is great, the 10 publicity around the Volkswagen. I don't even know what 11 I'll just say the case, the Volkswagen case to call it. 12 is ongoing. You know, it's level of concern people around 13 the world. 14

When I was at the UN meetings just this past few days, there's interest in every corner of the world on the future of electric vehicles, on the problems of the past with -- particularly with diesel vehicles and awareness of what we've been doing here. So we are more than just our ownselves. Although, we're a pretty important market, and we are really a model for the rest of the world.

And we want the plan to be the best it possibly can be. I do think that we've seen evidence of real progress, whether we can give it all to the CEO or not, we should -- he I guess will get the blame, so we might as

well give some credit for also having really advanced the 1 level of openness, and transparency, and reporting, as 2 well as the specific response to the concerns that we've 3 raised. 4

But as you point out, there's -- there's room for 5 improvement. And fortunately, these three-year plans are 6 7 just that. There is room within the cycle for shifts to be made, for tweaks to be made. And I think that we can 8 hope to see -- hope to see some of that. 9

Ms. Takvorian.

10

11

BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Thank you.

I just -- there were a number of comments, 12 particularly related to investments in both disadvantaged 13 communities and rural communities. 14 I wanted to just add my support for those and the hope that those will be 15 integrated for the future. 16

I had a couple of specific questions so that I 17 could understand it better. I don't know if staff or 18 Electrify America might be able to answer those questions. 19 I wondered about the charging stations that are being 20 attributed to disadvantaged communities and whether those 21 22 are broken out by those that are on freeways adjacent to or in disadvantaged communities, or if they're described 23 as being inside disadvantaged communities? 2.4 25

Because I think one of the speakers talked about

1 kind of going through disadvantaged communities, as 2 opposed to actually serving inside of disadvantaged 3 communities. So I wanted to get some additional 4 information about that.

And then I also appreciated I think your comment 5 about the learning from Sacramento. And clearly, from the 6 support that there is in Sacramento, there's a -- there's 7 a lot of support, and there's a lot to learn I just 8 wondered if there was -- there were more metrics that 9 perhaps we could see. So, for instance, there's just the 10 one slide in the slide show about the car share program. 11 I wondered if you have usage rates for the car share 12 program that you could share with us now. And if not, how 13 that might be incorporated in a future report. 14

And I'm also wondering about the community outreach. You have a line about it in your report, and it just -- I just wonder when you say you've had dozens of meetings and spoke individually with 100 stakeholders across the state, that doesn't seem like a whole lot, but perhaps I'm missing something.

So I just -- wanted more clarification about that, and incorporation of that data into future reports. Thank you. MR. PALAZZO: No, thank you for the question.

25 I'm -- so I start with the low-income disadvantaged

1 community engagement. From our side, I try to define it 2 before. Actually, we see a dual usage, especially for DC 3 fast charging stations. In some cases, they are located 4 directly in low-income disadvantaged communities. In some 5 cases, they are located in metro areas, and on highway 6 location, and can support 100 percent also rural areas.

7 So I think the way we are defining that, it's 8 very similar to the way we are looking MUD at the moment 9 to make a comparison and to make you a little bit more 10 concrete to you.

In Cycle 1, we're having workplaces and MUD 11 investment on Level 2 site. We are moving and evolving in 12 that by having a new concept for -- for MUD -- or serving 13 MUDs in Cycle 2. The way we're doing that, and this 14 applies also to the strategy that we're having on rural, 15 is that instead of having investment on trying to retrofit 16 existing MUD, we are investing into DC fast charging 17 station strategically located to serve multiple MUDs. 18 Actually, we are trying to simply take the same idea and 19 try to transfer it also in the rural concept. 20 That's demands that we made when we are saying to you that in 21 Cycle 1, 40 percent of all \$75 million can go directly to 22 serve rural communities. 23

In Cycle 2, 80 percent of the \$30 million can go directly to serve rural communities. So this is a

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

strategy that we have behind it. We deeply believe looking at the experience that we have inside talking to the stakeholder that its -- stakeholder engagement that we have in place -- I'm going to follow-up immediately on that question -- we think it could be a winning way of placing that. Of course, there no data available in the market to have a confirmation of that.

I totally agree on Board Member De La Torre 8 comment that actually the upcoming months would be not 9 only for you, but also for us for Electrify America highly 10 monitored to understand which is the utilizations and the 11 metrics that we have behind the use cases that we are 12 selecting. And as already said, we are more than happy to 13 tricks -- to update and modify what we are discussing 14 today in case of need. 15

We have pure data-driven approach at the moment. This applies to all the use cases that we are discussing, and we are flexible to -- and ready to make other decision, if needed, in case we do not see adoption or efficiency.

21 On the second point, it's regarding the 22 outreach --

BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Sorry, just -- so it sounds like we don't have clear metrics at this point, and that a lot of what we're talking about is the projections

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 that you're making as you're developing your strategy. So
2 I just wanted to ask maybe, Analisa, if that can be
3 incorporated in the next report, in a more --

MR. PALAZZO: Sure.

4

16

17

5 BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: -- metrics based way, so 6 that we can actually see -- because I'm still not clear as 7 to how -- I understand how disadvantaged communities can 8 benefit from things that are not in their communities, but 9 that's -- that's not how I thought this was to be defined.

10 So I think that needs -- we need to drill down on 11 that, so that we can really see it. That's what I'm 12 hearing from other Board members and what I hope your 13 commitment is. So I just wanted to be clear on that. And 14 if you don't have those metrics today, that's fine, but 15 maybe to incorporate in the future that work, Analisa?

> ECARS ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF BEVAN: Yes. BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Okay. Thanks.

18 MR. PALAZZO: Actually, you anticipated to me 19 because I want just simply to align that also the metrics 20 regarding the car sharing products will be included into 21 the quality report, and, of course, also the annual 22 report.

23 We started, you know, one month and a half ago. 24 So we still do not have really real data utilization, 25 which are accurate enough to make a first assessment.

1 But, of course, we are going to include this data into the 2 annual report. Okay.

BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Thank you.

4 CHAIR NICHOLS: We've taken a lot of time on this 5 issue, but I am willing to indulge anymore comments that 6 anyone has to make.

Okay.

3

7

8 VICE CHAIR BERG: And my comments really are 9 about the future. I'm drawn back to slide 10, where in 10 2025, we will still have 46 percent of California that 11 will not have infrastructure that will be sufficient for 12 need. So, to me, that says 46 percent of the people are 13 still going to be feeling left behind.

I think it would be an interesting exercise if 14 somebody could think about what is this going to look like 15 at the end? And if we could start talking about that now, 16 what can we do that will allow the Board to understand we 17 have another \$400 million. We talked about when we first 18 had this opportunity for this investment of \$800 million 19 how much money that sounded like. And we all realized 20 that it wasn't going to be a true game changer in the fact 21 that we needed so much more. 22

23 So I think setting expectations of what can we 24 expect, and what are we going to cover? I heard we should 25 do more heavy-duty. Heavy-duty is very expensive. We

1 should do more medium-duty. We need -- the needs are 2 great, and I think it's incumbent on us Board members to 3 understand that we can't -- I know we know we can't have 4 it all. But if we're going to divert some into other 5 areas, it has to come from something.

And so I would encourage staff to partner and figure out how to look at, at the end of this process, which should be around 2024, what is it we expect that we're going to accomplish, and then come back to the Board for some direction, so that we will be able to understand where we're going, rather than constantly looking back as to where are we and where have we been.

CHAIR NICHOLS: I think that's a very good 13 I was about to recognize somebody who's in the 14 comment. audience who's been working on these issues for a while. 15 But before I do that, I guess I would just say that there 16 are a number of groups that I'm aware of that are looking 17 at the idea of a massive need for zero electric --18 zero-emission vehicles, sorry -- zero-emission vehicles 19 for the state of California and what kind of 20 infrastructure it would take to get us there. 21

And I think that this is an area where we may see some action coming in the next year from the Legislature, from the new incoming Governor directing the administration to take a broader look at these issues.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 And I agree with you that we have to look at the end 2 point.

We also are on a -- we recognize -- have to recognize that the path to get there has to start immediately. We can't wait around until we have complete consensus on exactly how many or where we would need charging facilities in 2025 or 2030. So it is an urgent task.

I want to embarrass one of my colleagues, and ask 9 Tyson Eckerle to be recognized for having just received an 10 award from the Hydrogen Business Council for his Herculean 11 efforts on siting of hydrogen stations. And I saw in an 12 article that he was being credited for having helped with 13 the build-out of 36 open retail stations, 64 funded 14 stations, and thousands of vehicles that are going to be 15 coming by 2030. 16

17 So would you please stand up and at least be 18 acknowledged for that.

(Applause.)

20 CHAIR NICHOLS: He's a shy fellow, but he's very 21 persistent, and gets a lot done.

(Laughter.)

19

22

25

23 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Thank you. I'm ready to24 ask for a vote on this.

No, more?

BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: I just have a comment. 1 Thank you. I took my time earlier, but I wanted to ask 2 staff, if possible, we hit this second cycle plan pretty 3 quickly. We just approved the first, now we're approving 4 My estimation if we're looking at the way 5 the second. this has gone, in 24 months we'll be looking at Cycle 3. 6 7 So I'm wondering if staff could consider looking at two -two check-ins well prior to that with the Board. 8

9 I think as Ms. Berg just mentioned, it would be 10 good to have something in 12 months, and another 12 months 11 prior to the vote that would take place some 24 months 12 from now on Cycle 3, so we can actually get -- and I would 13 say that the site -- that particular report be heavy on 14 data and analytics on how well we actually do in Cycle 1.

15 So I would just -- it's nothing for Electrify 16 America to do, but I think from our metrics, this hits us 17 really quick. Last time it was on the agenda, it was at 18 the end of the agenda, and we were going to vote on this 19 very quickly. I think we've learned a little bit about 20 today as well from staff perspective.

If we could just get a handle on Cycle 3 with some key performance indicators, some analytics behind that, and then coming back to the Board in 2020 and 2021. That would be probably preferable. And so we won't have to pepper the CEO with lots of questions and decisions at

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

the dais, but we can all kind of be on the same page with 1 the numbers. So that would be my only request. 2 And I would ask please to call the roll, because 3 I will not be an aye vote on this today. I'm going to 4 reserve judgment for the -- for the next plan. 5 EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: We'll plan on the time 6 7 table of check-ins as you described. That's in addition to the quarterly status reports as well, as well as the 8 other progress reports. But basically how is the program 9 implementing and where are we in terms of pulling Cycle 3 10 together. So, yes. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Was that a request for a 12 roll call vote? 13 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Yes. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: It was. All right. Then let's 15 go ahead and call the roll, please. 16 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Dr. Balmes? 17 BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Aye. 18 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Mr. De La Torre? 19 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Aye. 20 BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Mr. Eisenhut? 21 22 BOARD MEMBER EISENHUT: Aye. BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Senator Florez? 2.3 BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: 2.4 No. BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Assembly Member -- oh, Ms. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 Mitchell?

1	Mitchell?
2	BOARD MEMBER MITCHELL: Aye.
3	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Mrs. Riordan?
4	BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Aye.
5	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Supervisor Roberts?
6	BOARD MEMBER ROBERTS: Aye.
7	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Supervisor Serna?
8	BOARD MEMBER SERNA: Aye.
9	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Dr. Sherriffs?
10	BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Yes.
11	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Professor Sperling?
12	BOARD MEMBER SPERLING: Aye.
13	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Ms. Takvorian?
14	BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Aye.
15	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Vice Chair Berg?
16	VICE CHAIR BERG: Aye.
17	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Chair Nichols?
18	CHAIR NICHOLS: Aye.
19	BOARD CLERK DAVIS: Motion passes.
20	CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. And thanks to all of
21	you who participated. Its really a terrific sign of the
22	interest on the part of the public and this issue.
23	We're going to take a lunch break. And I would
24	like to see if can be back here by 1:30.
25	(Off record: 12:45 p.m.)

							157
1	(Thereupon	a	lunch	break	was	taken.)	
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	(On record: 1:40 p.m.)
3	CHAIR NICHOLS: Good afternoon, ladies and
4	gentlemen. We're going to resume our Board meeting here
5	with the presentation on two separate but related Board
6	items. The proposed amendments to the Cap-and-Trade
7	Regulation, and the proposed amendments to the regulation
8	for mandatory reporting of greenhouse gas emissions. We
9	did hear the staff proposal on these two items at the
10	November Board meeting, which was a month ago.
11	And we'd, I don't believe, need to go into
12	extensive testimony this time, although we certainly will
13	hear from the public. But I think we did so well with the
14	two minute limit on the last item, that I would like to
15	suggest that we continue with that this time around. If
16	anybody I saw some applause from that or at least
17	silent applause, so that's great.
18	Okay. Well, I'm going to take that as
19	overwhelming support for my proposal
20	(Laughter.)
21	CHAIR NICHOLS: and suggest that we that we
22	do give this a two-minute time limit. So just as a
23	reminder for everybody, the Cap-and-Trade Program is one
24	part, but a critical part, of our entire plan for reaching
25	our 2020 and 2023 greenhouse gas emissions targets. It's

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

an economy-wide measure that places a price on greenhouse 1 gas emissions to incentivize actions that lead to 2 emissions reductions. And I can tell you that I spent a 3 lot of time this past week talking to people from around 4 the world who are interested in carbon pricing, and what 5 that actually means, and that look to California as one of 6 7 the world's examples of a place that is doing it, and has made it work in a way that is good for the economy, good 8 for our concerns with equity, and overall economic 9 well-being. So there's a lot of people watching us. 10

Today's amendments are proposed in response to AB 398, which was authored by Assembly Member Eduardo Garcia, who serves as a representative on this Board from the Assembly. And, as you know, AB 398 was supported by two-thirds of the Legislature when they reauthorized the Cap-and-Trade Program.

The mandatory reporting regulation that we're also dealing with today supports the Cap-and-Trade Program, as well as the entirety of our greenhouse gas emissions programs, and it requires the state's largest emitters to report the data that we need to be able to assess how we're doing with respect to those sources.

So, Mr. Corey, would you please introduce this
item?
EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: Yes. Thanks, Chair.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

As you noted, the first public hearing was on November 1 15th, 2018, where the Board considered staff's proposed 2 amendments to both regulations. And at that hearing, the 3 Board directed staff to make additional changes to both 4 regulations and circulate those changes for a formal 5 15-day comment period. Staff released additional changes 6 7 as part of the 15-day packages resulting in the final proposal before you today. 8

9 And as discussed during the November hearing, 10 staff is proposing the amendments to the Cap-and-Trade 11 Regulation to conform to legislative direction in AB 398, 12 which was adopted in July 2017, as well as prior Board 13 direction.

The proposed amendments ensure that the program will meet the requirements of AB 398. The amendments also help to contain costs for businesses and consumers, and increase environmental benefits to Californians, while all the while reserving -- or rather preserving the programs environmental integrity to ensure that we achieve our GHG emission reduction goals.

