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What is the Haagen-Smit Symposium?

- Annual event to foster informal discussion and interaction among policy makers, researchers, and the regulated community
- Past topics include PM health effects, goods movement, transportation fuels
- Several landmark policy initiatives grew out of Haagen-Smit Symposium, *e.g.*, *Goods Movement Emission Reduction Plan and Low Carbon Fuel Standard*

Professor Arie Haagen-Smit in the Pasadena laboratory where he conducted research that led to regulation of motor vehicle emissions.
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Symposium topic: Addressing the Missing Pieces of California’s Carbon Footprint

- Scientific findings since the 2007 IPCC Assessment Report offer even more compelling reasons to act now
- Some climate-active pollutants not fully integrated into policy
- Some emissions sources not included in current policy
- Emerging tools and policy options can help California and its partners to address gaps in climate policy
Emerging Science:
What have we learned since the 2007 IPCC Report?

- Recent trends **concur** with climate projections

- Mechanisms becoming more clear, particularly regarding **strength of positive feedbacks**

- 2000-2007 emissions trends higher than IPCC scenarios, with U.S. emissions projected to decrease 3-4%/yr in 2008-2009

- Only about 25% of 2.4°C “committed warming” has been realized so far (Ramanathan & Feng 2008)
  - masking effect of sulfate, organic PM2.5
  - past emissions sufficient to push climate system beyond critical thresholds

**Reflection of sunlight by particulate matter.**
source: Anderson et al 2003
Emerging Science: Policy implications

- Must make dramatic emissions reductions soon
- Must target not only total warming, but the rate of warming
Non-CO$_2$ Pollutants: Options for significant near-term reductions

![Graph showing warming effect (W/m$^2$) for CO$_2$ and non-CO$_2$ pollutants]

- black carbon
- ozone
- halocarbons
- N$_2$O
- CH$_4$

**CO$_2$** | **non-CO$_2$**

*Sources: IPCC (2007), Ramanathan & Carmichael (2008)*
Methane (CH$_4$): Opportunities and obstacles

- Covered under the Kyoto Protocol
- Use of 100-year global warming potential (GWP) de-emphasizes CH$_4$; considering a 20-year GWP would facilitate reductions
- Health and eco-system co-benefits due to reduced ozone
- Technologically feasible, low-cost mitigation feasible for a substantial fraction of California’s methane inventory
- Unidentified sources being investigated
- ARB verifying large area source emissions with its mobile monitoring platform
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Black Carbon (BC): Challenges and co-benefits

- Not covered under the Kyoto Protocol
- Variable, location-dependent effects elude characterization in terms of GWP
- Co-emissions of BC with cooling pollutants complicates accounting and development of effective interventions
- Enormous potential health co-benefits due to reduced PM2.5 exposures
- Deposition on snow & ice accelerates melting
- Low-cost, low-emission cook stoves for less developed countries offer health and climate benefits
- Diesel & coal controls also limit BC
- Accounting and verification frameworks need to be developed

Ozone-depleting substances (ODS): Call for continued leadership

- New production phased out by Montreal Protocol, but little control of existing stock
- ~700 MMT CO$_2$e stored in buildings, old refrigeration and A/C systems, and will eventually be released unless controlled
- Not covered under the Kyoto Protocol
- Covered under AB 32
- Extremely high GWPs (1000’s – 10,000’s)
- Scoping Plan identifies readily available mitigation

Emissions associated with foam insulation products are among the high GWP gases targeted by the Scoping Plan.
Sectors not accounted for:
International travel & shipping, imports

- Aviation: ~ 2.2% of global CO₂ emissions (6% in California)
  - sector projected to grow 2-5× by 2050
  - International Civil Aviation Authority (ICAO) yet to devise regulatory scheme

- Shipping: ~ 2.5% of global CO₂ emissions (3% in California)
  - sector projected to grow 1.5× by 2050
  - International Maritime Organization (IMO) actively considering several regulatory schemes

- Imported goods: whose responsibility?
  - nearly 1/3 of China’s emissions associated with exports
  - imports to US equivalent to ~13-30% of total national CO₂ emissions in 2004 (Weber & Matthews 2007)
Life-Cycle Carbon Footprinting:
Emerging tools support voluntary initiatives

- Tools significant for *businesses* and *individuals*
- Supply chain footprints internalize overseas emissions
- Average California household: 38 tCO$_2$e/yr (43 tCO$_2$e/yr US)
Lessons Learned
from the 2009 Haagen-Smit Symposium

- Science indicates urgent need for dramatic, near-term reductions.

- Critical opportunities to buy time through reductions of non-
CO$_2$ pollutants in tandem with sustained CO$_2$ reductions:
  - methane controls should be emphasized
  - black carbon control offers enormous health co-benefits, but challenging due to accounting and co-emissions of cooling species
  - ARB will continue to lead climate policy for ozone-depleting substances

- Several critical sectors require international cooperation, e.g., aviation, shipping, and imported goods.

- Emerging tools are available to support voluntary emissions reductions, e.g., carbon calculators and labeling.
Concluding Points from the 2009 Haagen-Smit Symposium

- California needs to remain engaged in national and international frameworks.
  - Ozone-depleting substances, black carbon, and other relatively short-lived pollutants should play a role in climate policy.
  - U.S. EPA’s endangerment finding does not extend to ODS or BC.

- Considering a 20-year GWP for CH$_4$ (and possibly other pollutants) would incentivize near-term reductions.

- Policy should affect the manufacturing chain (suppliers, imports).
  - Indirect emissions must be accounted for.

- California will continue to set a precedent for handling high-GWP ozone-depleting substances.
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