And today's presentation will provide a short overview of the amendments as well as the 15-day changes. And in the staff presentation, you'll also hear about changes to the mandatory reporting regulation that are necessary to ensure that the EIM emissions leakage is

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

addressed by the electricity sector and supports the 1 environmental integrity of the Cap-and-Trade Program. 2 Staff requests that the Board separately approve 3 the amendments to the Cap-and-Trade Regulation and the 4 amendments to the regulation for mandatory reporting of 5 greenhouse gas emissions. 6 7 And with that, I'll ask and Abajh Singh of the Industrial Strategies Division to give the staff 8 presentation. 9 Abajh. 10 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was 11 Presented as follows.) 12 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Thank you, Mr. 13 14 Corey. I will start with the Cap-and-Trade Regulation 15 providing background, context, and an overview of 16 amendments and analysis. I will then present proposed 17 changes to the Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting 18 Regulation to support changes to the Cap-and-Trade 19 I will close with our recommendation to the 20 Program. Board and a discussion of next steps. 21 Because we heard -- we just heard this item last 22 month, I'll provide a somewhat abbreviated summary of the 23 amendments and describe the 15-day changes made in 2.4 response to Board direction in November. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: This slide 2 provides basic background on the program. I will focus on 3 a few key points. The program is a key part of the 4 overall strategy to achieve the State's greenhouse gas 5 reduction targets. And the 2017 Scoping Plan Update found 6 7 that a suite of policies that includes a Cap-and-Trade Program is the most cost-effective path to achieve the 8 2030 target, four times less costly than the alternative 9 approaches that were evaluated. 10

-----

1

21

11 The program is intended to work in conjunction 12 with other established measures. To date, quarterly 13 auctions have generated over \$8 billion for California 14 climate investments, which is reinvested in California to 15 reduce GHG emissions, strengthen the economy, and improve 16 public health and the environment, particularly in 17 disadvantaged communities.

18 For projects implemented to date, 51 percent of 19 investments benefit disadvantaged communities, and 31 20 percent are located within disadvantaged communities.

--000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: This rulemaking package is primarily in response to AB 398, and Board Resolution 17-21, which the Board adopted in July of last year. To accommodate AB 398, the proposed amendments:

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Add to allowance price containment reserve tiers and a price ceiling; reduce offset usage limits post-2020; implement, "Direct Environmental Benefits in the State" provisions for offsets, and; set post-2020 industry assistance factors for allowance allocation.

Pursuant to AB 398, staff also evaluated whether post-2020 caps should be adjusted to account for currently unused allowances.

9 Board Resolution 17021 directed staff to take 10 specific action on post-2020 cap adjustment factors for 11 certain sectors, and assistance factors for the third 12 compliance period.

13 Staff is also proposing amendments to respond to 14 changes to Ontario's Cap-and-Trade Program, and to clarify 15 and streamline program participation and implementation.

16 I will discuss several of these items in detail 17 in the following slides.

18

## --000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Prior to starting the formal rulemaking process for these amendments, staff conducted an informal public process and held four workshops from October 2017 through June 2018.

In conjunction with these workshops, CARB released discussion drafts of possible changes to regulatory language, technical discussion documents, and a

summary of stakeholder comments received. This process
 enabled staff to share preliminary ideas with
 stakeholders, and solicit constructive specific feedback
 on our proposals to inform our initial staff proposal,
 which was released September 4th.

Following direction received in the first Board hearing, staff released a 15-day proposal. Assuming the proposed amendments are approved today, both regulations will effect April 1st, 2019.

--000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: In response to AB 398, staff evaluated the concerns related to overallocation, and the post-2020 caps. As we discussed during the November Board hearing, staff analyzes this issue and found the following:

Historical data shows a gradually increasing 16 price signal to incent reductions; The caps for 2013 17 through 2030 are set to conform to AB 32 and SB 32 18 statewide GHG reduction targets; the program has been 19 designed with features to support a gradually increasing 20 carbon price signal; staff and third-party analysis shows 21 22 the caps are binding on emissions through 2030; and removing allowances would increase prices today and in the 23 future. 2.4

25

10

Staff expects the program with current its

current features will result in actions to reduce GHG 1 emissions to help achieve the 2030 target. As a result of 2 this analysis, staff is not recommending any revisions 3 that would alter allowance budgets or banking rules as 4 part of this rulemaking. As included in the draft 5 resolution and mentioned in the last Board hearing, staff 6 7 willing report back to the Board in 2021 on the actual number of unused allowances from 2013 through 2020, and 8 propose any adjustments to the allowance supply as 9 necessary. 10 -----11 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: This figure will 12 show the revised regulation's new cost-containment 13 features, with project allowance prices through 2030 in 14 2018 dollars. 15

We start with the auction reserve price, commonly known as the floor price, which escalates over time. It is shown in the dark line extending from 2018 to 2030.

Next are the current three tiers that make up the allowance price containment reserve from 2018 to 2020. Currently, the post-2020 reserve is a single tier that is shown as the dotted line from 2021 to 2030, and I'll refer to that as the current regulation. AB 398 directed staff CARB to make specific revisions to the current regulations.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Next, we show the new post-2020 reserve as proposed in the amendments. Reserve tier 1, reserve tier 2 2, and the price ceiling. The price ceiling, as mandated 3 by AB 398, provides a firm limit on allowance prices. 4 The price ceiling is a relief valve that is accessed only in 5 the unlikely case of high-priced levels. 6

1

21

7 Building the new reserve tiers and price ceiling, we sought to enhance cost containment of the program 8 relative to the current regulation. As you can see, in 9 2021, the proposed price ceiling and new reserve tiers are 10 well below the current regulation. The new reserve tiers 11 will remain below the current regulation price through the 12 2020's. 13

The price ceiling will be below the current 14 regulation price through 2026, and increases slightly 15 above the current regulation value from to 2027 to 2030. 16 Relative to the current regulation, the proposed reserve 17 tiers provide access to more allowances at lower prices in 18 the period 2021 to 2030, initiating price containment at 19 lower values and over a wider range of prices. 20

-----

22 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: In the highly unlikely event that the price ceiling is accessed, it will 23 provide a firm limit on allowance prices. When compared 2.4 to the existing regulation with the new reserve tiers and 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 price ceiling, price containment is initiated at lower 2 values and spread over a wider range of prices. In 3 addition, more allowances are made available for cost 4 containment.

Economic analysis shows negligible impact on growth of the economy, employment, and personal income even in the unlikely event that the price ceiling is accessed.

9 As discussed in the first Board hearing and 10 outlined in the initial staff report, staff took into 11 account multiple criteria mandated by AB 398 when setting 12 the price ceiling.

13

-----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: 14 With regard to AB 398 direction offsets, we reduced the post-2020 offset 15 usage limit as required, and include a provision that no 16 more than one-half of an entity's quantitative offset 17 usage limit may be sourced from projects that do not 18 provide direct environmental benefits in the state. 19 Direct environmental benefits in the state are defined 20 using the legislative definition. 21

We propose to implement the direct environmental benefits in the State requirement using a performance standard for projects that are in the state or utilize GHGs sourced in the state, or by case -- case-by-case

review for those projects that are out of state, depending
 on the project.

-----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: The proposed amendments follow AB 398 to set industry assistance factors, which reflect leakage risk and industrial allowance allocation calculations at 100 percent of the post-2020 period. This has the result of increasing protection against leakage.

3

Board Resolution 17-21 directed staff to evaluate 10 setting assistance factors in the third compliance period 11 2018 to 2020 at 100 percent as well. With the post-2020 12 revision mandated by AB 398, the assistance factors for 13 the years leading up to and following the third compliance 14 period are 100 percent for all leakage risk categories. 15 This reflects the Legislature's and the Board's direction 16 to minimize leakage risk for covered entities through 17 2030. 18

Also, maintaining current assistance factors results in a spike in compliance costs under the current regulation, while modifying the 2018 to 2020 assistance factors to 100 percent results in a smoother cost trajectory. Smoothing the transition into the post-2020 program is critical as the rate of reductions needed is doubled relative to today.

In addition to the revisions to assistance 1 factors, the proposed amendments extend the alternative 2 more slowly declining cap adjustment factors for certain 3 sectors through 2030. 4 -----5 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: The State 6 allocates allowances to electrical distribution utilities 7 and natural gas suppliers for the purpose of benefiting 8 their ratepayers, consistent with the goals of AB 32. The 9 proposed amendments clarify, enhance and streamline the 10 permissible use of allowance value allocated to these 11 entities. 12 The proposed amendments also clarify particular 13

13 The proposed amendments also clarify particula.
14 activities that are not allowed, including compliance
15 activities, lobbying, and benefiting employees or
16 shareholders, but address key issues, such as wildfire
17 reduction activities, and educational programs.

18

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: This slide shows other proposed revisions, and responds to the Ontario government revoking their Cap-and-Trade Regulation. Staff proposed amendments to de-link with Ontario's program.

For the compliance offset program, the proposed amendments revise and clarify provisions related to successor liability; use of alternative methods to obtain

1 measurement and monitoring data; and regulatory compliance 2 and invalidation.

The proposed amendments also revise certain allowance allocation provisions to ensure appropriate levels of allocation for transition assistance and leakage prevention, including for newly covered sectors.

7 Revisions related to the Energy Imbalance Market 8 are also being revised. This change will be covered in 9 more detail during the discussion of the mandatory 10 reporting regulation changes.

To improve program administration, the amendments 11 clarify and update registration and auction requirements, 12 processes, and procedures. Staff proposes to extend the 13 application deadline for the limited exemption for 14 emissions from the production of qualified thermal output, 15 known as the "but for" CHP exemption from 2014 to 2020, to 16 provide an additional application opportunity for entities 17 that potentially qualify for this exemption. 18

19 These and other revisions clarify and streamline 20 the program and enhance CARB's ability to implement and 21 oversee the regulation.

22

-----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Staff completed a draft Environmental Analysis, or EA, for the proposed Cap-and-Trade Amendments. The EA finds that the proposed

amendments will not cause any direct environmental impacts, but discloses that it is foreseeable that 2 regulated entities will take actions in response to the 3 program causing potential indirect impacts. 4

1

20

These indirect impacts are primarily those 5 resulting from the existing Cap-and-Trade Program, which 6 7 have been previously analyzed and disclosed in prior environmental documents, and which would not be 8 substantially altered by this rulemaking. 9

As noted previously, staff expects that many 10 adverse impacts will be avoid or mitigated during 11 environmental review by relevant permitting agencies for 12 specific projects. The draft EA was released for a 45-day 13 comment period on September 7th, 2018. 14

The public comment period closed on October 22nd, 15 and staff prepared written responses to all of the draft 16 EA comments received, including oral comments at the first 17 Board hearing, and written comments through the 15-day 18 comment period. 19

-----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Now, I will turn 21 22 to the report -- the proposed amendments to the Mandatory Reporting Regulation, or MRR. Annually, over 800 entities 23 report data under MRR. And the data collected supports 2.4 multiple climate change programs at CARB, including the 25

Cap-and-Trade Program and the statewide GHG inventory. 1 Staff is proposing minor updates that clarify and 2 streamline the reporting requirements for emissions 3 product data, to ensure that reporting data are accurate, 4 complete, and fully support CARB's climate programs. 5 The changes proposed clarify the cessation 6 7 requirements for reporting and verification of certain entities, and support the alignment of CARB's GHG 8 accounting and CAISO's Energy Imbalance Market. 9 -----10 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Under AB 232, 11 CARB must account for GHG electricity that is generated 12 in-state or imported to California to serve California 13 load. 14 The current design of the Energy Imbalance 15 Market, or EIM, does not account for all GHG emissions 16 from imported electricity under EIM and results in 17 emissions leakage. 18 In 2017, the Board approved a temporary solution 19 for the MRR and Cap-and-Trade Programs to account for this 20 emissions leakage. Under the current regulations, CARB 21 22 calculates the annual EIM outstanding emissions and retires an equivalent number of unsold allowances from the 23 State's pool of allowances to account for the compliance 2.4 obligation associated with the emissions leakage. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Staff is proposing to replace the current 1 regulatory solution and require entities in the 2 electricity sector to proportionally share in the 3 responsibility of addressing EIM emissions leakage. This 4 narrows the scope of responsible entities to those already 5 participating in the MRR and Cap-and-Trade Programs. 6 7 Staff's proposal only addresses EIM transactions and not the day-ahead market or regionalization. 8 -----9 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: As previously 10 mentioned, in response to Board direction and stakeholder 11 comments, staff proposed regulatory updates in a 15-day 12 package. Revisions were made to the EIM proposal, staff 13 revised and clarified provisions for use of allowance 14 value, and updated allowance allocation provisions related 15 to leakage risk classification for new sectors. 16 In response to Board direction at the first Board 17 hearing, staff proposed a revised methodology to provide 18 increased transact -- transition assistance to 19 waste-to-energy facilities. As a reminder, 20 waste-to-energy facilities were exempt for the first two 21 22 compliance periods, meaning that CARB retired allowances equally to their emissions instead of those allowances 23 going to auction. 24 Starting in 2018, and based on amendments the 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Board adopted in 2017, these facilities now have to 1 procure and surrender some portion of their own 2 allowances. Staff expects that the new methodology will 3 increase total allowance -- allocation to the sector 4 beyond what was proposed in the 45-day proposal. 5 Fifteen-day changes also add allowance allocation 6 7 to legacy contract generators during the third compliance period to help avoid a potentially disruptive change in 8 compliance costs as the one or two remaining legacy 9 contractors -- contract generators work to renegotiate 10 contracts. 11 -----12 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Staff recommends 13 that the Board approve both proposed resolutions, 14 including approving written responses to environmental 15 comments, certifying the final EA, and making the required 16 CEQA findings for the Cap-and-Trade Amendments. 17 If adopted, these amendments will be in effect 18 April 1st 2019. 19 -----20 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: Staff is 21 22 proposing to address several items in a subsequent Cap-and-Trade rulemaking. We plan to adjust the 23 allocation to the utilities in response to SB 100, which 2.4 increases the renewable portfolio standard to 60 percent 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

in 2030 from the existing 50 percent. 1 We will develop a methodology to provide 2 additional allowance allocation to industrial-covered 3 entities to minimize the potential for leakage resulting 4 from higher energy costs. 5 We want to recognize the role of fuel cells in 6 7 addressing air quality concerns under specific conditions. These and any other amendments will be subject to our 8 usual public process before coming back to the Board. And 9 we will provide annual progress reports to the Board on 10 program implementation, such as noting that we had 100 11 percent compliance rate for the second compliance period. 12 -----13 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST SINGH: 14 This ends my presentation and we can take your questions. 15 Thank you. 16 17 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Shall we just move to testimony at this point? 18 Okay. Let's begin then with Amy Brown and move 19 20 on. Do we have the list posted? Yes, we do. 21 Is Amy Brown here? 22 Okay. MR. WEINER: Amy had to leave so I'm going to 23 take her place. 2.4 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. You to -- are you 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 testifying twice or --

4

20

21

25

2 MR. WEINER: No. Just take her off the list and 3 put someone else up.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.

MS. DeRIVI: Good afternoon to the CARB Board and 5 to CARB staff. We wanted to express -- I'm Tanya DeRivi 6 7 with the Southern California Public Power Authority. Wanted to express again our strong support for the 8 Cap-and-Trade Program. Also wanted to thank staff for 9 efforts over the last year to improve upon the municipal 10 use of allowance values, specifically adding in vegetation 11 management provisions to help with wildfire risks for our 12 utilities. 13

We look forward to working with CARB staff now next year in a new Cap-and-Trade Rulemaking on our concerns with potential implementation issues on the CAISO EIM GHG accounting, and look forward to working with any amendments with CARB and the CAISO to address potential concerns in that regard.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

22 MS. ROEDNER SUTTER: Good afternoon. Katelyn 23 Roedner Sutter. And I'm excited to learn that this goes 24 down. I didn't know that.

CHAIR NICHOLS: I know.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

CHAIR NICHOLS: It's not just for tall people. MS. ROEDNER SUTTER: That's right.

So Katelyn Roedner Sutter again with the Environmental Defense Fund. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments today and at an appropriate height.

(Laughter.)

8 MS. ROEDNER SUTTER: I also appreciate staff's 9 work on this regulatory package, both the original one and 10 the 15-day amendments. EDF is pleased to support the 11 adoption of this package, though I would like to point out 12 two major recommendations for improving these amendments, 13 which we don't see reflected in the 15-day package.

First, as we have suggested before, we strongly 14 encourage CARB to begin working now to identify high 15 integrity emission reductions to back the price ceiling 16 units. When we -- you know, if, at some point, we hit the 17 price ceiling, of course, there will be units sold at the 18 price ceiling. And those need to be matched by 19 reductions. And we would like to see a stream of 20 reductions start ahead of time, so if that happens, we are 21 22 prepared to maintain the environmental integrity of the program, rather than waiting to see what happens. 23

24 Second, EDF maintains our position that a modest 25 cap adjustment post-2020 is important to increase

California's climate ambition. Specifically, the 52.4 million allowances slated to be split between the two price tiers post-2020 we think should just be removed from the program entirely.

5 We don't see them as needed for cost containment 6 at this point, but we do see it as an important 7 opportunity to increase our ambition a little bit as a 8 State.

9 The Cap-and-Trade Program has been successful at 10 helping to reduce our state's emissions. And tightening 11 that post-2020 cap puts us on an even stronger footing to 12 meet our 2030 target.

So again, we would just respectfully ask CARB to consider that.

And lastly, I just want to say thank you for holding the line on the price ceiling. While we had recommended an even higher one, we do appreciate that there was no change in the 15-day package.

So thank you very much.

19

20

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

21 MS. FERO: Hello. I believe Scott Henderson is 22 also not here.

23 Madam Chair, members of the Board, my name is 24 Anna Fero. I'm from the law firm of Paul Hastings. I 25 represent Crockett Cogeneration, LP, which supports the

1

23

Cap-and-Trade Amendments before you today.

2 Crockett operates a cogeneration facility that 3 provides steam to C&H Sugar under steam sale contract 4 running through the year 2026. This is a legacy contract 5 that was executed before passage of AB 32 and does not 6 provide for recovery of Cap-and-Trade Program compliance 7 costs.

8 The current regulations sunset transition 9 assistance for legacy contracts without an industrial 10 counterparty at the end of the second compliance period, 11 causing legacy contract holders, like Crockett, to bear 12 stranded compliance costs alone for the remainder of their 13 contract durations.

We appreciate that the Board, via resolution 15 17-21, and staff, via today's draft amendments, have 16 recognized and addressed this issue. The proposed 17 amendments before you today would provide transition 18 assistance in the third compliance period and through the 19 remaining life of legacy contracts without industrial 20 counterparties.

21 Crockett supports adoption of the proposed 22 Cap-and-Trade Amendments.

Thank you.

24 MR. WEINER: Madam Chair, and members of the 25 Board. I'm Peter Weiner representing the Covanta. And I

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Scott Henderson had to leave and take an apologize. airplane back to the east coast, so I'm doing double duty, 2 but I won't ask for more time. 3

(Laughter.)

1

4

5

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.

MR. WEINER: I'm representing Covanta and both 6 7 the existing waste-to-energy facilities. This Board, in many resolutions and reports, has found that 8 waste-to-energy management of municipal waste is 9 preferable to landfilling with regard to the reduction of 10 GHGs, and especially short-lived climate pollutants, such 11 as methane. 12

We have studies which show that this benefit 13 continues even after landfills adopt state-of-the-art 14 methane control. In Resolution 17-21, you directed staff 15 to provide transition assistance for the compliance 16 obligation that these facilities are now going to be 17 We were concerned that this transition assistance 18 under. was at such a level that it would threaten the continued 19 viability of these facilities. 20

Given that the CalRecycle diversion of organics 21 22 from landfills regulations are not going to be effective until 2022 at the earliest and are of unknown efficacy, we 23 believe that we need waste-to-energy facilities as a waste 2.4 25 management option.

We're therefore very pleased that the Resolution 1 18-51 that will be before you has be it further resolved 2 that the Executive Officer will work with the existing 3 waste-to-energy facilities on alternative methods for 4 allocation for the purpose of additional transition 5 assistance ending by 2025, et cetera. 6 7 We're very pleased that we're -- that this language is in there. I noticed that it was not in the 8 future Cap-and-Trade Rulemaking activity slide, but we 9 hope that was just an oversight. 10 As a result, we very much support the amendments 11 before you today, and look forward to working with you and 12 staff. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 15 I don't think you should take the slides as being 16 17 particularly definitive as to what is before us. MR. TUTT: Good afternoon, Chair Nichols, Board 18 members. Tim Tutt here representing the Sacramento 19 Municipal Utility District. SMUD supports the adoption of 20 the Cap-and-Trade and Mandatory Reporting Amendments 21 today. And as always, it's a pleasure and enjoyable to 22 work with your staff as the Cap-and-Trade Program unfolds. 23 I want to call your attention to an issue that 2.4 has developed, and that has -- SMUD will work with staff 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

to resolve in the next year or so. So, A, when 1 implementing legacy allowance provisions in the past, ARB 2 staff cut SMUD's legacy contract allowances provided in 3 the years 2015 through 2017 to reflect a proposed CPUC 4 decision to pass on some GHG costs in gas tariffs. 5 Understandably you don't want to provide us allowances if 6 7 we're already getting compensated for that portion of our GHG costs. 8

9 However, that CPUC decision did not happen as
10 expected. No GHG costs were passed on in those years.
11 The 45-day language made SMUD whole and cured the cutback,
12 reflecting the revised CPUC policy. However, the 15-day
13 language then removed the cure. So again, we expect to
14 work with staff to resolve that issue in the future.

Also, SMUD is appreciative of the resolution suggesting further work be considered in future rulemakings on cost containment. SMUD continues to believe that the escalation rate in the price ceiling is too high, and the spread between the price containment points should be larger.

And secondary emissions from the EIM market. It is unclear what the impact of the EIM participant methodology will be on the EIM market. A lot of new analysis needs to be done, and is in the works to understand the secondary emissions issue and the impacts

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

on the market.

We would add to this direction to develop and 2 implement a simple estimation based, or similar method, to 3 provide additional allowances to cover the ratepayer cost 4 burden of transportation and building electrification load 5 growth, removing the cap-and-trade barrier to pursuing 6 7 these vital State GHG reduction policies. In closing, I just want to say we appreciate the 8 continuation of the current banking provisions. This is a 9 vital program component for a utility that is subject to 10 wide swings in available hydropower from year to year. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 13 MR. MAGGAY: Good afternoon, Chair Nichols, Board 14 members. Again, my name is Kevin Maggay. I'm with 15 SoCalGas. And we wanted to express our support for two 16 things. First, the two-minute time limit we fully 17 18 support. (Laughter.) 19 Second of all, we support the 20 MR. MAGGAY: Cap-and-Trade Regulation. We think that generally it is 21 very well done, and we commend staff for getting it to the 22 finish line. We did have a couple comments to make 23 though. 2.4 First, the proposed regulation specify that 25

electric utilities may use a portion of the Cap-and-Trade 1 revenues to find renewable energy or integration of 2 The regulation doesn't provide similar renewable energy. 3 language for the natural gas utilities, leading to 4 ambiguity on the treatment of two sectors. Renewable 5 natural gas, as you know, is an efficient way to reach our 6 7 short-lived climate pollutant goals by displacing high carbon fuels at its endpoint, as well as mitigating 8 methane emissions. 9

We've spoken to staff on this topic, and they've indicated that the natural gas industry does have the same allowable uses of their Cap-and-Trade revenues. We would just like to see that language explicit and articulated clearly in the regulation.

Second, staff acknowledged in the ISOR that it 15 should consider adjustments to the natural gas utility 16 allowance allocation. In light of policies or efforts to 17 decarbonize the sector, such as renewable gas mandates or 18 other changes, such adjustments to consider allowance 19 allocations that's consistent with Board Resolution 17-21 20 that direct staff to evaluate approaches to ensuring 21 22 ratepayer protection for the natural a supplier sector.

1440 was signed into law, which requires the PUC to consider biomethane procurement targets for natural gas utilities. Therefore, consistent with the ISOR and the

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Board resolution, we feel that it's appropriate for staff to consider adjustments to the allocation -- or to the allowance allocation for gas utilities at this time.

And we look forward to working with staff in this next rulemaking to make that adjustment.

Thank you.

1

2

3

6

7

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

8 MS. BERLIN: Good afternoon. My name is Susie 9 Berlin. And I'm speaking through clenched teeth, not 10 because I'm angry, but because I had jaw surgery. I'm 11 actually -- I need to say that we are very pleased with 12 the revisions to the 15-day language. I'm representing 13 the Northern California Power Agency, and the Golden State 14 Power Cooperative.

And NCPA is a group of publicly-owned utility members located in Northern California that are directly impacted by the wildfires, and have long lobbied for the ability to use the allowance value for programs that strengthen the resiliency and reduce the wildfire risks. And we strongly urge the Board to adopt that proposal in the 15-day language.

The Golden State Power Cooperative are the -represent the state's rural electric cooperatives. And they rely heavily on the allowance value for various programs. And my two comments are going to focus on

1

things that are very specific to those entities.

With regard to the use of allowance value for the 2 wildfire mitigation, we would like to see the Board 3 provide staff with the guidance and direction to ensure 4 that these programs can be implemented immediately with an 5 interim accounting mechanism, and that we not have to wait 6 7 until the provisions of SB 901 have been met, where there's a statewide baseline or a specific methodology. 8 That we can do the methodology -- apply that as a true-up 9 after the programs are already put into place. 10

We think that the revisions with regard to the 11 use of allowance value, we would like to have seen them go 12 a little bit further with regard to existing programs. 13 And we'd like to see the Board direct staff to acknowledge 14 in guidance or in the ISOR that programs that are already 15 in place that have been utilized allowance value, continue 16 to be acceptable uses of allowance value, even if they're 17 not specifically delineated in the revised regulations. 18

And finally, with regard to the new regulations, we'll be doing this again in a couple of months, we urge the Board to direct that looking at the use of allowance value for renewable energy projects that aren't RPS compliant be specifically considered as we move towards a greater zero and Carbon neutral policies.

25

Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 1 Mr. Cunha. 2 Well, the last time I was here it was MR. CUNHA: 3 wood and it was a podium. 4 (Laughter.) 5 CHAIR NICHOLS: We do need you to speak right 6 into the microphone. You have a soft voice too. 7 MR. CUNHA: All right. Well, great. Madam 8 Chair, thank you very much. I like the new digs. 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 10 MR. CUNHA: Since the last time I was here, so 11 it's been awhile. 12 Again, I want to thank Madam Chair for allowing 13 us to speak. I want to thank Dr. Sherriffs for his 14 attempt trying to move the last meeting. When we had our 15 San Joaquin Valley Board hearing, we were adopting our 2.5 16 plan at the same time. We were all planning to come up 17 here, hoping to have it done on Friday. And I want to 18 thank Dr. Sherriffs for his efforts to try to do that. 19 And, of course, your schedules don't sometimes allow that. 20 So again, I want to thank him. 21 I'm here on behalf of the Nisei Farmers League 22 upon the funding of this Cap-and-Trade monies are 23 significant to our farmers in our plan. If we do not 2.4 receive these type of incentive fundings, there's no way 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

our farmers can replace their tractors in a voluntary program that we have achieved with your staff, and with 2 even EPA. So it's very important. 3

1

25

But also, I want to make a comment -- excuse 4 me -- is that the gentleman that came up here -- and I'm 5 here about that item. Whatever you can do to make my 6 7 farmers have a certainty on a price that's not going to put them into where they don't know from year to year if 8 there's going to be funding or not, because farmers have 9 to have plan out. There's the only way it works. 10 This year, our crops -- several commodities crashed, did not 11 come out ahead at all. 12

But the gentleman that came here at the last 13 meeting, Mr. Will Scott, represents the African-American 14 farmers. There are about 89 of them. And their an 15 average age of 80 years old to 70, probably in that range. 16 He was the very first farmer to be in the Tractor Trade-Up 17 Program with ARB and EPA and was the first one that we had 18 with Lynn Terry absolutely showed up to crush a tractor 19 with Jared Blumenfeld and others. 20

Mr. Will Scott is a sharp man. He was in the 21 22 military on sub. He was with Pacific Bell.

And if you could, Madam Chair, if I could take a 23 minute more, please. 2.4

He is very sharp to educate young

African-American farmers to look at agriculture as a possibility of a job, and he is doing that. When I see a farmer like him taking his time to come up here, I would hope that in the future we recognize the people that do travel and what their skills are, and what they're trying to do.

7 If it wasn't for your program to allow him in the 8 Tractor Trade-Up Program, he would never have been able to 9 get a used new tractor through the monies. It's very 10 important.

11 CHAIR NICHOLS: Could I please ask you to 12 wrap-up. I'm sorry, but we're -- you've used your time. 13 MR. CUNHA: And thank you very much. And I'm 14 sorry for my voice being not as loud as it should be. And 15 maybe that's a good thing for all of us. 16 (Laughter.)

CHAIR NICHOLS: That's okay.

17

MR. CUNHA: And again, thank you, Madam Chair. 18 And I hope that you work with us closely, and you 19 But it is important for the staff and Richard have. 20 I want to thank him for his efforts and Kurt. 21 Corey. So thank you, Madam Chair. 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Thanks for your 23 input. 24 MR. CULLENWARD: Good afternoon. 25 Danny

Cullenward with Near Zero. I'm also a member of the
 Independent Emissions Market Advisory Committee.

I'm going to share a few things today that I think many of you have already heard from me, but I think it's important to say the day when you're planning to adopt these regulations.

7 I appreciate all the constraints that face the Board and climate policymakers. But I think it's really 8 important to mention there has been no analysis in this 9 process of how the stringency of the Cap-and-Trade 10 Regulatory proposal is consistent, either with our 11 statewide emissions target for the year 2030, or with the 12 role that the Board identified for the Cap-and-Trade in 13 the Scoping Plan. 14

I just think that's incredibly important to point out. I also want to point out, I think the responses to comments -- this is kind of a remarkable process, where there is really almost no response to most of the comments that were issued in this docket, and that's a pretty remarkable place to be, given the level of stringency of the discussion that's ensued over the last year.

I think it's also important to say I respect the work this Board has done on climate and other issues. The analytical integrity of what staff have put forward does meet the integrity, and do service to the reputation you

1

all have developed over decades.

I think it's important to say this, because we 2 face big challenges. And getting right with the facts and 3 the numbers is an important part of that. There is 4 language in the proposed staff resolution -- or, sorry, 5 the proposed Board Resolution to revisit the question of 6 7 whether or not there are too many allowances in the program in the coming years, and to collect data at the 8 end of the program's third compliance period in 2020. 9

I think that's a positive development. I want to 10 thank you for proposing that action. I hope you'll 11 consider adopting that. But I think it's important for 12 people to know that if indeed trends continue in the 13 program, most of the extra allowances will be purchased, 14 and you could end up with a large volume of extra 15 allowances in private hands at the point at which you 16 would have to make decisions about trying to accelerate 17 the ambition of this program, which would lead to higher 18 program revenues -- I'm sorry, higher program costs in the 19 20 future, and fewer revenues to the State and the State 21 taxpayers.

So I think it's positive to take a step forward in thinking about these issues, but there has really been no analysis of the single most important variable in the program designed to date, and that's after well over a

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 year of talking about this.

MR. ADAMS: Good afternoon, Madam Chair. My name is Bishop Lovester Adams, Senior. And I'd like to say I appreciate this time and the opportunity for -- to come back again and speak with you and the Board.

Thank you for your time.

7 I'm representing the Baptist Ministers Conference of Los Angeles and vicinity. I'm here today standing in 8 solidarity with the National Action Network of Los 9 Angeles, the Central Valley Latino Mayors Coalition, Latin 10 Business Association, Central Valley BizFed, Nisei Farmers 11 League, Holman United Methodist, African-American Farmers 12 Association, and the over 600 members of my local 13 14 congregation.

I have here the coalition letter, which I'm certain that you all are very, very -- have already received. But I'm very disappointed, and I'm speaking in a very, very low tone voice, monotone voice, and not my Sunday morning voice.

I'm disappointed and appalled, as some of the comments made by the Board members at the hearing in November, when myself, along with other community members, traveled here to Sacramento to share our concerns with the proposed price ceiling.

25

2

I would say today that I am here to tell you that

we are not an AstroTurf campaign whatsoever. We are real 1 people with legitimate concerns about your decisions that 2 will impact our local communities. As it stands right 3 now, many low income Californians cannot afford to live 4 near their places of work, because the cost of housing is 5 Increasing transportation costs will have a 6 so high. 7 significant impact on those households in my community or either in our communities. 8

9 The majority of the members of my local 10 congregation or surrounding community already deal with 11 escalated high gas prices as opposed to other areas of 12 Southern California. I ask this Board to please consider 13 the multitudes that will definitely be impacted should the 14 Board decide to move forward with this.

Consider single parent family homes, consider those who are the minority who are simply just getting by and cannot afford another price increase of any kind.

If you would bear with me a moment, please.

19 CHAIR NICHOLS: I will, considering you have a 20 whole group there.

18

MR. ADAMS: Consider the mom-and-pop stores that will be impacted. Consider those who commute weekly to and from work to their workplaces. Consider the cost to keep food on the table and meet the needs of the families. Consider the cost to keep the gas lights on from being

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

I'm in the trenches of my neighborhood and disconnected. 1 community every single week. I know perfectly well how my 2 people in the community are living. I know perfectly well 3 what the struggles and hardships are in my community. Ι 4 know perfectly well what the complaints and the needs in 5 my local community. And higher gas prices unfortunately 6 is not the answer. 7

Thank you for your time.

8

9

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MR. GARCIA: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and the Board. Thank you so much for this opportunity. My name is Juan Garcia. I'm the owner of PG Cutting Services. We're a concrete cutting and demolition contractor in the Los Angeles Basin. And I was here in November voicing my opinion and my concern about potential energy cost and fuel increases.

And I'm just going to say that perhaps a decision 17 has already been made or not. But just in case it is to 18 adopt the new revisions, I participated in a case study 19 for my business on how it would impact my business. And 20 I'd like to offer -- I believe you guys have that 21 22 information already. But moving forward, I'd like to participate with CARB side-by-side in the next couple 23 years, so in case there is another consideration for a 2.4 revisions or if this revision was the right thing to do, 25

1 we'll be able to show exactly how it impacted a small
2 business like myself and many others.

That's it. Thank you.

3

4

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

5 MR. BIERING: Good afternoon, Chairman Nichols, 6 members of the Board. My name is Brian Biering and I'm 7 here on behalf Turlock Irrigation District. Turlock 8 Irrigation District is broadly supportive of the 9 Cap-and-Trade as taking a lot of different efforts right 10 now to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions.

11 At the same time, as I've testified here before, 12 Turlock does serve a large proportion of disadvantaged 13 communities. And so the district is very sensitive to 14 potential costs of reducing its emissions. And that 15 concern has kind of come to a head in a couple of years, 16 both in the proposed regulations and the plans for moving 17 forward with the regulations.

The first is in respect to the Energy Imbalance 18 Market. TID is not currently a participant in the EIM, 19 but is considering joining the EIM. And as part of that 20 decision process, we'll need to weigh the potential cost 21 22 of losing allowances as part of the secondary dispatch emission obligations. So we're appreciative of the staff 23 continuing to look at this in a follow-on rulemaking. 2.4 The other area is with respect to potentially 25

1 changing the allocations to address the new RPS 2 requirements of SB 100. And while we understand the 3 rationale for doing that, again, we are particularly 4 sensitive to losing allowances and having a higher cost 5 for some of our ratepayers.

And then I wanted to just offer one last 6 7 observation. One of the previous commenters had questioned the sufficiency of the record and the 8 Board's -- in the Agency's response to comments. And I 9 have to say that as an attorney and someone who's been 10 observing these rulemakings for some time, I've always 11 found that the Final Statement of Reasons does fully 12 respond to the comments. So I didn't understand that 13 comment. 14

15

16

Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Good afternoon, Chair Nichols and members of the Board. I'm Robin Shropshire, and I'm here representing Panoche Energy Center to support the 15-day amendment package and the Board's continued support of an equitable legacy contract resolution.

Panoche continues to seek a well-functioning Cap-and-Trade Program that includes a price of carbon for all electricity dispatch. And we're committed to continued good-faith negotiations with our counterparty.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

We're hopeful that this issue can be resolved in the next six months, and appreciate the continuing help of staff and the Board to find a solution that works for all parties and more importantly a solution that is good for the environment and protects environmental justice communities.

7

8

Thank you very much.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

MS. HENRY: Good afternoon. My name is Lois 9 Henry, and I represent BizFed Central Valley. Our members 10 collectively represent about 20,000 valley businesses, 11 employing about 300,000 workers. Our members are very 12 concerned that, as proposed, the price ceiling for carbon 13 credits will cause dramatically increasing costs if we get 14 to the point of having to hit that carbon ceiling. And if 15 that happens, those costs will absolutely be passed onto 16 consumers in the form of higher fuel, and food, and 17 services costs. 18

Hard working Californians and business owners, particularly in the valley, already pay a premium to conduct business in this State, and cannot afford such a cost increase.

The Legislature tasked the ARB with setting a reasonable ceiling for these credits in order to create a fail-safe to prevent a worst case scenario of a runaway

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

credit market. By setting the ceiling prices too high,
 ARB would be setting the stage for exactly that worst case
 scenario.

A well designed Cap-and-Trade Program has been shown reduce carbon emissions, but dramatic make increases in the credit ceiling prices that are proposed currently puts the program and the economy at unnecessary risk.

BizFed Central Valley members urge ARB to set a9 reasonable ceiling price for Cap-and-Trade carbon credits.

10 And we look forward to partnering with ARB in the 11 future to make sure this program works for Central Valley 12 residents.

13

Thank you.

MR. JACKSON: Good afternoon, Chair Nichols and members of the Board. Alex Jackson with NRDC. I am here as a long-time supporter of the Cap-and-Trade Program, but unfortunately disappointed in the level of ambition reflected in the package before you today.

We still believe the role of Cap-and-Trade ni our climate policy is critical. We need to steadily increase the price on carbon, we need revenue for investments, and we need platforms to drive climate action beyond our borders.

But we think the program can and should be made stronger, to support greater emission reductions and that

1

this package missed opportunities to do so.

Indeed, I'd be hard-pressed to point to one area 2 in the market design before you that was left to staff's 3 discretion, where stringency won out over industry's 4 concerns over compliance costs. Instead, much of the 5 narrative surrounding this package concerned where to set 6 a relief valve price, which, if history is any guide, is 7 unlikely to materialize. 8

Now, WSPA is going to do what WSPA is going to 9 do, which we can't control for. But what I found 10 troubling was staff's response, which appeared to justify 11 its decision to stand firm on its proposal, in part at 12 least by pointing to its inaction on oversupply, on 13 banking rules, and other levers that would have impacted 14 actual prices in the market, not hypothetical 15 possibilities a decade from now. 16

Ultimately, with the climate crisis growing ever 17 more dire, we feel we need more ambition from our 18 signature climate policies, not less, and that this 19 package fell short. 20

21 However, I am encouraged to see language in the 22 Board resolution responsive to some of our concerns, which I hope staff will take seriously. And more than that, I 23 hope tomorrow's discussion and update on the 2030 scoping 2.4 plan will set the stage for what we see is truly needed to 25

meet our climate goals. And that does not mean asking carbon pricing to carry a weight it is fundamentally ill-equipped to bear, but it does mean being honest that this program cannot close the gap without substantial new effort and engagement by this Board to be more responsive and to promote policies to the sectors trended in the wrong direction, from transportation and on.

Thank you.

9

8

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

10 MR. KRAUSSE: Good afternoon, Madam Chair, Board 11 members. Mark Krausse on behalf of Pacific Gas and 12 Electric Company. I represent a company, and myself of 13 course also, support the Cap-and-Trade Program, have for 14 many years, and do continue to support today with the 15 adoption of these amendments.

I want to start out by saying in particular, we support staffs position on overallocation, and agree that the current cumulative caps constrain GHG emissions through 2030. Further, they support -- they support also a rising price signal, which I think many of those who criticize these adopt -- these amendments have said they don't.

We also believe it's premature to make changes to the allowance budgets at this point. And on the cadence, we've been amending the Cap-and-Trade Regulation. I think

you've got plenty of opportunity later to come in and
 change things, if necessary.

A few areas we'd like to continue to work with staff on include allocation for the natural gas sector to facilitate decarbonization of the gas system. And you heard from my colleague from Southern California Gas Company.

8 We work with staff on some of that. And if the 9 PUC enacts the program, or the utilities voluntarily enact 10 programs to put biomethane into our pipelines, we believe 11 that's a reason for a lower cap adjustment factor.

I will point to my friend Alex Jackson that this is at least one area where staff denied business what they were asking for, which was a lower cap adjustment factor for the natural gas sector. So we'll continue to want to work on that.

And then also expressly provide that allowances can be used -- natural gas allowances could be used to purchase and offset the costs of biomethane, another thing we'd like to work with staff on.

And finally, we look forward to working with the ARB staff and CAISO regarding the EIM accounting strictures. And we think that that market is likely to change as it develops, and would like to work with staff to develop that.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

So thank you very much.

MS. TSAI: Good afternoon. I'm Stephanie Tsai 2 with the California Environmental Justice Alliance. 3 You've heard from us throughout this. You know we're a 4 statewide alliance of grassroots community based 5 organizations that work directly with low income 6 7 communities and communities of color, many of which are severely and disproportionately impacted by the negative 8 impacts of climate change. 9

You know, I think, as you all know, CEJA engaged 10 deeply in the legislative process leading up to AB 398. 11 Ι think we've been clear in our opposition to the program, 12 because it allows, you know, a lot of the disproportionate 13 local pollution to continue in our communities, and 14 because of the strong link between greenhouse gases and 15 other, you know, co-pollutants like criteria and toxic 16 emissions. 17

So I want to just draw attention to a couple of 18 things today. One is the recently published study by 19 Cushing-Pastor and a larger research group highlighting 20 the fact that regulated facilities have actually increased 21 emissions since the program started. And, you know, that 22 there is, you know, plenty of data on, you know, the 23 disproportionate impacts in low income communities and 24 communities of color. So I just want to, you know, 25

highlight that, because it was recently published and
 updated over the summer.

I'll say that we remain concerned about the same things that we've been talking about, the overallocation, the oversupply of allowances, the lack of justification for industry assistance, and the lack of meaningful analysis showing how exactly the price will drive the reductions that are called for in the scoping plan.

9 So with that said, I'm -- you know, it's 10 something to see in the resolution that there will be 11 workshops and continuing work on this, and we look forward 12 to continuing that.

13

Thank you.

MR. SHAW: Good morning -- or good afternoon, I 14 should say, Madam Chairwoman, members of the Board. I'm 15 Michael Shaw with the California Manufacturers and 16 17 Technology Association. I just wanted to appreciate the time and effort that the Board, and particularly the 18 staff, put in to developing this amendment. Obviously, 19 there was much going into the development of AB 398 and 20 the legislative proposals going into this. But obviously, 21 22 implementing that becomes quite a different task. Quite a bit of work has been spent -- time has been spent on that. 23

And we appreciate the elements that come into this particular amendment that help address regulatory

certainty. That is obviously very important to industry 1 when it comes to allocating cost -- investment potential, 2 creating new jobs, and certainly providing greater 3 certainty in terms of those costs is very significant and 4 influential in that decision. Particularly, I'd like to 5 note the support for industry assistance, both in the 6 7 post-2020 period, as AB 398 directed, but also during the third compliance period. 8

And that smoothing of the transition from the 9 second compliance period through to 2030 is very important 10 for industry. And as is noted by staff in their analysis 11 of justification for the third compliance period industry 12 assistance, the rapid increase of price, the doubling in 13 price that would occur for a short period of time, would 14 be quite disruptive to industry and to the jobs that they 15 support in all of our communities. 16

I would encourage the staff -- the Board and the 17 staff obviously, as I'm sure they will do to closely 18 monitor the prices as this is implemented. We know that 19 in the mid-2020s -- or we believe in the mid-2020s that 20 there will be a significant constraint imposed on, 21 22 industry, as a result. And the prices could go up significantly as a result of that. So keep -- please keep 23 a close eye on that, as we will, most certainly. 24 In addition to that, we know that California's 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 leadership is the real benefit of the program that we are 2 attempting to implement here. It's not the actual 3 emissions reductions that this particular program will 4 achieve. It's the leadership that could be replicated 5 elsewhere in this country and the world.

6 And we appreciate the time and effort that 7 everyone has put into that as well.

Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Good afternoon, Madam Chair, members
of the Board. Max Perry on behalf of the City of Long
Beach. Just here to thank you and your staff for
incorporating the language in the resolution that explores
additional transition assistance for waste-to-energy
facilities, like the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility
located in Long Beach.

And that's it briefly. We look to -- look forward to working with you all in the months to come and thank you very much.

19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. You are the last 20 witness who signed up so. So at this point, I can close 21 the public testimony, and we can turn our attention to the 22 resolutions. There are two of them. And I believe we 23 will be able to vote on them together just as we did -- we 24 have in the past.

25

8

So however, there's been some comments about

resolution language, is there any response that the staff 1 wants to make to any of the suggestions that you just 2 heard? 3 INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF 4 Good afternoon, Chair Nichols and Board members. 5 SAHOTA: I believe many of the commenters that were referring to 6 7 resolution text, staff had drafted those concepts and those ongoing discussions to continue into the draft in 8 front of you already. I did not hear any new requests for 9 new text for the resolution from the comments we just 10 heard. 11 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. Great. 12 BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Madam Chair? 13 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 14 BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: I don't know. Do you want 15 to put the -- a motion on the table or --16 CHAIR NICHOLS: I think I'm ready, if everybody 17 else is. Sure. 18 BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Okay. I would be happy to 19 move those. 20 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Second. 21 CHAIR NICHOLS: And Mr. De La Torre seconds. 22 BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Okay. May I just for a 23 --it has nothing to do with necessarily the resolution. 24 But there was, on the part of Mr. Garcia, who came quite a 25

distance today to talk about his concerns. And he made an offer, which I think is worthwhile, because his is a small company, I would judge. And I think for the larger companies dealing with Cap-and-Trade, they can hire the consultants, they can hire the engineers, they can hire the people to deal with our rather complex issues that involve Cap-and-Trade.

But for some small businesses, who have to really 8 think about how this might affect them, I think we need to 9 reach out to them, and I'm not sure whether that's through 10 the Ombudsman's Office or a staff member directly. But I 11 think his offer was, you know, work with me, see what 12 happens next year and the following year, if I understood 13 you correctly, Mr. Garcia. And I think that's something 14 that we ought to be willing to do and to sort of 15 understand. 16

And I think that might be the case with some of the businesses from the Central Valley. So Madam Ohairman, I would like to encourage the staff to reach out to some of these smaller businesses that may or may not be affected, but at least they get accurate information on an ongoing basis.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yeah. I think that's a valuable suggestion. We have worked in a number of different ways to try to address the concerns about energy prices,

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

because that's what this is all about, smaller companies that are not directly covered by Cap-and-Trade are affected to the extent that their prices go up, or that they're afraid that they might go up. And that was the same issue that was raised by the Baptist ministers coalition. It's a broad based public concern.

And it was the basis for the way we implemented the whole program from the beginning working with the PUC to address the ways in which energy prices get reflected in actual bills that consumers pay. And that's probably the most important element of it all.

But it's been a long time actually since that 12 first -- since those first conversations. You know, 13 14 it's -- this program has been ongoing. And at first, it seemed odd to me that this attention was being focused on 15 the price ceiling, because the price ceiling, in and of 16 itself, as I think everybody on this Board understands, 17 doesn't do anything. It is not sending any particular 18 message, other than that we are prepared to step in, if 19 prices go up suddenly, and do something bit in a situation 20 we would have, we think, plenty of advanced notice. 21

But clearly, this broader question of the cost of compliance with the Cap-and-Trade Program also has to get folded into where we're headed with this whole 2030 Scoping Plan.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

And so if it's okay, I would like to engage in a 1 bigger conversation tomorrow about not only what's going 2 to be in that plan, but how we're going to actually 3 prepare the plan, because we've learned, I think, some 4 useful lessons from the process that we've been engaged in 5 today. And I see some nodding on the part of the staff at 6 7 the table there. I'm hoping that you're prepared for that, because I do think it's something the Board is going 8 to want to spend some more time talking about, and 9 possibly giving some direction. 10

But at this point, I don't know that there's much 11 we can do in terms of reassuring those who are concerned, 12 and have come here to voice their concerns, that we have 13 resisted many efforts, including some that we heard here 14 today, to take action to raise the price in this program 15 in order to, you know, either dramatically shrink the 16 number of allowances or raise the prices -- the floor 17 prices in order to either increase revenue or send a 18 stronger signal to the entities that are covered, that 19 they need to be taking more serious action. 20

And instead, we have actually stayed exactly on course of where we were when we first adopted this program, which is to have it send a price signal that's enough so that people notice it, but -- that is those who are in a position to make something happen notice it, the

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

companies that actually have to report, and take action, 1 and hold allowances, but at the same time not to use it as 2 the principal mechanism for achieving the greenhouse gas 3 reductions that we set out to achieve, because of our 4 concern that we wanted to not -- not be implementing a 5 program where we weren't quite confident that we could do 6 7 it in a way that wouldn't have a negative impact on the economy. 8

9 And I think the proof of the pudding is now that 10 we achieved the results that we set out to achieve under 11 our original AB 32 goal, we met the targets ahead of time, 12 and we did it in a way that clearly has been associated 13 with improvements in the State's economy.

So we have a lot to feel very good about in connection with this program. But, and the big but is, we have a lot more reductions that we need going forward. We now know that we are not on a line that's going to meet the 2030 target, much less the 2045 goal of carbon neutrality.

And so we're going to have to step back and take a serious look at the role that Cap-and-Trade, and other measures, play in getting us to that point.

So I would encourage the Board to be prepared to, you know, think -- think bigger and think more broadly as we -- as we face the next challenges, while at the same

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

time hopefully feeling positive about what we've been able
 to achieve and our ability to navigate these waters.

I don't know if staff if you wanted to add some additional thoughts to that, but that would be my comments on the...

EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: Well, I think, Chair, 6 7 the point is well taken in terms of the context of the Scoping Plan, which, as you note, really is about how are 8 we going to get to 2030 and even beyond that, carbon 9 neutrality? So that conversation is really, just as you 10 noted, and a good reminder of this is the 2020 target gets 11 us down to 431 million metric tons, our 2030 target is 12 260. Our carbon neutrality is zero. 13

And I think that's the proper place for that conversation. We'll begin to discuss it tomorrow, as well as the additional points that you just made about small business impacts, and really how that analysis and process will move forward.

19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, the point is well taken 20 that, you know, there are impacts that go obviously well 21 beyond those who are most immediately affected by these 22 regulations. And coming up with a better, more 23 sophisticated, better informed way of outreaching to small 24 businesses should be a part of -- part of our planning. 25 So thank you for that, Mrs. Riordan.

1 2 Other comments?

Yes, Mrs. Mitchell.

BOARD MEMBER MITCHELL: I want to thank our staff for all the work they did on this. And I know that some of them worked over the weekend, probably really long hours to get this out. And thank you for all of that. Thank you to our Executive Officer for his work done on this.

I do want to make a comment about our -- the 9 waste stream in California. And part of this on the 10 Cap-and-Trade deals with a waste-to-energy facility that 11 are coming within the Cap-and-Trade Regulation now. But 12 the waste stream is bigger than just waste-to-energy. 13 The recycling stream, what CalRecycle is going to do. 14 And I know as we get into the scoping plan, that's probably the 15 place where we should be exploring this, and working with 16 CalRecycle, and the other agencies in California that deal 17 with our waste stream, because we're putting a lot of our 18 waste into landfills, which are productions of methane. 19 Is that the right way to go? Waste-to-energy also 20 produces greenhouse gases from combustion. 21

So it's not a problem that we alone can resolve, but we need to be thinking about working in collaboration with others in our State agencies to have a bigger discussion about this, and to think about how we're going

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

to deal with this in the future.

The other thing I want to thank staff for is the provision that the Executive Officer will review this within the next year. And I want to make sure staff understands that's a priority. We know things -- how things change very quickly in California. And I think, you know, a periodic review of this is important, as we just talked about with the small businesses as well.

9 So thank you again for all the work you did it --10 and did on this and the work over the weekend, the work in 11 the late hours. And I know it was a big task, but you've 12 done a good job.

13

14

15

Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Other.

Yes, Mr. De La Torre.

BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Thank you. I want to 16 17 start by referencing a comment that was made, because I was the one who said it a month ago regarding AstroTurf. 18 I was very clear when I made those comments that I was 19 talking about a social media campaign. 20 I was talking about algorithms, et cetera. I was not referring to 21 anyone who was here at the meeting. It was all about this 22 AstroTurf artificial campaign through the media, through 23 advertising, et cetera. So I just wanted to make that 2.4 very, very clear. 25

1 Second, on the waste-to-energy, I appreciate that 2 staff has been working with those two facilities, the last 3 two facilities we're ever going to have in California 4 -let's be honest - of that type. And so this isn't going 5 to be something that's going to be an ongoing issue. It's 6 going to be about these two facilities, until their 7 natural life ends here in California, for whatever reason.

8 So I appreciate that staff is working on both of 9 those and trying to figuring something out. It may be 10 that there is some net benefit relative to some other 11 options. We don't know that. This gives us time to 12 figure that out.

The next is about fuel cells and distributed 13 14 generation. This is something that's come up a couple of times. If there -- again, if there's a net benefit from 15 doing this, then we need to account for that. And I 16 believe that staff has figured out something on those --17 along those lines. Thank you for resolving that issue as 18 well. 19

The final one -- my final comment is a little more complicated. And this is regarding the PG&E and Panoche contract. And how they fit into the last two legacy contracts that we've had. We had over 20, I think, when we started this process. We're down to two, and we really want to get rid of these.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

AB 32 -- I was in the Legislature when AB 32 1 That's how long ago --2 passed. (Laughter.) 3 BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: -- this happened. 4 But I want to comment on the nature of that 5 particular contract, separate from the other one, and my 6 7 belief that it was a very different circumstance than what I was led to believe previously. 8 It is true that the power purchase agreement 9 predated AB 32 chronologically, but it did foresee a 10 possible policy change, and does include a change-in-law 11 provision in which Panoche agreed to take on the risk of 12 future changes in the law. This included the 13 responsibility for, and I quote, "For procuring and 14 maintaining, at its expense, all governmental approvals 15 and emissions credits required for operation of the units 16 17 throughout the service term". That's very troubling to 18 me. And then to find out that this was litigated. 19 Panoche and PG&E entered into arbitration, and then 20 litigation over the meaning of that power purchase 21 22 agreement language. Arbitrators concluded that, number one, Panoche agreed to comply with AB 32 and the 23 Cap-and-Trade Regulations through that language I just 2.4 read, namely assume the costs of GHG compliance. 25

Number two, that the power purchase agreement provides a payment mechanism for GHG costs. In the arbitrator's view, Panoche agreed to take on these costs as part of the overall price negotiations of the contract.

1

2

3

4

5

7

Panoche sought court review of that arbitration decision. That process ended at the appeals court, which 6 affirmed the arbitrator's decision against Panoche.

While they noted the decisions on contract 8 interpretation do not behind CARB's regulatory decision on 9 whether Panoche qualifies for transition assistance, what 10 we're doing here today, nevertheless, the appellate court 11 stated that, "The interpretation of the power purchase 12 agreement was certainly relevant to the public..." -- I'm 13 quote here -- "...relevant to the public policy issues 14 before CARB". They certainly are relevant to me. 15

When you have a contract that says that, and then 16 you litigate it, and it's -- that language is affirmed, 17 that pretty tells you, you know, that that's the 18 situation, and we need to take that into account. 19

I'm not saying right now that we shouldn't 20 proceed with staff's recommendation. What I am saying is 21 even more so than the other - and my disdain for these 22 legacy contracts has been very clear - this one has to be 23 resolved as soon as possible. It should not be come back 2.4 to us. 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

To the two parties, that -- this needs to be resolved. If I had known this on previous occasions, I probably would be much more aggressive than I am today. I just wanted to put that into the record to make it clear to my colleagues what the circumstances that I didn't know until the very recently.

Thank you.

8

7

CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay.

9 I think that was a clear comment. I'm not sure 10 if the staff is prepared to add anything at this point. 11 May be not. But if you have anything constructive to add, 12 you should.

13

(Laughter.)

INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF 14 SAHOTA: I would just say that we will continue to 15 facilitate discussions between the two counterparties. 16 We've definitely heard Board Member De La Torre's thoughts 17 on the matter. We know that there are several Board 18 members that have been engaged on this discussion. And so 19 we'll reach out to better understand what their thoughts 20 are after the Board hearing before we go back and meet 21 22 with them again.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Yeah. Well, there is -- there is a long history on this. And several of us have spent lots of time, including Ms. Berg, and I believe Senator Florez,

and I personally at different times all were kind of dragged into this one. And it seemed -- always seemed as though we were just on the verge of making progress, and then something new came up. And obviously, it's been dragging on for a very long time.

My main concern, I think it would -- I think 6 7 others would agree is not so much as between these two parties, as to -- as it is about what's happening in the 8 air. You know, what people are actually experiencing as a 9 result of this controversy. Otherwise, it's just two 10 private entities, you know, having a dispute which is 11 about money, which is -- which happens, you know, and not 12 necessarily our job to resolve. 13

But I would really like to be convinced that we weren't doing anything that would either jeopardize future possibilities for good projects or that was -- or that was actually going to harm the people who have to breathe the air from this thing.

19 INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF 20 SAHOTA: So on this particular power plant, there were 21 concerns raised that because the carbon cost isn't 22 embedded in the dispatch, that the power plant was being 23 dispatched out of turn related to other --24 CHAIR NICHOLS: Preferentially, yeah.

25

INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF

1

SAHOTA: -- natural gas plants.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. 2 INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF 3 We know that this week there was an offer made by SAHOTA: 4 the utility to include that carbon price in that dispatch, 5 so that it would be dispatched equivalent an amount to 6 7 other natural gas plants. We see that as a very positive And that should eliminate the concern that there is step. 8 some disproportionate impact from this power plant, 9 because of the way the contract is structured. So that --10 that offer to add that price back in, or into the dispatch 11 decision, is something that we have been asking for as the 12 staff the entire time that we've been working through this 13 14 with both parties. And we're hopeful that that -- that offer will be well received and considered by the 15 counterparty 16 CHAIR NICHOLS: Well, but again to Mr. De La 17

Torre's point, if it isn't -- if it isn't accepted and this matter isn't settled, maybe we need to find a way to take action to implement that particular provision regardless.

INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF SAHOTA: We can -- we can certainly look into that. It's not clear to me off the top of my head what that would look like given the way the regulation is structured.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

CHAIR NICHOLS: You mean, with our incredible 1 power over electricity prices --2 (Laughter.) 3 CHAIR NICHOLS: -- we can't just make that 4 happen. 5 I'm being facetious. 6 7 Okay. I really would suggest though that you take another look at what ARB's potential authority in 8 this area is, because it's clear that our cajoling and 9 other efforts to do something, you know, to move this 10 along have not quite been successful. 11 INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF 12 SAHOTA: Yeah. I would just add that on the point about 13 adding that carbon dispatch price back in, we only need to 14 work with the utility on that, and they have shown that 15 they are willing to do that. 16 CHAIR NICHOLS: Yes. 17 INDUSTRIAL STRATEGIES ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF 18 SAHOTA: So that's a good sign. 19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. And so maybe that could 20 just be done regardless. 21 All right. Well to be continued unfortunately. 22 But you can see that there are quite a number of us who 23 are very interested in this and know more than we really 2.4 wish we did about the history. So maybe you could at 25

least give us a report, not at a -- not waiting for the 1 next Board meeting but just an update on what happens, 2 what the next round it. 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: We will. 4 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. All right. 5 Are we ready to proceed to vote on these two resolutions? 6 7 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: Madam Chair. CHIEF COUNSEL PETER: Madam Chair. I'm sorry. 8 CHAIR NICHOLS: Who? What? Where? I can't see. 9 Hi. 10 CHIEF COUNSEL PETER: Hi. 11 (Laughter.) 12 CHIEF COUNSEL PETER: I was going to defer to 13 other Board members. 14 CHAIR NICHOLS: Let's hear from Mr. Garcia first 15 and then we'll hear from our legal counsel. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: Since we're taking both 17 items up, I did have some comments on Resolution Item 7. 18 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. Sure. 19 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: And really I know we're 20 not debating the issue of overallocation here of 21 22 allowances. But just is there something that we could be doing throughout 2019 from a more proactive standpoint to 23 be prepared in the case that we get to a place in 2020 2.4 where we find to be that our projections and the direction 25

1 that we're going in weren't quite there, that wouldn't 2 require us to have a whole other year-long process to 3 determine where we may be going?

And so I'm just wondering if, you know, we could incorporate something beyond just a stakeholder meeting, something that is continuously looking at this conversation of the overallocation? And if, in fact, we're looking to not be on point, then we can make some modifications and be prepared

CHAIR NICHOLS: Right. So the only thing I would 10 add to that is I understand that the staff is kind of on a 11 year-at-a-time plan for updating the program, even as 12 we're looking to the future and the Scoping Plan. 13 And I do think that this issue, which continues to come back, 14 deserves to be continuously monitored, as you're 15 suggesting, because it seems to be one that just -- it 16 obviously -- it sticks in the craw of people who look at 17 this program and see that there are allowances out there 18 that are going to be, or could be, potentially hoarded in 19 a way that would undermined our ability to get to our 20 results. 21

So, Mr. Corey or Ms. Sahota, maybe you can address that issue in terms of your next -- your next reporting to us. I don't know if there's an additional mechanism that we should be trying to incorporate here.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

But it seems to me that it's -- it just is a -- it hasn't gone -- it hasn't been put to rest, let's put it that way, and it needs to be further considered somehow.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: Right. And for all the reasons both Assembly Member Garcia and you noted, Chair, it hasn't been put to rest, because it really is -- we're just at the start of the third compliance period. And really, this question is about what is the allowance -are there extra allowances at the end of that third compliance period?

And it becomes one of is there a recession during 11 that period, is there economic growth, which has a direct 12 bearing. What our plan is, Assembly Member and Board 13 Members, is next year to really have that discussion in a 14 public setting in terms of methods for evaluating, you 15 know, oversupply. What do you look at? What are the 16 indicators you consider for it? Have a full-on public 17 discussion of methodologies, potential approaches to 18 really -- and continue to track, just as you're saying 19 Chair. 20

21 We'll report back to the Board the results of 22 that assessment. And I expect to write a short written 23 report in terms of our assessment of options, continue to 24 track the allowance supply issue. So we are positioned, 25 in the event that this is an issue, to respond and deal

with it accordingly. So what I expect that analysis to be is the kind of elements, metrics you'd look at, as well as potential options for responding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

CHAIR NICHOLS: I think that would be helpful. Any other Board member comments? If not, we could proceed to a vote.

BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Can I just ask what the timeline on that would be? Because it seems like it's very compressed in terms of being able to identify that as a potential issue, which I know some have already started to project, and how we would make an adjustment in our course in order to accommodate for that.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: Well, a few points that 13 14 are embedded in your question. One element is the process that I just talked about. That's 2019. But to get the --15 some base work done, to give folks an opportunity, that's 16 probably mid-2019 we have that initial discussion, a paper 17 follows, a report back to the Board, and then ongoing 18 monitoring of where the allowances stand under the 19 program. 20

And then ultimately, at the end of the third compliance period, that's really the ultimate question of where we actually are, and then we're no longer in the world of speculation. You know, many are speculating on where this is going to be in post-2020.

So the whole objective of what I'm talking about 1 is have a game plan in place in terms of methods that we 2 carefully have discussed with stakeholders, as well as 3 ongoing monitoring, which the Board will hear about at 4 least annually, if not more frequently. 5 BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: So some sense of what 6 the options would be by the end of 2019 to --7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: (Nods head.) 8 BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Okay. Thanks. 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: Additional comments/questions? 10 All right. Now, we hear from our attorney. 11 CHIEF COUNSEL PETER: Thank you. Madam Chair, 12 the Legal Office would like to add something to the 13 record. Ben Carrier will do so now. 14 ATTORNEY CARRIER: Yes. For the record, for CEOA 15 purposes, no new substantive issues have been raised 16 today. We've previously received and considered all 17 comments heard today. 18 That is all. 19 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. That is absolutely 20 21 mandatory. Appreciate it. All right. I think we can just do this on a 22 voice vote then. At least, let's try it that way. 23 All those in favor of adopting the two resolutions that are 2.4 before us on Cap-and-Trade and Mandatory Reporting, please 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

signify by saying aye? 1 (Unanimous aye vote.) 2 CHAIR NICHOLS: Opposition, opposed, any? 3 Any abstentions? 4 All right. We've done it. 5 Thank you very much. And we have one more 6 7 important hearing item. It will take a moment to shift personnel. I really hate this arrangement. Can we see if 8 the court reporter would like a break at this point. 9 Not necessary. 10 Let's take a five minute break then. Thank yo. 11 (Off record: 3:09 p.m.) 12 (Thereupon a recess was taken.) 13 3:15 p.m.) 14 (On record: VICE CHAIR BERG: I'm going to go ahead and bring 15 everybody back to order and open up the next and last 16 agenda item. It is number 18-10-4, the PM10 SIP for 17 Imperial County. 18 Imperial county is designated as a serious 19 nonattainment area for PM10. Today, we will hear from 20 staff on the district's PM10 plan and other efforts in the 21 Imperial County, as well as Mexico to reduce particulate 22 emissions. 23 Mr. Corey, will you please introduce this item? 2.4 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1

presented as follows.)

EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: Yes. Thanks, Vice 2 Chair Berg. So today, staff will present for Board 3 consideration the Imperial County PM10 maintenance plan, 4 along with an update on other planning efforts in the 5 border region. Despite the air quality challenges posed 6 7 by Imperial County's desert environment, and its location bordering the City of Mexicali, the combined efforts of 8 the United States and Mexican federal, State, and local 9 programs have resulted in air quality improvements in the 10 region. 11

The PM10 maintenance plan being considered today also includes a request to the United States Environmental Protection Agency to redesignate -- redesignate the attainment -- or the area attainment for PM -- for the PM10 standard.

And as part of today's item, staff will also 17 provide the Board with an update on the Imperial County 18 Mexicali Air Quality Workplan. This plan was discussed 19 during the May Board hearing earlier this year when the 20 Board considered Imperial County's plan for the annual 21 PM2.5 standard. And through collaboration with government 22 agencies, and civic groups in Imperial County and Mexico, 23 progress is underway to improve air quality and increase 24 awareness of air quality pollution on both sides of the 25

But as you'll hear, more clearly needs to be border. 1 done. 2 I'll now ask Elizabeth Melgoza of the Air Quality 3 Planning and Science Division to give the staff 4 presentation. 5 Elizabeth 6 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: Good 7 afternoon, Vice Chair Berg and members of the Board. 8 Today, I will present the 2018 maintenance plan and 9 redesignation request for Imperial County that addresses 10 the 24-hour PM10 standard. 11 --000--12 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: I will cover 13 14 the requirements of the maintenance plan and redesignation request and how the district has met these requirements 15 under the Clean Air Act, as well as providing the Board 16 with an update on the draft Imperial County-Mexicali air 17 quality workplan. So let's begin with an overview of the 18 Imperial County PM10 nonattainment area. 19 -----20 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: 21 Imperial 22 County is located in the far southeastern corner of California. As shown in the photo, most of Imperial 23 County's population is located in the central portion of 2.4 the county. The county is a dry desert area, much of it 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 below sea level and experiences mild and dry winters with 2 extremely hot summers. On average, the county receives 3 less than three inches of rain per year.

Due to the arid desert nature of the region, PM10 4 emissions are dominated by wind-blown dust. The amount of 5 dust in the area significantly increases during natural 6 7 high-wind dust events, which occur throughout the year. One potential source of dust outside the scope of this 8 maintenance plan is the Salton Sea. As the water level of 9 the Salton Sea recedes, it will become increasingly 10 important that CARB and other agencies continue mitigating 11 potential emissions from the exposed lakebed. 12

Next, I will discuss the Clean Air Act requirements for a maintenance plan and redesignation request.

16

--000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: The Clean Air
Act requires that a maintenance plan includes elements
listed in the slide.

The Imperial County Maintenance Plan includes a 21 2016 attainment emission inventory, and a demonstration 22 that Imperial County will continue to meet the 24-hour 23 PM10 standard in 2030. CARB and the district commit to 24 continue monitoring and tracking PM10 emissions to ensure 25 that the standard is maintained in the future.

In the event that the area experiences any violations of the PM10 standard following redesignation, the district will also implement a contingency plan to ensure that contingency measures are adopted once they are triggered. The district plan demonstrates that the area attain the PM10 standard in 2016 with the exclusion of exceptional events.

-----

9 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: Since we in 10 Sacramento do not experience wind-blown dust events, I 11 included this image that was taken during last month's 12 Board hearing as a comparison to better understand the 13 concept of an exceptional event.

8

25

As you remember, last month, the Butte County fire occurred, and the air quality in Sacramento and other surrounding regions was hazardous. This event resulted in very poor air quality for our region for close to two weeks. This is an exceptional event.

Air districts and CARB educated and raised awareness of the poor air quality during these days, in hopes to reduce people's exposure to the harmful levels of smoke. Similar to the event that we experienced last month in Imperial County, high-wind dust exceptional events can lead to unhealthy air quality.

During these events, winds can reach up to 50

1 miles per hour, and controls in place are overwhelmed.
2 The Clean Air Act allows for the exclusion of these
3 exceptional events, so as not to penalize areas for
4 sources outside of their control.

5 CARB worked closely with the district and U.S. 6 EPA on analyzing the events that occurred during 2014 7 through 2016 to ensure that they meet U.S. EPA's 8 exceptional event requirements.

9

--000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: All of the 10 exceptional events as part of this maintenance plan have 11 gone through a thorough review by CARB staff. The events 12 must also go through a public process before being sent to 13 14 U.S. EPA for evaluation. With approval from U.S. EPA, they will be excluded from the area's attainment 15 determination, and Imperial County will have demonstrated 16 attainment of the 24-hour PM10 standard. 17

18 CARB staff and the district have tracked 19 exceedances that have occurred since 2016, and are closely 20 monitoring any potential exceedances of the standard in 21 2017 and 2018.

22 While exceedances have occurred in recent months, 23 a preliminary evaluation of the days over the standard 24 indicates that Imperial still meets the standard. 25 Historically, the district has evaluated exceedances of

1 the PM10 standard on an annual basis. As part of this 2 plan, the district has agreed to assess air quality data 3 every quarter to allow for a quicker evaluation of the 4 exceedances.

5 This evaluation process will include CARB staff 6 and U.S. EPA to stay on top of determining if the area 7 remains in attainment of the 24-hour PM10 standard.

8

25

--000--

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: As explained 9 earlier, public education and awareness are necessary so 10 residents can limit their exposure when the air quality is 11 poor. To protect the public's health during these natural 12 high-wind events, the district initiates an exceptional 13 14 event mitigation plan, which includes increasing public awareness during these events, and steps individuals may 15 take to reduce exposure. 16

To further increase awareness and provide 17 notification of air quality in the region, a website and 18 mobile application for Imperial County and Mexicali has 19 been established to provide the community with real-time 20 air quality data, alerts, and forecasts. The district 21 22 also utilizes numerous other avenues to make the public aware of the air quality, such as broadcasting alerts on 23 marquees, radio, and television stations. 2.4

The overall purpose of these efforts is to enable

residents in the county to make informed choices to reduce
 their exposure when air quality is poor.

3

16

-----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: A key responsibility for an air pollution control district is to ensure they are doing all that they can do to reduce emissions in the region, and protect the public health of its residents. Many efforts are underway to reduce the dust impact in Imperial County. Some of the efforts are highlighted here.

Beyond providing the best available controls for dust sources, the district is providing upgrade filtration systems at schools, researching how to further reduce dust from certain sources of concern, and paving unpaved lots at schools.

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: So pulling it 17 all together. CARB staff has reviewed the maintenance 18 plan redesignation request and exceptional events, and 19 agrees that the plan meets all applicable Act 20 requirements. Although the exceptional events can be 21 22 excluded from an area's attainment status, if they are approved by U.S. EPA, that does not mean to imply that the 23 area has clean air quality, and therefore there are no 24 impacts to the public. 25

We recognize that although the Act requirements 1 are met in this plan, these events impact residents in 2 Imperial County, including AB 617 communities, and more 3 needs to be done to reduce the PM10 levels in the region. 4 --000--5 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: Now, I would 6 7 like to shift the focus of the presentation to the Imperial County-Mexicali Air Quality Workplan. The 8 workplan is not part of today's Board action on the 9 maintenance plan. 10 Last May, the Board directed staff to begin 11 developing a workplan with our partner agencies in 12 Imperial County and Mexico. 13 14 -----AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: 15 As you remember at the May hearing, we brought the Imperial 16 County annual PM2.5 SIP to the Board for consideration. 17 During that hearing, the Board acknowledged that emissions 18 in Mexico do impact residents in Imperial County. The 19 Board directed staff to develop a workplan to address the 20 air quality issues in the border region, and to turn some 21 22 of the ideas to reduce emissions in the border region into actions. 23 --000--2.4 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: 25 After the May

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

hearing, staff reached out to the Border Unit of CalEPA to compile a list of agencies, industry, and community groups to include in the work group to provide input into the workplan. The work group members were comprised of stakeholders from federal, State, local, and community groups on both sides of the border, and additional members were added as the meetings progressed.

In Baja California, Mexico, the municipality of 8 Mexicali and the State of Baja California Environmental 9 Protection Agencies contributed a great deal of effort and 10 knowledge to the workplan. The relationship building with 11 our counterparts in Mexico as part of this process has 12 opened up additional avenues of communication, and 13 potential measures to reduce emissions in the border 14 region. 15

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: The workplan is a living document, which lists priority actions and recommendations to improve air quality in the border region. The goal of the workplan is to foster ongoing collaboration and solution building so all residents in this region can breathe cleaner air.

16

23

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: Since May, we have had four work group meetings in Calexico to discuss

1 the priorities to include in this workplan. The workplan 2 was formed from the bottom up. The workgroup identified 3 priority areas of concern and more focused discussion was 4 used to construct the workplan. A draft workplan was sent 5 to the workgroup members for review in October.

6 The draft final plan located on our border 7 webpage incorporates the comments received from the work 8 group. It is important to continue the workgroup meetings 9 to ensure that the workplan actions and recommendations 10 are carried out to reduce emissions in the border region.

-----

11

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: This slide displays the workplan actions that have been initiated since May. Many advancements have been made in Mexicali over the past few months to increase the public education and awareness of air quality, enhance the PM monitoring network, reduce the number of unpaved roads, and improve the emission inventories for Baja California, Mexico.

To increase the awareness of air quality in Mexicali with support from U.S. EPA, we were able to add Mexicali to the alerts and forecasting contract that has been in place for Imperial. Residents in Mexicali will now be able to get air quality alerts and forecasts for PM2.5, PM10, and ozone via email, the web, and a mobile app.

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

An updated winter media campaign was jointly developed by the district and Mexicali to increase education and awareness of issues related to air quality, 3 including the consequences of open burning and the fines 4 associated with such burning in Mexicali.

237

Just recently, the Environmental Protection 6 7 Office for the City of Mexicali and CARB established an agreement that involves expanding the monitoring network 8 in Mexicali. The current network is inadequate for the 9 size and population of the city. CARB is loaning the City 10 of Mexicali 50 purple air sensors to enhance their 11 monitoring capability and to be used as an enforcement 12 tool. The city will share quarterly inspection reports of 13 their findings with CARB. 14

CARB is also working to reestablish regulatory 15 PM2.5 monitoring at two sites in Mexicali. Two of the 16 purple air monitors will be co-located with the regulatory 17 monitors so we can compare their instrument's performance. 18

The City of Mexicali also allocated \$5 million to 19 pave 15 miles of unpaved roads in 43 colonias of Mexicali. 20 The paving projects will begin in 2019. 21

22 Lastly, a contract to improve the emission estimates for Baja California started. As reported in the 23 Desert Sun last week, many sources are not included in the 2.4 latest emission inventory for Mexicali. And this contract 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 2

4

1

2

3

will update and improve the emission estimates. These accomplishments demonstrate that progress has occurred since the workplan process has started. However, we are only beginning.

## -----

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: This slide 6 7 highlights some of the near-term actions and long-term recommendations that we will be pursuing to improve air 8 quality in the border region. In the near term, new staff 9 at the district will focus on the border, the Salton Sea, 10 and environmental justice efforts, and increasing and 11 awareness and education through avenues such as social 12 media. 13

14 CARB staff will continue to work with the 15 district and others to evaluate the district's dust 16 control rules and how these rules can be strengthened for 17 sources of PM10 in the area, such as Off-Highway Vehicles 18 and the Salton Sea.

19 The district's agricultural burn policies will be 20 updated to allow prioritization of smaller acreage burns 21 and to increase the buffer zone around special areas, such 22 as schools and residential areas. In addition, CARB's 23 Enforcement Division is evaluating the district's 24 enforcement policies to assess how their enforcement 25 protocols might be strengthened, and how they compared to

other similar air districts in California.

1

25

For the long-term recommendations, more discussion is needed to identify a path forward. Imperial County has a change of ownership smog program. And we think the county should volunteer to establish a basic program in which vehicles would need to be smogged every two years.

8 Although Imperial County is not required to 9 implement such a program, we believe that considering the 10 buildup of emissions from idling vehicles at the two 11 border ports of entry in Calexico, it is important to make 12 sure residents in Imperial County are not driving vehicles 13 that are further adding to the emissions in the region.

We also recommend further investigation of the emissions at the Calexico east port of entry by using mobile source emission evaluation technologies.

It is important to remember that CARB does not 17 have jurisdiction in Mexico. And aside from efforts we 18 can directly help with, additional changes would be needed 19 within the government and legislation to make these 20 recommendations a reality. In Mexico, the Vehicle 21 Verification Program, which is what we refer to as the 22 Smog Program, is to be completed by residents every year. 23 However, the participation rate is low, at only 2.4

30 percent for Mexicali. Although the environmental law

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

in Mexicali establishes that all vehicles must be smogged since 2012 when the program was implemented, there has been no enforcement of the requirement, because there are no penalties associated with noncompliance. We recommend that fees are added to the vehicle verification program for noncompliance.

7 And lastly, we recommend that the Government of 8 Mexico establish a rule to control and permit agricultural 9 burning.

-----

10

AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: This slide 11 displays the current PM10 monitoring network in Imperial 12 County. The yellow dots on the graph make up the IVAN 13 Network, which is comprised of 40 air monitors that 14 measure both PM2.5 and PM10. This network was developed 15 through a partnership between Comite Civico Del Valle, the 16 California Environmental Health Tracking Program, and the 17 University of Washington's School of Public Health. 18

19 The green dots on the graph are the regulatory 20 PM10 monitors that are maintained by the district and 21 CARB. This slide demonstrates that a robust PM10 22 monitoring network is in place in Imperial County.

23 Mexicali is shown in the highlighted area on the 24 map, and you will be hearing more about the plans for 25 expanding the monitoring network in Mexicali following my

presentation.

1

-----2 AIR POLLUTION SPECIALIST MELGOZA: In closing, 3 staff determined that the Imperial County PM10 Maintenance 4 Plan and redesignation request meets all applicable Clean 5 Air Act requirements and recommends approval of the plan. 6 At the same time, since local emission sources 7 within Imperial County still contribute to unhealthy 8 levels of PM10, CARB will continue to work with the 9 district to identify additional strategies to reduce local 10 emissions and thereby improve public health. 11 Staff recommends that the Board approve the 12 Imperial County PM10 plan as a revision to the California 13 SIP for transmittal to U.S. EPA. 14 CARB staff will continue to work with the 15 district, CalEPA, U.S. EPA, the border community, and our 16 counterparts in Mexico to improve air quality in the 17 border region. We also encourage new members to join the 18 work group. This concludes my presentation. I would now 19 like to invite Mr. Luis Flores who is the Director of 20 Environmental Protection for the 22nd City Council of 21 22 Mexicali, Baja California to discuss what his agency is doing to improve air quality in Mexicali. 23 Luis. 2.4 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was 25

1

Presented as follows.)

2 MR. FLORES(throught interpreter): Thank you so 3 much for your attention. My English is not so good. I am 4 the Director of the Environmental Agency in Mexicali. On 5 behalf of the Mayor, Mr. Sanchez, I would like to thank 6 you. And I would like to share with you what we've been 7 doing for the last 23 years.

I'm aware that you know that the City of Mexicali 8 is the most contaminated city in the City of Mexico and 9 also in the southern border of the United States. And the 10 reason why the air quality is so poor is because there is 11 so much contamination in the air. One of the reasons why 12 the air quality is so poor is because there is a large 13 14 transportation issue with heavy-duty trucks and also very old trucks on the roadways. Bonfires and also tire and 15 trash fires are also contributing to these effects. 16

Also, the emissions coming from a lot of factories, daily activities and many neighbors -- I'm sorry, and business also on the city.

These conditions get worse during the wintertime, because of the greenhouse conditions, because the bonfires also increase in number, and there are so many festivities around the end of the year. There are four times during the year that are so critical and impact on the environment: December the 12th, December 24, December

31st, and January 6th. These mispractices, the one I was 1 referring before, they increment during those days. 2 So I'm going to talk about the actions that we've 3 been implementing since the new administration started on 4 2016. One of the main actions that the administration did 5 was to forbid the use of fireworks at the sale points in 6 7 the city. So under the program called Clean Air for 8 Mexicali, several mentions were taken among the 9 confiscation of fireworks and also fire logs. We were 10 able to -- during 2017 to confiscate 400 kilograms of 11 fireworks and also a large amount of -- 18 tons of wood 12 that would be used as fire logs. 13 Another measure we deal with working with the 14 children on elementary and middle school. We provide them 15 with documentation to bring to their house to explain 16 17 about the contamination to the parents. --000--18 MR. FLORES (throught interpreter): Among those 19 measures taken also, there was a joint work with --20 between the community and the government. Based on that, 21 we were able to increase the fines and sanctions to the 22 people contributing to this damage to the ecosystem. 23 The fines would increase four times going up to \$850 nowadays. 2.4 Also, police officers were educated on these 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

measures. We're talking about 700 police officers who went to this training to enforce these laws. Part of that for actually on District 22 in Mexicali is the year-long monitoring of the air quality. Saturday, we only count -we only have one station for monitoring the air quality in the whole city, and you can see the results of the monitoring in the city website.

-----

8

19

MR. FLORES (throught interpreter): It's 9 already -- the work site is on the slide. Although, we 10 are not in charge to work directly with the fires 11 regarding agricultural practices, we've been able to work 12 with several departments within the government. So along 13 with clean air environmental agency, we've been able to 14 establish a threshold to call into an emergency when the 15 air quality gets so poor. So based on that, we can 16 activate a system and start working against this 17 situation. 18

MR. FLORES(throught interpreter): Along with other works that we've started in 2016, we are also working with the forestry department. This year on 2018, with the help of 800 citizens and government employees, we were able to plant over 3,000 trees just to help with the forestation.

-----

Along with that, we also started an Educational 1 program. It's called Getting Action with the Environment. 2 So we were able to develop four commercial spots to help 3 everybody else understand. These forest development has 4 to do one with education about environment, the other one 5 with fines, and the last one was monitoring the air 6 7 quality. I'm glad to share that we've been working jointly with EPA and CARB program. 8 And also on December the 5th of this year, the 9 mayor announced paving work throughout the city. This 10 program will imply about \$5 million. So with this work, 11 approximately 310,000 square meters will be paved --12 --000--13 14 MR. FLORES (throught interpreter): -- with a length of about 25 kilometers, or 15 miles. That would 15 help 43 small towns, and a total of 170,000 people. This 16 program will start on January of 2019 and will conclude on 17 June of 2019. This is one of the achievements that was 18 mentioned before, and it was mentioned also with policing. 19 This is with the help of environmental agencies 20 in the United States and also with powers in Mexico. 21 -----22 MR. FLORES (throught interpreter): During 2018, 23 we've been working with EPA U.S. Region 9, CalEPA, and 24 California Air Resources and SPA in the Imperial Mexicali 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Air Quality Workplan. So that one includes working on
 both sides of the border, and also the creation of
 regional air quality monitoring network.

As you can see in the slide, you can see those big dots. Those will be the places where the sensor would be placed to monitor the air quality. This collected data will show us real-time what is going on with air quality in Mexicali. So we will oversee what is supposed to be done or what's going on. We will know the facts right away.

We find these -- that this result will be very 11 helpful especially for academic reasons throughout the 12 region. That will be to help implement new policies on 13 air quality. So that information will be helpful for the 14 environmental agencies, as well as public health agencies. 15 But overall, all this information will be helpful to teach 16 17 the population about the risk of the current practices that they are doing, and how they're impacting the 18 environment. 19

20

I would like to finish with a brief comment.

21 When we started all this along with our friends 22 in the agencies on both United States and Mexico, I think 23 that was a very wise decision, because we both saved the 24 same habitat and environment on both sides of the border. 25 And those situations have fixed both, the communities on

both sides in the United States and Mexico. And I think 1 that would encourage us how to work and help this effort 2 in the future. 3 Thank you so much. 4 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 5 Before you go. Excuse me, Doctor, we have 6 7 question. MR. FLORES(through interpreter): Sure. 8 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Thank you for your --9 thank you for coming. Thank you for your presentation. 10 Much of what you struggle with sounds awfully familiar to 11 the San Joaquin Central Valley. And I just wondered 12 specifically has Mexicali banned fireworks in the city 13 limit, no fireworks period? 14 MR. FLORES (throught interpreter): Yes, both. 15 Actually, the use of fireworks and also the sales of 16 17 fireworks are prohibited now. BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Okay. And also burning 18 wood prohibited in the city? 19 MR. FLORES(throught interpreter): Yes, the same. 20 That's part of the new reforms that I was referring 21 before. 22

BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Thank you.

23

2.4

25

MR. FLORES(through interpreter): You're welcome. BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: And congratulations on

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 your good work.

2 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. All right. We would 3 like to hear also from the Air Pollution Control Officer 4 from Imperial County.

5 MR. DESSERT: Good afternoon, Chairman Nichols 6 and Board members. My name is Matt Dessert. I'm the Air 7 Pollution Control Officer for Imperial County.

8 Let me start by thanking you for the opportunity 9 to speak today, and state for the record that Imperial 10 County and the Air Pollution Control District are in 11 support of the CARB staff recommendation of approval of 12 the Imperial County 2018 PM10 Redesignation Request and 13 Maintenance Plan.

Throughout the 2018 PM10 plan development process, the air district has worked with CARB, U.S. EPA regulators and the community members, and all interested parties to prepare and address any concerns regarding this plan. We believe that our 2018 PM10 plan meets all the scientific and technological requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act for approvability.

I believe the hard work put into the 2018 PM10 plan is a great example of the cooperative effort by the State and the local jurisdictions to efficiently and effectively work towards the common goal of improving air guality.

Also, I would like to express our support for the Imperial County Mexicali air quality work prepared to improve air quality at the border region. I believe that a real solution to the air quality problems in Imperial County can only be accomplished by working cooperatively with our neighbors in Mexico.

And for the record, my Spanish is not that great. One of my favorite words is frontera, and frontera means the border. And that's what it's all about down there in the Imperial Valley.

Over the last decade, Imperial County has put a considerable amount of effort into trying to understand and address the regulation and the regional air pollution situations. Ongoing collaborative efforts between the United States and Mexico are focused on air quality issues unique to the border region, and are expected to enhance progress towards improving air quality in the area.

These efforts include those of the 18 Imperial-Mexicali Air Quality Task Force. For a long time 19 this was called the Border 2020 Commission -- Committee, 20 supported and funded by other agencies, particularly the 21 This group is made up of the United States and 22 U.S. EPA. Mexican government agencies, including U.S. EPA, CARB, and 23 Mexico, federal, State, and local environmental agencies, 2.4 such as SEMARNAT, the State Department of Ecology, and 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

local government. Other members include local residents
 and academics from both sides of the border.

Imperial-Mexicali Air Quality Task Force provides 3 the appropriate forum to implement and follow up on 4 recommendations in the Imperial County-Mexicali Air 5 The Air Quality Workplan, along with Quality Workplan. 6 7 the implementation of the Imperial County Community Air Protection programs recently approved by the Board under 8 Assembly 617 will lead to many health benefits for 9 Imperial County and Mexicali residents. 10

At this time, I would like to publicly thank CARB staff, including Michael Benjamin, Sylvia Vanderspek, Webster Tasat, Elizabeth Melgoza, and Theresa Najita for all their long and hard work and efforts in assisting the air district in developing and approval of the plan.

I'd also like to thank our consultants from Emily 16 Weissinger, who you'll hear from shortly, staff members at 17 the APCD Reyes Romero, who's here today, and Monica 18 Soucier. Again, I've got to go back and particularly 19 point out the assistance, the drive, the spirit of the 20 CARB staff member Elizabeth Melgoza and her efforts on 21 both the PM10 SIP, PM2.5 SIP, and now this border activity 22 and border plan. 23

In closing again, I would like to stress that my board and the air district support the CARB staff

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

recommendations and urge approval of the Imperial County 2018 designation request and maintenance plan, knowing 2 that we stand behind our commitment to follow up on the 3 recommendations in the Imperial County-Mexicali air 4 quality work. 5

1

Additionally, there' just so many activities 6 7 going on. It's been a truly an awakening down there in the Imperial Valley. The Imperial Valley is on 8 Sacramento's radar now. I have a past background working 9 with an irrigation district that struggled to tell their 10 story, and point out issues at the Salton Sea. But bv 11 far, the 617 language from Assembly Garcia, CalEPA efforts 12 on some -- on inspections and reports that took place this 13 past year, all the efforts on the SIP, and now this 14 activity and the air monitors is really -- we have the 15 focus and attention of Sacramento. 16

And being a resident down there, oftentimes we 17 struggle, we wonder, well how do we get -- how do we tell 18 our story? How do we get the attention? And I think 19 we've really done that. We've done it through our air 20 quality work. 21

There's a lot of other works going on on 22 off-roading, taking a look at no trespassing enforcement 23 codes, making sure they align together with the different 2.4 agencies that need to enforce them, making sure the 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

signage is right, taking a look at our surrounding areas, ag burning, other activities that take place. And I'd be remiss if I didn't mention the Salton Sea. All these good works at the border and going down towards the border and in the communities. We can't take our eyes off that Salton Sea as we continue to work on that.

7 We have a robust community involvement. Comite 8 Civico has raised the bar on these enlightenment on how to 9 do this EJ work. That always oftentimes isn't easy 10 enlightenment. And some of you, and many of the ARB 11 staff, will know that there's not a loss of spirit between 12 Mr. Olmedo and I when we're working on these activities.

But I thank you for your time today, and I lookforward to this passing today.

15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you very much. We have two 16 witnesses who've signed up to speak on this item. Your 17 names are up on the Board, so welcome.

MS. WEISSINGER: Hi. Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the Board. My name is Emily Weissinger. And I am a senior managing consultant with Ramboll. Ramboll has assisted Imperial County APCD in preparation of the redesignation request and maintenance plan before you today.

24 Ramboll's main role was to develop the technical 25 and regulatory required elements of the plan in close

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

consultation with CARB and EPA. Today, I will give you a brief summary of the required elements and key takeaways 2 from this document. 3

1

The Imperial Valley PM10 planning area is 4 currently designated as a serious nonattainment area for 5 the federal 24-hour PM10 standard or NAAQS. The 6 7 redesignation request demonstrates that the planning area did not violate the 24 PM NAAQS for the period of 2014 8 through 2016 when accounting for exceptional events, as 9 allowed under the Federal Clean Air Act. 10

The maintenance plan demonstrates how continued 11 attainment of the NAAQS will be achieved over the next 12 decade. The document before you includes all required 13 technical and regulatory elements to support this request 14 and plan. One of the required elements is the 15 documentation and analysis of air quality monitoring data 16 from the county's five PM10 monitoring stations. 17

Although the standard level was exceeded on 18 certain days during 2014 through 2016, meteorological and 19 other required analyses of these days demonstrate that 20 they are exceptional events resulting from elevated wind 21 22 speeds over natural and controlled anthropogenic fugitive dust sources. 23

Each of these exceptional events have been 2.4 thoroughly documented by the Imperial County APCD, 25

released for public comment, and presented to CARB for a review. Regardless of exceptional events, Imperial County has achieved permanent and enforceable reductions in PM10 emissions that can be mainly attributed to the adoption and enforcement of the county's regulation 8 fugitive dust rules.

7 In 2013, EPA determined that these rules 8 represent Best Available Control Measures, or BACM, for 9 significant sources of PM10 and Imperial County. This 10 plan includes the latest rule stringency assessment.

11 The area's emission reductions are documented in 12 its emission inventory, and can be seen in the steady 13 decline in ambient PM10 levels from 2000 through 2016. 14 This decrease has occurred despite an increase in the 15 county's population and an irregular rainfall trend in 16 recent years.

17 The maintenance plan shows that the Imperial 18 County emission inventory is projected to remain fairly 19 constant over the maintenance period through 2030. 20 However, in the event of a potential violation of the PM10 21 NAAQS, the contingency plan will go into effect, 22 triggering pre-determined steps to implement new or 23 expanded control measures.

In summary, this plan acknowledges the tremendous progress that has been made in Imperial County, reflects

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

extensive collaboration among the air agencies, and 1 includes the proper safeguards to ensure continued 2 maintenance of the standard. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 5 Mr. Olmedo. 6 7 MR. OLMEDO: Good afternoon. My name is Luis Olmedo. I'm the Executive Director of Comite Civico Del 8 Valley. 9 And, you know, I've been privileged with being 10 able to be working on numerous capacities over the last 11 two decades in the Imperial, and I've been able to see the 12

progress that has come with working through Border 2012, Border 2020, the Imperial-Mexicali Air Quality Task Force, the good neighbor board, where I had the privilege to serve on the previous administration at the federal level.

And I think that today's presentation from the Mexican representatives is pretty remarkable of the work that they're doing. And I think that that really is very promising. One thing that I also am really pleased to hear is the AQPSD folks Elizabeth had presented that low cost sensors can be used for enforcement.

And I'd like to see that California also subscribe to that approach, if we expect that low-cost sensors would function well in Mexico for that purpose,

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

1 then I think that we can lead by example. So thank you
2 AQPSD, Elizabeth and Michael Benjamin for bringing that
3 up.

I have a very simple ask, because I think we're 4 really sort of at a juncture, where we can now move 5 forward beyond putting together these inventories of just 6 7 work that is being done. I think that we're at a point that the California Air Resources Board can really take a 8 lead in identifying and assigning someone to help 9 coordinate the work that is being done here in California 10 through the Air Resources Board. 11

So, as the Air Resources Board has been doing a ton of work around the border on air quality issues from the AB 617 to the State implementation process, what is missing is coordination between divisions at the Air Resources Board, and consistent point of contact for community and other partners.

18 Since Veronica, the Executive Assistant Officer, 19 for Environmental Justice has been assigned, she really 20 has demonstrated a positive benefit to communities, and 21 the Air Resources Board, having a point person at the 22 agency who can help coordinate efforts for a targeted 23 issue.

Air Resources Board could benefit from the 25 following -- from following that model again to create an

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

Assistant Executive Officer for Border Affairs to coordinate across programs with agencies like the CalEPA Border Relations Council to help Air Resources Board prioritize and collaborate on actions to improve the air guality in the border region.

6 I'm very actually enthusiastic. And I share the 7 enthusiasm of the Mexican representatives. And I've just 8 got to say that I also am very enthusiastic of the work 9 that the Assembly Member Eduardo Garcia has been doing in 10 playing a leadership role in bringing others at the 11 Legislature and working with other Mexican legislators to 12 expand collaboration.

And so I think that this -- this is really a good opportunity. And hopefully, like, we can work towards figuring a way to get a position to help coordinate these efforts. Thank you.

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

Yes, you can come back.

17

18

MR. DESSERT: Yeah. Matt Dessert, Air Pollution 20 Control Officer.

I concur with Mr. Olmedo. This energy, this focus, this emphasis, this spirit that we have right now going on down in the Imperial Valley at the border, we just can't simply file it away as a report and come back and visit it, because we don't know when we'll be able to

do that.

1

We need to stay on top of that. So I totally 2 concur with Mr. Olmedo. However, I'd like to add that 3 besides the border, and the focus on the air quality and 4 emissions at the border, we can't take our eyes off that 5 Salton Sea. So let this person, if this happens, or this 6 7 position, where both the border and Salton Sea issues to keep them fresh in everybody's minds. 8 Thank you. 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: Okay. 10 Mr. Corey, do you have any concluding remarks on 11 where we are headed from her? 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER COREY: Well, the recognition 13 of the impact that Veronica has had on this organization, 14 and really the focus that a Assistant Executive Officer 15 for EJ has had in terms of the -- and it really came at a 16 key time, really to -- for 617 I think has been 17 tremendously influential and impactful in the 18 organization, and came at the right time. 19 The fact that Luis and some others have pointed 20 out to model, really like that idea. So there's a real 21 22 openness on our part now for even creating that position at the level, which would really be a point person. 23 Because clearly, and as was noted, there's much work 2.4 that's been going on across the border for many, many 25

It's not a new issue, but they're clearly, I 1 years. think, for all the reasons noted, I think an opportunity 2 to strengthen that relationship. 3 And I think having a clear point person is 4 something that I will focus on, probably going to need 5 some assistance from some keyboard members, the Chair and 6 7 Assembly Member Garcia in terms of some next steps. But I'm taking to heart that point and think we can map out a 8 path. 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: That would be a good way forward, 10 I think. 11 Additional questions or comments? 12 We have -- the record is closed, at this point, 13 and we're going to have a resolution, I believe, in a 14 moment. 15 Any -- Mr. Garcia. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: Thank you, Madam Chair 17 and staff for bringing this item forward. I've got to 18 tell you that this is extremely exciting to say the least. 19 In fact, far more exciting than one EV charger going into 20 Imperial County, I'll tell you, because this truly has an 21 22 impact on the public health of the constituents that I serve. And irrespective of the other constituency that's 23 being discussed today on the southern part of the border, 24 they are our constituents in Imperial County. They are 25

what make our local economy thrive. And quite frankly,
 they're our friends, our relatives, and our neighbors.

3

4

5

I will, for one point of reference, my parents are from Mexicali. My grandparents and all of the family remains there. And so this is of much significance to me.

6 Look, the suggestions that were just made by Mr. 7 Olmedo, I'd like to also support the recommendations. And 8 it sounds like forming some type of officer point person 9 to deal with border regional issues is critical, and not 10 only for this area, but that will carry on to the San 11 Diego area, as we deal with other environmental issues in 12 that region as well.

In fact, just this week, or yesterday, another raw sewage spillage coming through to the beaches of Imperial Beach and having a tremendous impact there on the people who reside in that area. And so there are a lot of issues that we can kind of outline in terms why a coordinator position would be important for this work.

I just wanted to just say that I'm very grateful for the Board's work, not just what's before us today, but there have been a sequence of activities and events that have led to this. And, you know, this last week in our local paper, The Desert Sun, and you all have those links in your email, there's been a series of articles that have been written about the hazardous conditions that are

1 taking place on our border region. And, you know, I 2 always like to say there's absolutely no wall big enough 3 or tall enough, right, that's being asked for as we speak 4 in Washington D.C. that can address these issues that are 5 before us.

6 But our actions, right, our policy directives, 7 these types of collaborative approaches can resolve some 8 of these problems. And so super thankful to the work 9 that's being deliberated here today, and that will be 10 executed.

11

(Spoke in Spanish.)

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: And I'll translate this 13 English.

14

(Spoke in Spanish)

ASSEMBLY MEMBER GARCIA: And so I just said that two weeks ago we had the distinct pleasure of meeting with Undersecretary of the Environmental Protection Agency, SEMARNAT, in Mexico with the incoming administration, who ever committed to enhancing, you know, the level of attention to these issues on the border, the resources that need to go into the enforcement.

It's fantastic that we're no longer selling fireworks or burning wood, but there aren't any individuals enforcing, you know, these rules. To the extent that they can, there's a million and a half plus

1 people in Mexicali, and so with five potential, maybe six 2 inspectors doing the work in order to put this 3 enforcement.

And so, you know, those are the conversations that took place. We've initiated discussions with policymakers that represent the border region, Senadora Alejandra Gastélum, who represents that area who is an environmental attorney, also very interested in this conversation.

10 So one of the neat things about this new 11 administration in Mexico is that they're going to be there 12 for a little while. And some of us are going to be here 13 for a little while as well, and we're able to establish 14 these partnerships to be able to execute these plans of 15 actions that are before us.

So I just wanted to highlight that and say, 16 again, thank you to the staff, Chair Nichols, and, of 17 course, the Board here for considering this action today. 18 And once again, just want to say thank you to our friends 19 from Mexicali who are here doing the work on the ground. 20 (Spoke in Spanish.) 21 22 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. We have, at the other end, I think Dr. Balmes and 23 then Mr. De La Torre. 2.4 BOARD MEMBER BALMES: First off, I wanted to 25

thank our Mexican partner in air quality for coming and 1 for working to develop the same kind of monitoring network 2 with U.S. EPA hopefully in Mexicali that's already present 3 in Imperial Valley, because of the IVAN network. So I'm 4 very supportive of that. Very supportive of what Mr. 5 Garcia just put forward. And I -- but I also want to say 6 7 that while I appreciate that those exceedances of the PM10 standard don't violate the Clean Air Act, I just want to 8 point out that the 24-hour standard for PM10 is 150 9 micrograms per meter cubed. 10

If there are 15 exceedances of 150 micrograms per 11 meeting cubed, that's a lot of particulate pollution. 12 And just to remind everybody how bad we felt with the air 13 quality due to the wildfire smoke at 150 and more, you 14 know, it's -- it may not be a violation of Clean Air Act, 15 but we need to work to try to reduce those dust exposures. 16 17 So I appreciate the efforts that are being made in that 18 regard.

19

20

CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you.

Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: I want to be supportive of the recommendation and Assembly Member Garcia's description of what's going on there. When I was in the legislature, I was on the Border Legislators Conference. And we -- this is everybody from California

to Texas on both sides of the border. And we handled 1 these kinds of issues, and we were talking to each other 2 and interacting and trying to solve these. So I think 3 it's long overdue that we have some mechanism to interact 4 with that long border that we have with Mexico and to be 5 as helpful as we can be within our restrictions to do 6 7 that. But I absolutely think we need to have someone here who is responsible and watching all of those issues. 8 (Spoke in Spanish.) 9 CHAIR NICHOLS: Ms. Takvorian. 10 BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Thank you. 11 Let me just add my voice to support the comments 12 that Assembly Member Garcia has made, and the 13 recommendation. As I guess the other side of the -- end 14 of the border in California, anyway, I -- we experience a 15 lot of the same issues. And I think it would be great to 16 follow up on a plan that staff has developed. 17 And we really appreciate that plan to actually have 18 implementation in the way that Mr. Olmedo has suggested 19 with having someone here at CARB to be responsible for 20 border issues. 21 22 And I want to say that I think we need boots on the ground. So I'm hoping that that -- either that person 23

25 being able to work with our colleagues in Mexicali, and

2.4

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

or another person is actually in Imperial County, actually

able to really see what's going on. We found that there 1 was a huge improvement when EPA actually formed the border 2 office and located it in San Diego. We got the first 3 hazardous waste clean up of a huge site in Tijuana as a --4 really as a direct result of them being right there and 5 being able to do that work every day. 6 7 So as -- I really appreciate the work that staff has done, and I know what the challenges are. So I think 8 we really need to support that work, reinforce the work 9 that you've done by really being able to implement it with 10 someone on the ground and able to work in Imperial to 11 implement that. 12 And hopefully that's a success and it will spread 13 to San Diego Tijuana as well. 14 So thank you. 15 CHAIR NICHOLS: Thank you. 16 I think these discussions are going to be 17 proceeding, but I hope that you will bring forward a 18 recommendation sooner rather than later, especially as the 19 budget process is going to be moving forward rather 20 21 quickly. 22 BOARD MEMBER SHERRIFFS: Supervisor Roberts volunteered for that position. 23 (Laughter.) 2.4 CHAIR NICHOLS: No, I think he probably is not 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

looking for another full-time job at the moment. 1 I just want to add my own personal observation, 2 because in addition to all of the good work that is 3 happening among the local and State officials, I, too, had 4 an opportunity just this week in Poland to have a 5 bilateral meeting with the new Undersecretary for 6 7 Environment, Mr. Sanchez -- Sergio Sanchez, who has a lot of experience working on air quality issues, and on both 8 sides of the border. He's a very knowledgeable 9 individual. And he made similar statements to me about 10 his commitment and the national government's commitment to 11 working on these border issues with us. 12 So I think that just reinforces the point that 13 Assembly Member Garcia made that we do have a wonderful 14 opportunity now to build something over the next few 15 That's really terrific. 16 years. So without further ado, we have a resolution in 17 front of us. Do I have a motion? 18 VICE CHAIR BERG: So moved. 19 BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Second. 20 CHAIR NICHOLS: Moved and seconded. 21 All in favor, please say aye? 22 (Unanimous aye vote.) 23 CHAIR NICHOLS: Any opposed? 2.4 Any abstentions? 25

J&K COURT REPORTING, LLC 916.476.3171

This is a wonderful way to end the year. Great. First day of our Board meeting of the year, not the last of the meeting. Thank you for the reminder. And the day. It is the end of the day, I believe. We will be resuming tomorrow morning at what time? 8:30. Okay. And thanks to all. Have a good evening. (Thereupon the Air Resources Board meeting adjourned at 4:19 p.m.) 

	268
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
4	That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
5	foregoing California Air Resources Board meeting was
6	reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was
8	thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by
9	computer-assisted transcription;
10	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
11	attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any
12	way interested in the outcome of said meeting.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	this 15th day of December, 2018.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	James y titte
20	KAUP
21	
22	JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter
24	License No. 10063
25	

Г